

### **Expert Opinion on Drug Safety**



ISSN: 1474-0338 (Print) 1744-764X (Online) Journal homepage: informahealthcare.com/journals/ieds20

## Safety of human papillomavirus vaccines: a review

### Michela Stillo, Paloma Carrillo Santisteve & Pier Luigi Lopalco

**To cite this article:** Michela Stillo, Paloma Carrillo Santisteve & Pier Luigi Lopalco (2015) Safety of human papillomavirus vaccines: a review, Expert Opinion on Drug Safety, 14:5, 697-712, DOI: 10.1517/14740338.2015.1013532

To link to this article: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.2015.1013532">https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.2015.1013532</a>

9	© Informa UK, Ltd.
	Published online: 18 Feb 2015.
Ø*	Submit your article to this journal $oldsymbol{\mathbb{Z}}$
lılıl	Article views: 9676
Q <sup>2</sup>	View related articles ☑
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗹
2	Citing articles: 5 View citing articles 🗷

# **EXPERT** OPINION

- 1. Introduction
- Mechanism of action, clinical application and efficacy of HPV vaccines
- 3. Safety evaluation
- 4. Conclusion
- 5. Expert opinion

# Safety of human papillomavirus vaccines: a review

Michela Stillo, Paloma Carrillo Santisteve & Pier Luigi Lopalco<sup>†</sup> *ECDC, Stockholm, Sweden* 

Introduction: Between 2006 and 2009, two different human papillomavirus virus (HPV) vaccines were licensed for use: a quadrivalent (qHPVv) and a bivalent (bHPVv) vaccine. Since 2008, HPV vaccination programmes have been implemented in the majority of the industrialized countries. Since 2013, HPV vaccination has been part of the national programs of 66 countries including almost all countries in North America and Western Europe. Despite all the efforts made by individual countries, coverage rates are lower than expected. Vaccine safety represents one of the main concerns associated with the lack of acceptance of HPV vaccination both in the European Union/European Economic Area and elsewhere.

*Areas covered:* Safety data published on bivalent and quadrivalent HPV vaccines, both in pre-licensure and post-licensure phase, are reviewed.

**Expert opinion:** Based on the latest scientific evidence, both HPV vaccines seem to be safe. Nevertheless, public concern and rumors about adverse events (AE) represent an important barrier to overcome in order to increase vaccine coverage. Passive surveillance of AEs is an important tool for detecting safety signals, but it should be complemented by activities aimed at assessing the real cause of all suspect AEs. Improved vaccine safety surveillance is the first step for effective communication based on scientific evidence.

Keywords: adverse events, human papillomavirus, safety, vaccine

Expert Opin. Drug Saf. (2015) 14(5):697-712

#### 1. Introduction

Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) causes around 26,800 cases of cancer and 15,000 deaths each year in the European Union/European Economic Area (EU/ EEA) and around 27,000 cases and 6000 deaths in the US. Cervical cancer is the second most common type of cancer after breast cancer to affect women aged 15 - 44 years. The yearly incidence of cervical cancer per 100,000 females (all ages) ranges from less than 8.0 to 29.9, with the highest rates reported in the eastern EU Member States [1,2] while the US report an incidence of 7.9 per 100,000 females [3]. Two prophylactic HPV vaccines have been licensed, Gardasil® (Sanofi Pasteur MSD)/Silgard® (Merck Sharp & Dohme), a quadrivalent vaccine against the HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18 (qHPVv) approved at the end of 2006 and Cervarix® (GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals), a bivalent vaccine approved in 2007 for immunization against HPV types 16 and 18 (bHPVv) [4]. Both vaccines contain non-infectious inactivated subunits, and protect against the high-risk HPV types 16 and 18, responsible for more than 70% of cervical cancer cases. The qHPVv also protects against HPV 6 and 11, which cause most cases of genital warts. In large Phase III trials, both vaccines have been shown to prevent more than 90% of precancerous lesions associated with types 16 or 18 among HPV-naive women.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.



#### Article highlights.

- Both bivalent and quadrivalent vaccines are generally safe and well-tolerated.
- Site injection symptoms are the most frequent adverse events reported: of these, pain was generally the most frequently referred local symptom.
- Occurrence of serious adverse events was similar in both vaccine and control groups.
- No deaths from the introduction of the two vaccines have been attributed to human papilloma virus vaccination.
- Studies on the safety of the vaccine in some populations (men, women older than 25 years, HIV+ girls) have given satisfactory results.

This box summarizes key points contained in the article

Despite the reassuring results on vaccine safety provided by large trials [5-7] and post-marketing studies [8-11], parental and girls anxiety regarding serious adverse events (AEs) and fear of unknown side effects are still barriers to vaccination [12]. In the US, parents safety concerns are the third ranked reason for non-adherence to the vaccination [13], and in Europe, lower rates of vaccine intentions are associated with misconception and fear of AE/SAE. Currently, the coverage rate with three doses is around 40% in the US [3] and ranges from 17 to 84% in EU/EEA, where few countries reach satisfactory coverage levels and vaccine programmes vary considerably in terms of vaccine type and target population [2].

The purpose of this review is to examine the most relevant and recent evidence on safety of HPV vaccines, including both severe and non-severe AEs.

## 2. Mechanism of action, clinical application and efficacy of HPV vaccines

Both vaccines contain antigens composed of L1 proteins specific to each HPV type, which are derived using recombinant technology and form non-infectious virus-like proteins (VLPs) [14].

The quadrivalent vaccine is an adjuvanted non-infectious recombinant vaccine prepared from the highly purified VLPs of the major capsid L1 protein of HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18. The bivalent vaccine is an adjuvanted non-infectious recombinant vaccine prepared from the highly purified VLPs of the major capsid L1 protein of oncogenic HPV types 16 and 18 [15-18].

Since the VLPs contain no viral DNA, they cannot infect cells, reproduce or cause disease [9].

The HPV vaccines have been widely introduced in the national immunization programs in most of the worlds' medium- and high-income countries. Up to 2013, the vaccines were part of the national programs of 66 countries including almost all countries in North America and Western Europe [19] in schedules of three doses over a 6-month

period [20]. During the same period, 25 of the 31 EU/EEA countries had implemented routine HPV vaccination programmes (ranging from 9 to 18 years) including catch-up programmes (range from 12 to 40 years) [21]. Both vaccines are widely used. Girls/women, especially pre-adolescent girls, are the main vaccination targets in almost all the countries where HPV vaccines have been introduced, only a few countries include males in their vaccination strategies. The main methods used to deliver the vaccines are school-based immunization, practice-based immunization, sexual and reproductive health clinics and other medical clinics (often used for catch-up programmes targeting older adolescents and women).

Although conceptually similar, quadrivalent and bivalent vaccines differ in several aspects, including in regards to their quantitative and qualitative composition, pharmaceutical form and posology (age at the time of first injection and immunization schedule). Table 1 compares both vaccines, including the posology recommended by major regulatory bodies.

In terms of immunogenicity, bHPVv induces higher immune response when compared with qHPVv which may reflect the different adjuvant system used in each vaccine type [22].

The efficacy of both vaccines has been evaluated through pre- and post-licensure randomized control trials (RCT) [23]; the primary end point for these studies was prevention of CIN 2 or worse disease. The secondary efficacy end point was prevention of type-specific persistent infection, which is an obligate precursor of cervical cancer [24]. A recent review done by Schiller [25] showed that both vaccines are highly effective in preventing persistent infection and cervical diseases associated with vaccine-HPV types in young females.

Long-term protection of HPV vaccines, as well as for any new vaccine, is not fully predictable because of the short follow-up period (up to 9.3 years in the longest studies) and because it is not always related only to reasonable humoral immune response [26]; the persistence of immune response to bHPVv is reported in many studies [27,28] and in the summary of product characteristics, with a median follow-up period of 8.9 years and 100% seroconversion rate for HPV-16 and HPV-18 in the ELISA assay [29]. Protection up to 5 years post-vaccination has been demonstrated for qHPVv as well [30]. Long-term duration of efficacy (up to 6.4 years) reported in one of the efficacy studies suggests that antibody concentrations will remain high for at least 20 years [31] and some authors have developed mathematical models that suggest long-term immunity. Nevertheless, this still remains an ongoing and challenging issue [32].

A Phase III trial, including > 4000 males, suggests that prophylactic vaccination of boys and men with qHPVv may reduce the incidence of genital warts [33]. The study of Hillman *et al.* shows that immune responses to the qHPVv are broadly comparable in men and women [34].

Although the risk of acquisition of HPV infection is greatest in young and sexually active women, women older than

Table 1. Product information.

Name of the	Carvariy®		Sardaci   S.   Silvard®	
medicinal product				
Producer Qualitative and	GlaxoSmithKline Biologics 1 dose (0.5 ml) contains		Sanofi Pasteur MSD/Merck Sharp & Dohme 1 dose (0.5 ml) contains approximately	ıme V
Quantitative	Human papillomavirus type 16 L1 protein	20 micrograms	Human papillomavirus type 6 L1 protein	, 20 micrograms
composition	Human papillomavirus type 18 L1 protein	20 micrograms	Human papillomavirus type 11 L1 protein	40 micrograms
	2 adjuvanted by AS04 containing: 3-	50 micrograms	Human papillomavirus type 16 L1 protein	40 micrograms
		;		
	Adsorbed on aluminium hydroxide, hydrated (Al(OH)3)	0.5 milligrams Al3+ in total	Human papillomavirus type 18 L1 protein	20 micrograms
			Adsorbed on amorphous aluminium hydroxyphosphate	0.225 milligrams Al
			sulfate adjuvant.	
Pharmaceutical form	Suspension for injection. Turbid white suspension.	nsion.	Suspension for injection. After thorough agitation, it is a white, cloudy liquid	white, cloudy liquid
Posology: age at the	EMA FDA TGA	PHAC	EMA FDA TGA	PHAC
time of first injection	9 to and 9 – 25 years of Female from	Recommended	9 to and including 9 - 26 year of Females aged	Recommended
and immunization	age = Three doses	for females		for: females aged
schedule	14 years = Two each of 0.5 ml at age = Three doses		doses each of	9 – 26 years of
	doses each of 0, 1, 6 months each of 0.5 ml at	9 – 26 years of	at ار	age, males
	0.5 ml at 0, 0 0, 1, 6 months	age; may be	6 months	between 9 and
	6 months	administered to	From 14 years and of 0.5 ml at	26 years of age.
	From 15 years	female over	above = Three 0,2,6 months	May be
	and above =	26 years of	doses each of	administered to
	Three doses each	age = Three	0,5 ml at 0, 2, 6,	female over
	of 0,5 ml at 0, 1,	doses each of	months	26 years of
	6 months	0.5 ml at 0, 1,		age = Three doses
		6 months		each of 0.5 ml at
				0, 2, 6 months
Method of	Intramuscular injection		Intramuscular injection	
Contraindications	Hypersensitivity to the active substances or to any of the excipients	the excipients	Hypersensitivity to the active substances or to any of the excipients	of the excipients

EMA: European medicines agency, FDA: US food and drug administration; PHAC: Public health agency of Canada; TGA: Australian government department of Health - therapeutic goods administration.

Table 2. Characteristics of Phase II/III randomized control trials included in Lu et al. [39]

	FUTURE I [104]	FUTURE II [46]	PATRICIA [105]	Muñoz [35]	Koutsky and Mao [106,107]	Harper [27]	Villa [30]
Vaccine Phase Funding source No. study sites	Gardasil® III Merk 62	Gardasil® III Merk 90	Cervarix® III GlaxoSmithKline 135	Gardasil®     Merk 38	Cervarix® III Merk 16	Cervarix® III GlaxoSmithKline 32	Gardasil® II Merk 5
Control	225 µg Alumin- ium hydroxyphos- phate sulfate	225 µg Alumin- ium hydroxyphos- phate sulfate	14 Hepatitis A vaccine	, Placebo	Placebo	Placebo	Placebo
Age	16 – 24 Case Control (2673) (2672)	15 – 26 Case Control (6019) (6031)	15 – 25 Case Control (9319) (9325)	24 – 45 Case Control (1908) (1902)	16 – 25 Case Control (1194) (1198)	15 – 25 Case Control (531) (538)	16 – 23 Case Control (272) (274)
Injection-related SAE Risk ratio SAE Risk ratio	1 0 3.0 (0.12,73.58) 48 45 1.07 (0.71,1.60)	.25,	11 6 1.83 (0.68,4.96) 701 699 1.17 (0.64,2.14)	0 nable 7 1,1.65)	0 able 3 7,5.96)	0 0 Not estimable 22 19 1.17 (0.64,2.14)	0 0 Not estimable 2 2 2 1.01 (0.91,1.09)

25 years are also vulnerable to new infections [35]. The use of qHPVv in women between 27 and 45 has been studied and a good level of protection against infection and disease from the HPV types contained in the vaccine has been found among those women who were not previously infected with those HPV types. The results of an international Phase III trial (VIVIANA), demonstrate that prophylactic administration of qHPVv to 24- to 45-year-old women is highly efficacious; furthermore, this study confirms that the vaccine is also highly effective in women with evidence of previous HPV 6/11/16/18 infection but with no evidence of current infection, which is consistent with data published in other studies [36].

#### 3. Safety evaluation

Vaccines approved for use by the regulatory authorities have proven to be safe and effective. However, like other pharmaceutical products, vaccines are not completely risk-free and AEs will occasionally result from vaccination. Although most AEs are minor, in few cases more serious reactions may occur. As they are given to healthy individuals, a higher standard of safety is expected from immunizations as compared to other drugs [37]. To ensure continued public acceptance of vaccines and immunization programmes, it is essential to monitor the incidence of AEs following immunization (AEFI) [38]. For this review on the safety of the vaccines against HPV, we retrieved papers from PubMed® combining the concepts of HPV vaccine, safety and AEs in the search strategy. Results from seven RCTs from Lu et al. systematic review and meta-analysis are summarized in Table 2 [39]. Papers retrieved which included reports from passive surveillance and reviews from the last 5 years, are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. All these studies have outcome measures that include AEs, including local and systemic AEs and serious AEs (SAEs), among which also chronic and/or autoimmune diseases (ADs) and death. Case reports and studies focused on a single AE are not displayed on the table. Some recent reviews with similar outcomes are also included [40-43].

#### 3.1 Pre-licensure safety data

Vaccines, like other pharmaceutical products, undergo extensive testing, including safety, in three phases of clinical trials in human subjects before licensure. The review of Agorastos *et al.* [40]. assesses pre-licensure data from more than 60,000 women who received both vaccines, participating in different trials for establishing vaccine safety. Local reactions at the injection site (pain, redness and swelling) were significantly more frequent in vaccine than placebo recipients. Systemic AEFIs, including fever, nausea and dizziness were observed at a higher frequency than placebo. The most common systemic AEs following qHPVv vaccination reported in Resinger *et al.* study [44] were headache, fever and pharyngeal pain; however, there was no significant difference between vaccination groups and control groups. There were very few

Table 3. Human papilloma virus vaccines safety reviews.

Author	Title	Year of publication	Type of study	Place	Population	Vaccine type	R	Results
Weber et al. [42]	Childhood vaccination- associated adverse events by sex: A literature review	2014	Review		12 studies	HPV16/18 and HPV6/11/16/18	AE The most frequent local adverse event was injection-site pain, the incidence of adverse events did not increase with increasing number	SAE  No specific safety concern identified except for the Gee et al. [64] observation of an elevated risk of 1.98 for venous thromboembolism
Macartney et al. [41]	Safety of human papillomavirus vaccines: a review	2013	Review			HPV16/18 and HPV6/11/16/18	or toses Injection-site adverse reaction (especially pain) and mild self-limited systemic symptoms (such as myalgia and headache) occur commonly after vaccination and should be anticipated. Some of these symptoms are more	Consistent with the findings of the review no evidence supported an association of HPV vaccine with other outcomes, such new onset chronic diseases
Block <i>et al.</i> [43]	Clinical trial and post-licensure safety profile of a prophylactic Human Papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16 and 18) L1 virus-like particle vaccine	2010	Review of five clinical trials		21,480 girls and boys	HPV6/11/16/18	Pain, the most common injection-site AE, occurred more frequently with vaccine; 75% placebo aluminum; 45% placebo-saline). No differences were seen in the incidence of the most common non-serious AEs-headache and pyrexia	
Agorastos et al. [40]	Safety of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines: A review of the international experience so far	2009	Review based on national and international agencies	US, Canada, Australia, Europe, Germany, France, UK		HPV6/11/16/18 and HPV16/18	Pre-licensure data: Injection site symptoms were the most reported symptoms in one of the studies they were reported more frequently in the vaccine group. General symptoms was slightly higher in the vaccine group	Almost all the case-reports of SAE had weak or moderate strength of evidence for causality

AE: Adverse event; bHPVv: Bivalent HPV vaccine; SAE: Serious adverse event.

Table 4. Post-surveillance studies on human papilloma virus vaccines safety.

Author	Title	Year of publication	Type of study Place	Place	Population	Vaccine type	Results	
Angelo <i>et al.</i> [55]	Pooled analysis of large and long-term safety data from the human papillomavirus-16/18-AS04-adjuvanted vaccine clinical trial	2014	Post-licensure passive surveillance	Ϋ́		HPV16/18	AE Ten most frequent AEs are non-serious AE and representing 86% of all reports	SAE No specific safety concern identified from more than 4 years of HPV16/18 vaccine use in routine clinical practice
Markowitz et al. [10]	programmer.  Human Papillomavirus Vaccinations of the advisory committee on immunization practices (ACIP)	2014	VAERS passive surveillance data	USA	18.083 person (male and female) for qHPvv and different pooled of safety analysis (from 12,000 to 57,323 females) for bHPvv	HPV16/18 and HPV6/11/16/18	and 61.4% men in vaccine group, 62% of women and 46.2% of women and 46.2% of men in control group. Systemic clinical AEs were reported by similar proportion of vaccine and control groups among both females and males. BHPVv: pain 91.9% in vaccine group and 76,5% in control group, redness 25.7% in vaccine group and 25.7% in control group, swelling 44.3% in vaccine group and 19.5% in control group, swelling 44.3% in vaccine group.	qHPVv: < 0.1% of person suffered serious AEs. bHPVv 5.3% in vaccine group and 5.9% in control group. New autoimmune disorder incidence was 0.8% in both groups. None of the deaths reported was considered to be vaccine-related
Harris et al. [68]	Adverse events following immunization in Ontario's female schoolbased HPV program	2014	Reports of confirmed AEs following immunization	Canada	Over the reporting period 691,994 vaccine doses were distributed	HPV6/11/16/18	groups for general symptoms 213 HPV4 vaccine AEFI reports. In total there were specific event of 'other 152 AEs associated with the severe/unusual events' 133 individual qHPVv vaccine selected. Among AEFI reports. The majority of 133 confirmed qHPVv reports included a single AE vaccine AEFI reports, 7.5% (114/133; 86%) and the nore distinct events included two or including two reports of more distinct events anaphylaxis, two reports of anaphylaxis, two reports of frequently reported AEs were thrombocytopenia and one allergic reaction-dermatorer report of death (cardiac logic/mucosa' (25%), 'rash' condition was responsible) (22%), and local/injection site reaction' (20%).	26% of reports had a non- specific event of 'other severe/unusual events' selected. Among 133 confirmed qHPVv vaccine AEH reports, 7.5% (n = 10) were serious including two reports of anaphylaxis, two reports of anaphylaxis, two reports of seizure, one report of thrombocytopenia and one report of death (cardiac condition was responsible)

AE: Adverse event; AEFI: Adverse events following immunization; bHPVv: Bivalent HPV vaccine; qHPVv: Quadrivalent HPV vaccine; SAE: Serious adverse event.

Table 4. Post-surveillance studies on human papilloma virus vaccines safety (continued).

Author	Title	Year of publication	Type of study	Place	Population	Vaccine type	Results	
Levi <i>et al.</i> [60]	Evaluation of bivalent human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine safety and tolerability in a sample of 25 year old	2013	Post marketing monitoring	Italy	271 participants	HPV16/18	The most frequently reported adverse reaction proved to be pain at the site of injection (83.4% of doses), followed by local swelling (20.8%) and pyrexia (14.6%).	No severe symptoms were registered.
Labadie [63]	Post licensure safety evaluation of human papillomavi- rus vaccine	2012	Passive surveillance from VigiBase, VAERS and RIVM databases	Global safety surveillance		HPV16/18 and HPV6/11/16/18	qHPVv: Syncope was the most reported in VARES (15%), in VigiBase reported in < 1% of cases, the incidence was 12%. except for hypersensitivity Local reaction was described reaction and urticaria that in 14% of reports in VARES were between 1% and 4% and 18% in VigiBase. bHPVv and Venus thromboembolic Local reaction were the most event that was reported in reported symptoms (16.2%) in RIVM and (12.8%) in received bHPVv syncope 8.7% in RIVM and 8.7% in VigiBase. Headache was frequently reported in VigiBase database: 21.1% of	For all databases and for both vaccines SAE were reported in < 1% of cases, except for hypersensitivity reaction and urticaria that were between 1% and 4%, and Venus thromboembolic event that was reported in 1.5% of subjects who received bHPVv
Gold <i>et al.</i> [9]	Human papilloma- 2011 virus vaccine safety in Australia: experience to date and issues for surveillance	2011	Surveillance	Australia	1394 reports of suspected AEFI on > 5.8 million doses of vaccine	HPV6/11/16/18	all reports A total of 1394 suspected AEs following immunization uncommon and serious AEs, (AEFI) have been reported. both known to be potentiall Most reports are of common vaccine related (anaphylaxis, and expected reactions. conversion disorders and lipoatrophy) and otherwise (multiple sclerosis and pancreatitis) have been published.	Case series of more uncommon and serious AEs, both known to be potentially vaccine related (anaphylaxis, conversion disorders and lipoatrophy) and otherwise (multiple sclerosis and pancreatits) have been published.

AE: Adverse event; AEFI: Adverse events following immunization; bHPVV: Bivalent HPV vaccine; qHPVV: Quadrivalent HPV vaccine; SAE: Serious adverse event.

Table 4. Post-surveillance studies on human papilloma virus vaccines safety (continued).

Year of Type of study Place publication	e Population	Vaccine type Results
2011 Prospective US cohort study (prespecified AEs were selected based on safety data from prelicensure clinical trials)	600,558 females	HPV6/11/16/18 / No statistically significant increased risk for the outcomes studied (Guillan-Barre' syndrome, stroke, venous thromboembolism (VTE), appendicitis, seizures, syncope, allergic reaction and anaphylaxis. For venous thromboembolism an elevated risk of 1.98 among
Postlicensure safety 2009 Post-licensure US surveillance for passive quadrivalent surveillance human papillomavirus recombinant	More than 23 million doses distributed. 12,424 AEFI reports	the youth could be observed.  HPV6/11/16/18 Most common local reaction Disproportional reporting of reports included injection site venous thromboembolic pain (53%), erythema (28%) events was noted.  and swelling (22%). Syncope was the most frequent general SAE (74% of reports)
	ns	

Adverse event; AEFI: Adverse events following immunization; bHPVv: Bivalent HPV vaccine; qHPVv: Quadrivalent HPV vaccine; SAE: Serious adverse event

serious vaccine-related AEs (< 0.1%), and they were no more frequent than in those receiving placebo. Another review with meta-analysis [45] including six clinical trials described similar results, demonstrating that, overall, the incidence of SAEs and deaths was balanced between the vaccine and control groups (odds ratio for SAEs 0.998, 95% CI 0.87 - 1.14; for death 0.91, 95% CI 0.39 - 2.14). In the study by Paavonen et al. [46]. on bHPVva subset of women completed and returned safety diary cards documenting symptoms experienced within the first 30 days after vaccination; injection site symptoms and symptoms such as fatigue, headache and myalgia were reported more frequently in the vaccine group than in the control group. The proportion of women reporting new onset chronic disease, AD, and significant medical conditions during the entire duration of the study was similar in both groups. Overall, all pre-licensure studies reported local and general symptoms to be higher in the HPV vaccine groups than in the placebo groups; however, most symptoms were transient. No differences were found regarding SAEs. In Rivera-Medina Phase III, observer-blind, multi-centre, randomized, parallel group, controlled study, the occurrence of SAEs was similar in both vaccine (1.1%) and control groups (1.3%); there was no difference between study groups in the occurrence of SAEs and no SAE in the bHPVv vaccine group was considered related to vaccination [47].

#### 3.2 Post-licensure safety data

Vaccines continue to be monitored for safety after they are licensed. A range of surveillance options can be used to monitor the safety of vaccines and immunizations post-licensure [38]. Almost all countries have passive reporting systems for AEFI, where spontaneous events are reported by health care providers and consumers [41]. Examples of these passive reporting systems are vaccine adverse event reporting system (VAERS) in the US [48], the Canadian AE Following Immunisation Surveillance System (CAEFISS) [49], the UK Yellow Card scheme [50,51] or the Australian therapeutic goods administration system [52]; At the European level, there is no specific system to report AEFI, but vaccine safety reports are collected through the EUDRAVIGILANCE system at the EMA, as for any other drug AE. Additionally, spontaneous reports are also collected by law by the manufacturers using the MedDRA standard dictionary [8]. Even though passive reporting systems are the most widely used due to their relative ease of implementation, their cost and their ability to capture unexpected events, they are subject to reporting biases, such as under-reporting and/or stimulated reporting and above all they cannot prove causality.

On the other hand, active surveillance systems include large linked databases from defined populations (such as a single health care provider or Managed Care Organization) that were created separately from each other and linked to enable the sharing of data across platforms, like VigiBase<sup>TM</sup> created by the WHO [53] or the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) project in the US [10,54]. Post-marketing studies, including

surveillance activities, are also useful to improve the ability to detect AEs that are not detected during pre-licensure trials through bigger vaccinated cohorts.

It is important to underscore that reported AEFIs include any untoward medical incident that take place after an immunization. Such reporting definitions are deliberately loose in order to improve reporting of events that may generate a safety signal. However, it is of utmost importance to further assess each event in order to prove or discount a causal relationship with the vaccine. Unfortunately, such investigation is often complicated by incomplete or scarce information; therefore, the causal relationship between vaccine and AEFI may turn out to be impossible to verify.

#### 3.2.1 AEs

Local symptoms, which include pain, redness and swelling at the injection site, are the most frequent AEs reported for both vaccines also in the post-licensure phase [55]. Pain was usually the most frequently referred local symptom after each dose, often reported more frequently in people who were vaccinated with bHPVv compared to qHPVv, followed by redness and swelling; generally, the incidence of AEs did not increase with increasing number of doses [42,44,56]. In both vaccine and placebo groups, injection site symptoms were the most commonly reported, however, the incidence in vaccine groups is often significantly higher than in the control groups [10,47,57]. In Block et al. study [43], the proportion of individuals reporting an injection site AE was higher in qHPVv (82.9%) and aluminium-containing placebo recipients (77.4%) compared with non-aluminium-containing vaccine recipients (49%). Also in Resinger et al. study of qHPVv, the proportion of subjects who reported one or more injection-site or systemic adverse experiences tended to be higher after the first injection than after subsequent injections [58]. Local and general symptoms after bHPVv vaccination were found to be rare (< 5%) [47]. Additionally in their systematic review and meta-analysis, Lu et al. documented in detail the safety results of seven important RCT related to both vaccines [39]. Occurrence of AEs was reported in all RCT. Pain at injection site was the most frequently reported AE ranging from 83 to 93.4% in vaccine groups and 75.4 – 87.2% in control groups.

In the same and in other reviews, headache and fatigue were the most common vaccine-related systemic AEs [39,41]. Other general symptoms included headache, vasovagal syncope, fatigue, gastrointestinal symptoms, arthralgia, myalgia, rash, fever and urticaria, which are generally monitored by different types of surveillance systems after vaccination independently from the type of vaccine. Van Klooster *et al.* [59] found, in a post-marketing study conducted on over 1000 girls vaccinated with bHPVv, that myalgia was the systemic event most often reported, followed by fatigue and headache; the study also observed that older girls reported having myalgia, fatigue, list-lessness, dizziness, nausea, sleeping problems, cough, shortness of breath and diarrhea after the first dose significantly more often than younger girls. Levi *et al.* [60] in a bHPVv post-

licensure study described a statistically significant difference in the frequency of fever in their sample of 25 years old women when compared with a pre-adolescent group (14.6% against 3.3%, respectively). Klein et al. conducted a post-marketing retrospective observational study and observed a not unexpected association between qHPVv and syncope [61]. Also in the report from VAERS, Slade et al. described an increase of syncope events after qHPVv vaccination aggravated by falls and head injuries [62]. The study of Labadie et al. is a summary of post-licensure safety information from VigiBase, VAERS report on qHPVv and Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheiden Milieu (RIVM) report on bHPVv; vasovagal syncope is among the most frequently reported AEs in both VAERS and RIVM data [63]. On the other hand, in a recent Vaccine Safety Datalink study, the rates of syncope after qHPVv vaccination were comparable with those following health care visits for other vaccinations [64]. General symptoms like syncope are often associated with injection and for this reason could be prevented by the simple recommendation to have patients sit for 15 min after vaccination. Systemic clinical AEs were reported by Markowitz et al. in a similar proportion among both males and females who received qHPVv independently from their belonging to the group of vaccinated or to the control group [10].

#### 3.2.2 SAEs

SAEs are generally defined as any medical occurrence that is life-threatening, requires or prolongs hospital admission, results in disability, incapacity or death [65,66]. ADs and death will be evaluated separately. The incidence of SAEs following bHPVv vaccination described in Schwartz et al. study was 7.1%. The most frequently reported SAEs were appendicitis, abdominal pain, incomplete spontaneous abortion and ovarian cyst. One fatal SAE was reported in a participant who experienced aortic rupture during a heart operation. None of the reported SAEs were considered by the investigators as related to vaccination [67]. Klein et al. studied a populationbased cohort of 200,000 females, 44,000 of whom received all three doses of qHPVv; the findings from this large, comprehensive study did not detect any evidence of serious safety concerns secondary to qHPVv [61]. Harris et al. described an incidence of 7.5% (n = 10) SAEs following qHPVv vaccination, including reports of anaphylaxis, seizures, thrombocytopenia and a fatal case. Further review found that these reports were attributable to pre-existing conditions and no causal relation was attributable to the vaccine [68].

In the following paragraphs, we discuss specific events that have been studied more in depth in the literature as allegedly related or triggered by HPV vaccination.

#### 3.2.2.1 Venous thromboembolism

In a 2011 prospective cohort study, an RR of 1.98 for venous thromboembolism (VTE) was observed among young girls (9 – 17 years) receiving at least one dose of qHPVv; all of five confirmed VTE cases were found to have other risk

factors for VTE; however, the study was unable to determine whether the VTE observed were attributable to these common risk factors, or of these were effect modifiers of the association between gHPVv vaccine and VTE [64]. In the post-licensure surveillance study from VAERS database of Slade et al., there were 56 reports of VTEs after qHPVv, for an RR of 0.2 case per 100,000 doses. Females may have other risk factors for VTE (contraceptive use, family risk, etc.). The population of young women who frequently use hormonal contraceptives overlaps with those receiving the qHPVv, and as such, coincidental occurrences of VTE among qHPVv recipients may be anticipated [62]. In a post-licensure study in Canada on qHPVv, no new cases of VTE were observed during the 4 years of the study duration suggesting no evidence of a safety concern for this outcome [68]. Finally, in two Scandinavian studies, the Arnheim-Dahlstrom study and the Scheller study, the rate ratio for the association between exposure to qHPVv vaccine and venous thromboembolism 0.86 (95% CI, 0.55 - 1.36) and 0.77 (95% CI, 0.53 - 1.11), respectively; similarly, no association was observed in subgroup analyses by age, including only anticoagulant-treated cases, only exposed cases or when adjusting for oral contraceptive use. Therefore, studies did not identify safety signals with respect to venous thromboembolic events after the qHPVv had been administered [69,70].

#### 3.2.2.2 Guillain-Barre' syndrome

Guillain-Barre's syndrome (GBS) is an acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy and has been alleged as one of the most common neurological SAE following different vaccines [71]; the occurrence of GBS after vaccination with qHPVv (Gardasil) has been investigated by many different authors; despite some studies describe a higher reporting rate of GBS in vaccinated girls [72,73], others report that the occurrence of GBS after HPV vaccination is not suggestive of a causal association [8,74,75]. Data from the Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (CDC), collected from the VAERS database, indicated that the reported number of cases was within the range expected by chance alone in the population [76]. In addition, the study of Gee et al. conducted a sequential analyses using data from VSD to detect any association between qHPVv exposure and pre-specified outcomes that included GBS, in addition historical background rate was used as the comparison group; a total of more than 600,000 doses were administered during the study and final conclusion was that there was no statistically increased between qHPVv and GBS [64]. In another large case-control study of young girls, 0 cases of GBS were observed in the qHPVv group [77].

#### 3.2.2.3 Systemic lupus erythematous

Systemic lupus erythematous (SLE) is an AD, probably due to the pharmacological management of the disease with drugs like corticosteroids, patients with which have an increased risk of persistent HPV infection compared to healthy females [78]. They also have a higher risk for developing abnormal cervical smears and squamous intraepithelial lesions of the cervix. Because of these reasons, vaccination against HPV in lupus patients is especially important. Eight case reports in the literature suggested an association between vaccination and evolution and/or exacerbation of SLE; however, studies have not provided evidence in support of this association [79,80]. A prospective study with 27 SLE patients after qHPVv vaccination shows that this vaccine was generally safe, well-tolerated and immunogenic in SLE patients [81]. At the moment, all the evidence in the literature relates the suggestion that there might be a causal relationship between HPV vaccination and SLE exacerbation.

#### 3.2.2.4 Other ADs

Concerns about autoimmune and neurological conditions being triggered by HPV vaccination may be fuelled by findings related to other vaccines. In the study of Arnheim-Dahlstrom [69], a significantly increased finding of three outcomes (Behcet's syndrome, Raynaud's disease, and type 1 diabetes) was observed, but further assessment showed no consistent evidence for a plausible causal association; first, these risk signals were relatively weak and, secondly, no temporal relation between vaccine exposure and outcome was evident. Nevertheless, these findings need to be investigated further in studies with longer follow-up time, validation of outcomes and data regarding time of onset. Case series of more autoimmune and uncommon diseases have been published, especially regarding demyelinating syndromes and other neurological events; some authors suggest that qHPVv is a potent immune-stimulatory signal that may trigger CNS disease in vulnerable populations, but subsequent evaluation using multiple epidemiologic methods did not demonstrate any association [9]. Furthermore, two large retrospective, observational cohort studies conducted with Kaiser Permanente on 189,629 females who received at least one gHPVv dose found that no one of autoimmune condition examined during the studies demonstrated any relation to vaccination timing, dose sequence or age [61,74]. The systematic casecontrol study of incidence of five types of ADs associated with qHPVv conducted by Grimaldi-Besonuda et al. in France, compared cases from a network of specialist centers with controls from a network of general practitioners, they found no evidence of an increase in the risk of the ADs following vaccination except for a lower Odds Ratio for central demyelination; however, also this finding had a low statistical power probably due to the rarity of AD cases [77].

#### 3.2.2.5 Fatal outcome

In one review during 7.4 years follow-up, death was reported in < 0.06% among those who received bHPVv and 0.07% among the control group [10]. The bHPVv double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled trial conducted in China by Zhu *et al.* described no-differences in the incidence rates of death in vaccine group and in the control group [82]. In some

studies of AEFI cases associated with both vaccines reported by passive surveillance, no differences in death rates between the vaccines and the general population was observed [63]. Harris *et al.* observed all the qHPVv AEFI reports from 2007 to 2011 in Ontario with more than 600,000 doses of vaccine distributed; the only death that occurred was attributed to a pre-existing cardiac condition [68]. Deaths observed in the VAERS passive surveillance and reported by Slade *et al.* [62] described causes other than recent vaccination.

## *3.2.3 Safety in other population groups 3.2.3.1 Males*

Gardasil is the only HPV vaccine licensed for males, for this reason, all the safety data are referred to this vaccine type. Studies which include the safety of the vaccine in male populations show that the most common AEs reported were injection-site related, and most of these were of mild-to-moderate severity [83]. Safety data for the US reported by the CDC [10] show that injection-site reactions are reported less in males than in women, for example, pain was reported in 61.4% of men and in 83.9% of women; vaccine-related SAE occurred in < 0.1% of vaccinated individuals. In the same report, the 3 years follow-up data showed that the same percentage of vaccinated (1.5%) and non-vaccinated men (1.5%) had conditions potentially indicative of autoimmune disorders, comparable to the prevalence in the general population (1.6%) [84].

#### 3.2.3.2 Female aged > 25 years

Regarding safety in females aged more than 25 years, a Phase III RCT that randomly assigned women to receive either bHPVv or control (aluminium hydroxide) has shown that injection-site symptoms and general solicited symptoms during the 7-day post-vaccination period, occurred more often in the vaccine group than in the control group; other than these symptoms, the incidence of unsolicited symptoms, SAEs, new onset chronic disease and new-onset AD was similar in both groups. Furthermore, none of the deaths occurring during the study was due to vaccination [57]. Also, the qHPVv Munoz et al. case-control study shows that the proportion of women who reported SAEs after any vaccination was comparable between the vaccine and placebo. Injectionsite AEs were mainly responsible for the slight increase in AEs recorded in the vaccine group [35]. No serious adverse experiences have been reported in the context of Luna et al. long-term study period as well [85].

#### 3.2.3.3 Males and females HIV+

The prevalence of HPV and CIN 2/3 is higher in HIV-infected women than in uninfected women and varies over time and with the degree of immunosuppression [86]. HPV infections persist longer in HIV-infected women, and with increased immunosuppression, anogenital warts may become extensive and intraepithelial lesions are more likely to be dysplastic [87]. The incidence of anal cancer is increasing in HIV+

men, especially in men who have sex with men; furthermore, the risk of other HPV-associated cancers has been demonstrated to be increased among HIV-infected individuals [88]. A trial conducted by Levin et al. described the type and the frequency of AEs reported within 14 days after the first dose of gHPVv; AEs were infrequent and their occurrence was similar in vaccine and placebo recipients, except for injection site reactions (p = 0.19) that were more frequent in vaccine group. Injection-site reactions were mainly low grade and not more frequent after the second or third dose. AEs did not differ between groups [89]. Other studies report that vaccines are generally safe and well-tolerated both in pre- and postlicensing surveillance for HIV+ female and males [90]; in addition, results suggest that this population may benefit from HPV immunoprophylaxis [91,92]. Comparing the two vaccines, it should be noted that mild injection site reactions were more common in the group vaccinated with bHPVv, but the overall incidence of minor and major AE of both vaccines was acceptable for patients [93,94]. Further studies and trials are starting to enroll individuals to examine the long term efficacy of HPV vaccination in HIV-infected individuals [95].

#### 3.3 Rumors on HPV vaccines safety

Since HPV vaccines have been introduced into national immunization programs, there have been a number of instances of public opinion being influenced by rumors of SAEs. Recently, more than 300 girls in Carmen de Bolivar (Colombia) were reported to have experienced fainting, shortness of breath and weakness in the limbs [96,97], allegedly linked to qHPVv. The cause of such mysterious event has not been fully explained, but the local authorities concluded that it was highly unlikely that there was any causal relationship with qHPVv and believe that it was a phenomenon of mass somatization disorder (hysterical neurosis). Nevertheless, such an event elicited strong attention from the media. Sudden deaths have been also allegedly connected to HPV vaccination. The majority of these reports have been disproved to have any causal relationship with the usage of the vaccine, such as in the UK where a girl from Coventry in 2009 died following a cervical cancer vaccination with claims of a causal association; but 2 days later medical evidence suggested that her death was due to a tumor heavily infiltrated in her chest [98,99]. Thanks to a good management of the event by public health authorities, such an event had a very limited impact on the HPV vaccination programme in the UK [100]. In contrast, when public health authorities have got little evidence on the real cause of the AE, the impact on the vaccination programme may be serious. In Spain, two girls apparently became ill after receiving one dose of qHPVv on the 4th and 6th of February 2009; as a consequence the Ministry of Health temporarily suspended the use of a batch of qHPVv. Despite the fact that the possibility of a correlation between the vaccine and the AE was subsequently excluded, in December 2009 the Ministry of Health received a petition signed by more than 9500 citizens who called for qHPVv withdrawal.

Similarly, in 2013, the Japanese government withdrew its recommendation for use of HPV vaccines in girls following public concerns about adverse effects [101]. In that occasion, the Japanese Ministry of Health instructed local health authorities not to promote the use of the vaccine until investigation on adverse effects was concluded; as a result HPV vaccine coverage was dramatically decreased [102].

#### 4. Conclusion

This review has considered data on about the safety profile of two HPV prophylactic vaccines; most of the studies identified confirm the previous findings from pre- and post-licensure studies, according to which both vaccines are generally safe and well-tolerated. Site injection symptoms are the most frequent AEs reported, with pain being the most frequently referred local symptom after each dose, reaching an incidence of over 80%. These symptoms usually disappear shortly after vaccination and the incidence decreases with the second and third dose of vaccine. General symptoms such as headache, syncope and fever are reported from 10 to 30% of cases, although no significant difference has been observed between vaccination and control groups. The incidence of SAEs is variable but in most cases causal association is not proven. Additionally, the occurrence of these events is similar in both vaccine and control groups. For specific categories of SAE (ADs, venous thromboembolism, neurological syndromes) for which the absence of correlation with the vaccination has already been demonstrated, it is important to keep studying new cases to understand the pathogenesis of these diseases, especially when the reports come from passive surveillance where information to make this assessment may be missing. It is also of utmost importance to verify the absence of association between the vaccine and deaths which occurred after vaccination; no deaths from the introduction of the two vaccines have been attributed to HPV vaccination, but some cases have been poorly investigated leaving room for speculation, which could damage vaccination programs. Some studies on the safety of the vaccine in groups other than the primary target population (men, women older than 25 years, HIV+ girls) have already been published and have given satisfactory results comparable with those in the primary target population; recruitment for new trials is already started.

#### 5. Expert opinion

Prevention of cervical cancer and other diseases associated to HPV infection is a public health priority. The positive public health impact of HPV vaccination depends on vaccine acceptance in order to reach high vaccination coverage. This is the reason why it is important to correctly manage any rumor about vaccine safety. Since 2008, when mass vaccination campaigns started in many industrialized countries, several SAEs allegedly reported as caused by HPV vaccines have been shown to be only temporarily associated but not causally associated, with the vaccination. Nevertheless, such events elicited large attention by the media and, in many cases, negatively impacted on the vaccination programmes due to concern in the public. The routine passive surveillance systems need to be reinforced in order to be able to identify any safety signal, but also a strong effort is necessary afterwards to improve the quality of AE investigation for causality assessment in order to better inform the communication by public health authorities.

A second generation of HPV vaccines might be available soon; these vaccines would be cheaper and more stable, able to protect against different and more numerous cancer-related strains and both therapeutic and prophylactic. Phase II and Phase III trials are now conducting by the manufacturers [103]. Improvement of safety profile, especially related to local reactogenicity, would be welcome in order to improve public acceptance.

A two doses schedule has been recently adopted in some countries and is under consideration by public health authorities in other countries. When the current three doses schedule will be progressively replaced by the two doses, consequently the overall acceptance of the vaccination programme may improve as well.

Both HPV vaccines available are generally safe and well tolerated. Efforts should be made to increase the vaccination coverage of these vaccines as an important tool to decrease the disease burden of HPV.

#### **Declaration of interest**

The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

#### **Bibliography**

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (•) or of considerable interest (••) to readers.

- WHO. 2012. Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr/Pages/bar\_sex\_site\_ sel.aspx [Cited 17 December 2014]
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Introduction of HPV vaccines in European Union countries an update. 2012
- CDC Centre for disease prevention and control. USA 2014;
   Available from: http://www.cdc.gov [Updated 2014]
- 4. EMA. Update on 2014; Available from: http://www.ema. europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/ medicines/human/medicines/000721/ human\_med\_000694. jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124 [Updated 6 October 2014]
- Gamble HL, Klosky JL, Parra GR, Randolph ME. Factors influencing familial decision-making regarding human papillomavirus vaccination. J Pediatr Psychol 2010;35(7):704-15
- Sotiriadis A, Dagklis T, Siamanta V, et al. Increasing fear of adverse effects drops intention to vaccinate after the introduction of prophylactic HPV vaccine. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2012;285(6):1719-24
- Rambout L, Tashkandi M, Hopkins L, Tricco AC. Self-reported barriers and facilitators to preventive human papillomavirus vaccination among adolescent girls and young women: a systematic review. Prev Med 2014;58:22-32
- Angelo MG, Zima J, Tavares Da Silva F, et al. Post-licensure safety surveillance for human papillomavirus-16/18-AS04adjuvanted vaccine: more than 4 years of experience. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2014;23(5):456-65
- Gold MS, McIntyre P. Human papillomavirus vaccine safety in Australia: experience to date and issues for surveillance. Sex Health 2010;7(3):320-4
- Markowitz LE, Dunne EF, Saraiya M, et al. Human papillomavirus vaccination. MMWR Recomm Rep 2014;63(RR-05):1-30
- Large and comprehensive paper, focusing not only on the safety aspect,

- with a section for each vaccine. It is centred on the USA.
- Brotherton JM. Safety of the quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine. BMJ 2013;347:f5631
- Brotherton JM. Human papillomavirus vaccination: Where are we now?
   J Paediatr Child Health 2014.50(12):959-65
- Stokley S, Jeyarajah J, Yankey D, et al. Human papillomavirus vaccination coverage among adolescents, 2007-2013, and postlicensure vaccine safety monitoring, 2006-2014–United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014;63(29):620-4
- Chen J, Ni G, Liu XS. Papillomavirus virus like particle-based therapeutic vaccine against human papillomavirus infection related diseases: immunological problems and future directions.
   Cell Immunol 2011;269(1):5-9
- EMA product information. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/ en\_GB/document\_library/EPAR\_-\_ Product\_Information/human/000721/ WC500024632.pdf
- EMA product information Cervarix.
   Available from: http://www.ema.europa.
   eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/
   EPAR\_-\_Product\_Information/human/
   000703/WC500021142.pdf
- FDA. Highlights of prescribing informationrevised 2014.
   2014. Available from: http://www.fda. gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ Vaccines/ApprovedProducts/ UCM111263.pdf
- FDA. Highlights of prescribing information (Cervarix).
   2014. Available from: http://www.fda. gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ Vaccines/ApprovedProducts/ UCM186981.pdf
- cervicalcancer.org. Global Progress in HPV Vaccination. updated September 2014 Available from: http://www. cervicalcanceraction.org/comments/ comments3.php; [Cited 2014]
- 20. EMA.
  2008.updated 14 August 201417 Dec
  2014 Available from: http://www.ema.
  europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/
  medicines/human/medicines/000703/
  human\_med\_000805.
  jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124

- Vaccine Schedule. Internet
   2013. Available from: http://vaccine-schedule.ecdc.europa.eu/Pages/Scheduler.
   aspx [Cited 17 December 2014]
- 22. Einstein MH, Baron M, Levin MJ, et al.
  Comparative immunogenicity and safety
  of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/
  18 vaccine and HPV-6/11/16/18 vaccine:
  follow-up from months 12-24 in a
  Phase III randomized study of healthy
  women aged 18-45 years. Hum Vaccin
  2011;7(12):1343-58
- Petaja T, Pedersen C, Poder A, et al.
   Long-term persistence of systemic and mucosal immune response to HPV-16/18
   AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in preteen/adolescent girls and young women.
   Int J Cancer 2011;129(9):2147-57
- Basu P, Banerjee D, Singh P, et al.
   Efficacy and safety of human papillomavirus vaccine for primary prevention of cervical cancer: a review of evidence from phase III trials and national programs. South Asian J Cancer 2013;2(4):187-92
- Schiller JT, Castellsague X, Garland SM. A review of clinical trials of human papillomavirus prophylactic vaccines. Vaccine 2012;30(Suppl 5):F123-38
- 26. Naud PS, Roteli-Martins CM, De Carvalho NS, et al. Sustained efficacy, immunogenicity, and safety of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: Final analysis of a long-term follow-up study up to 9.4 years post-vaccination. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2014;10(8):2147-62
- 27. Harper DM, Franco EL, Wheeler CM, et al. Sustained efficacy up to 4.5 years of a bivalent L1 virus-like particle vaccine against human papillomavirus types 16 and 18: follow-up from a randomised control trial. Lancet 2006;367(9518):1247-55
- 28. Dillner J, Kjaer SK, Wheeler CM, et al. Four year efficacy of prophylactic human papillomavirus quadrivalent vaccine against low grade cervical, vulvar, and vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia and anogenital warts: randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2010;341:c3493
- GlaxoSmithKline. Cervarix: Summary of product Characteristics.
   2014; Available from: http://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/20204.
   [Updated 8 Decemer 2014]

- Villa LL, Costa RL, Petta CA, et al.
   High sustained efficacy of a prophylactic quadrivalent human papillomavirus types 6/11/16/18 L1 virus-like particle vaccine through 5 years of follow-up.
   Br J Cancer 2006;95(11):1459-66
- David MP, Van Herck K, Hardt K, et al. Long-term persistence of anti-HPV-16 and -18 antibodies induced by vaccination with the AS04-adjuvanted cervical cancer vaccine: modeling of sustained antibody responses.
   Gynecol Oncol 2009;115(3 Suppl):S1-6
- Mariani L, Venuti A. HPV vaccine: an overview of immune response, clinical protection, and new approaches for the future. J Transl Med 2010;8:105
- Newman PA, Logie CH, Doukas N, Asakura K. HPV vaccine acceptability among men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sex Transm Infect 2013;89(7):568-74
- 34. Hillman RJ, Giuliano AR, Palefsky JM, et al. Immunogenicity of the quadrivalent human papillomavirus (type 6/11/16/18) vaccine in males 16 to 26 years old. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2012;19(2):261-7
- 35. Munoz N, Manalastas R Jr,
  Pitisuttithum P, et al. Safety,
  immunogenicity, and efficacy of
  quadrivalent human papillomavirus
  (types 6, 11, 16, 18) recombinant
  vaccine in women aged 24-45 years:
  a randomised, double-blind trial. Lancet
  2009;373(9679):1949-57
- Castellsague X, Munoz N,
  Pitisuttithum P, et al. End-of-study
  safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of
  quadrivalent HPV (types 6, 11, 16, 18)
  recombinant vaccine in adult women
  24-45 years of age. Br J Cancer
  2011;105(1):28-37
- Chen RT. Vaccine risks: real, perceived and unknown. Vaccine 1999.17(Suppl 3):S41-S6
- WHO safety training.
   2014; Available from: http://vaccinesafety-training.org/
- Lu B, Kumar A, Castellsague X,
  Giuliano AR. Efficacy and safety of
  prophylactic vaccines against cervical HPV
  infection and diseases among women:
  a systematic review & meta-analysis.
  BMC Infect Dis 2011;11:13
- This is still one of the most complete review and meta-analysis available in the literature.

- Agorastos T, Chatzigeorgiou K, Brotherton JM, Garland SM. Safety of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines: a review of the international experience so far. Vaccine 2009;27(52):7270-81
- This is a good and comprehensive review including evidence until 2009, we included also more recent review but this one is still useful.
- Macartney KK, Chiu C, Georgousakis M, Brotherton JM. Safety of human papillomavirus vaccines: a review. Drug Saf 2013;36(6):393-412
- One of the last and more update review on human papillomavirus virus (HPV) vaccines' safety topic.
- Weber SK, Schlagenhauf P. Childhood vaccination associated adverse events by sex: A literature review. Travel Med Infect Dis 2014;12(5):459-80
- 43. Block SL, Brown DR, Chatterjee A, et al. Clinical trial and post-licensure safety profile of a prophylactic human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, and 18) 11 virus-like particle vaccine.

  Pediatr Infect Dis J 2010;29(2):95-101
- Gasparini R, Bonanni P, Levi M, et al. Safety and tolerability of bivalent HPV vaccine: an Italian post-licensure study. Hum Vaccin 2011;7(Suppl):136-46
- Rambout L, Hopkins L, Hutton B, Fergusson D. Prophylactic vaccination against human papillomavirus infection and disease in women: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. CMAJ 2007;177(5):469-79
- 46. Paavonen J, Jenkins D, Bosch FX, et al. Efficacy of a prophylactic adjuvanted bivalent L1 virus-like-particle vaccine against infection with human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 in young women: an interim analysis of a phase III double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2007;369(9580):2161-70
- Medina DM, Valencia A, de Velasquez A, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: a randomized, controlled trial in adolescent girls.
   J Adolescent Health 2010;46(5):414-21
- 48. VAERS website. Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting system: centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), agencies of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Service. Available from: https://vaers.hhs.gov/index

- Public Health Agency of Canada.
   Adverse events following immunization reporting form: public Health Agency of Canada. Available from: http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/ [Cited October 2014]
- 50. Medicines and Health products
  Regulatory Agency (MHRA). Yellowcard:
  medicines and Health products
  Regulatory Agency (MHRA). Available
  from: https://yellowcard.mhra.gov.uk/
  yellowcards/reportmediator/ [Cited 17
  December 2014]
- 51. Medicines and Healthcare products
  Regulatory Agency (MHRA). Public
  assessment report. Cervarix (HPV
  vaccine): update on UK safety experience
  at the end of 4 years use in the HPV
  routine immunisation programme:
  Medicines and Healthcare products
  Regulatory Agency (MHRA). Available
  from: http://www.mhra.gov.uk/ [Cited
  17 December 2014]
- 52. Australian Goverment. Australian TGA system for adverse events surveillance: australian Government. Available from: http://www.tga.gov.au/safety/problem-medicine.htm
  [Cited October 2014]
- Lindquist M. Use of triage strategies in the WHO signal-detection process.
   Drug Saf 2007;30(7):635-7
- Baggs J, Gee J, Lewis E, et al. The vaccine safety datalink: a model for monitoring immunization safety. Pediatrics 2011;127(Suppl 1):S45-53
- Angelo MG, David MP, Zima J, et al. Pooled analysis of large and long-term safety data from the human papillomavirus-16/18-AS04-adjuvanted vaccine clinical trial programme. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2014;23(5):466-79
- 56. Khatun S, Akram Hussain SM, Chowdhury S, et al. Safety and immunogenicity profile of human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04 adjuvant cervical cancer vaccine: a randomized controlled trial in healthy adolescent girls of Bangladesh. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2012;42(1):36-41
- 57. Skinner SR, Szarewski A,
  Romanowski B, et al. Efficacy, safety,
  and immunogenicity of the human
  papillomavirus 16/18 AS04-adjuvanted
  vaccine in women older than 25 years:
  4-year interim follow-up of the phase 3,
  double-blind, randomised controlled

- VIVIANE study. The Lancet 2014;384(9961):2213-27
- 58. Reisinger KS, Block SL, Lazcano-Ponce E, et al. Safety and persistent immunogenicity of a quadrivalent human papillomavirus types 6, 11, 16, 18 L1 virus-like particle vaccine in preadolescents and adolescents: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2007;26(3):201-9
- 59. van Klooster TM, Kemmeren JM, van der Maas NA, de Melker HE. Reported adverse events in girls aged 13-16 years after vaccination with the human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/ 18 vaccine in the Netherlands. Vaccine 2011;29(28):4601-7
- Levi M, Bonanni P, Burroni E, et al.
   Evaluation of bivalent human
   papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine safety and tolerability in a sample of 25 year old
   Tuscan women. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2013;9(7):1407-12
- Klein NP, Hansen J, Chao C, et al. Safety of quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine administered routinely to females. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2012;166(12):1140-8
- Slade BA, Leidel L, Vellozzi C, et al. Postlicensure safety surveillance for quadrivalent human papillomavirus recombinant vaccine. Jama 2009;302(7):750-7
- This study include quadrivalent (qHPVv) reports received by VAERS from June 2006 through December 2008, it explains well the results for each outcome including Guillain-Barre's syndrome, venous thromboembolism and death.
- Labadie J. Postlicensure safety evaluation of human papilloma virus vaccines. Int J Risk Saf Med 2011;23(2):103-12
- This study collects safety data about HPV vaccines from three different passive surveillance sources, providing quite robust and consistent evidence.
- 64. Gee J, Naleway A, Shui I, et al. Monitoring the safety of quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine: findings from the Vaccine Safety Datalink. Vaccine 2011;29(46):8279-84
- This study reports the results from a passive surveillance on more than 600 000 gHPVv administered doses.
- FDA safety. Available from: http://www. fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/

- HowToReport/ucm053087.htm [cited 17 December 2014]
- 66. European parliament and the council.
  Dir 2001/83/EC art.1(12)
  2001. Available from: http://www.ema.
  europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/
  Regulatory\_and\_procedural\_guideline/
  2009/10/WC500004481.pdf
- 67. Schwarz TF, Huang LM, Lin TY, et al. Long-term immunogenicity and safety of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in 10-14 year old girls: Open six-year follow-up of an initial observer-blinded, randomized trial. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2014;33(12):1255-61
- 68. Harris T, Williams DM, Fediurek J, et al. Adverse events following immunization in Ontario's female school-based HPV program. Vaccine 2014;32(9):1061-6
- 69. Arnheim-Dahlstrom L, Pasternak B, Svanstrom H, et al. Autoimmune, neurological, and venous thromboembolic adverse events after immunisation of adolescent girls with quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in Denmark and Sweden: cohort study. BMJ 2013;347:f5906
- This study is a passive surveillance including a population of more than 1 million girls; it's particularly useful for reviewing autoimmune, neurological, and venous thromboembolic adverse events.
- Scheller NM, Pasternak B, Svanstrom H, Hviid A. Quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine and the risk of venous thromboembolism. Jama 2014;312(2):187-8
- 71. Pellegrino P, Carnovale C, Pozzi M, et al. On the relationship between human papilloma virus vaccine and autoimmune diseases. Autoimmun Rev 2014;13(7):736-41
- Souayah N, Michas-Martin PA, Nasar A, et al. Guillain-barre syndrome after gardasil vaccination: data from vaccine adverse event reporting system.
   2006-2009. Vaccine 2011;29(5):886-9
- 73. Ojha RP, Jackson BE, Tota JE, et al. Guillain-Barre syndrome following quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccination among vaccine-eligible individuals in the United States. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2014;10(1):232-7
- Chao C, Klein NP, Velicer CM, et al. Surveillance of autoimmune conditions

- following routine use of quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine. J Intern Med 2012;271(2):193-203
- The study is about 200,000 women who received qHPVv between 2006 and 2008 and reports comprehensive data on autoimmune diseases.
- Slade BA, Gee J, Broder KR, Vellozzi C. Comment on the contribution by Souayah et al., Guillain-Barre syndrome after Gardasil vaccination: data from Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System 2006-2009. Vaccine 2011;29(5):865-6
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Vaccine safety: centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/ vaccinesafety/Vaccines/HPV/Index. html#data [Cited 17 December 2014]
- Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Guillemot D, Godeau B, et al. Autoimmune disorders and quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccination of young female subjects.
   J Intern Med 2014;275(4):398-408
- 78. Tam LS, Chan AY, Chan PK, et al.
  Increased prevalence of squamous
  intraepithelial lesions in systemic lupus
  erythematosus: association with human
  papillomavirus infection. Arthritis Rheum
  2004;50(11):3619-25
- Soldevilla HF, Briones SF, Navarra SV.
   Systemic lupus erythematosus following HPV immunization or infection? Lupus 2012;21(2):158-61
- Gatto M, Agmon-Levin N, Soriano A, et al. Human papillomavirus vaccine and systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Rheumatol 2013;32(9):1301-7
- 81. Soybilgic A, Onel KB, Utset T, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the quadrivalent HPV vaccine in female systemic lupus erythematosus patients aged 12 to 26 years. Pediatr Rheumatol Online J 2013;11:29
- 82. Zhu FC, Chen W, Hu YM, et al. Efficacy, immunogenicity and safety of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in healthy Chinese women aged 18-25 years: results from a randomized controlled trial. Int J Cancer 2014;135(11):2612-22
- 83. Garnock-Jones KP, Giuliano AR.

  Quadrivalent human papillomavirus
  (HPV) types 6, 11, 16, 18 vaccine: for
  the prevention of genital warts in males.
  Drugs 2011;71(5):591-602

- Fairweather D, Rose NR. Women and autoimmune diseases. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;10(11):2005-11
- Luna J, Plata M, Gonzalez M, et al. Long-term follow-up observation of the safety, immunogenicity, and effectiveness of Gardasil in adult women. PLoS One 2013;8(12):e83431
- 86. Moscicki AB, Ellenberg JH, Farhat S, Xu J. Persistence of human papillomavirus infection in HIV-infected and -uninfected adolescent girls: risk factors and differences, by phylogenetic type. J Infect Dis 2004;190(1):37-45
- Palefsky J. Human papillomavirus infection in HIV-infected persons.
   Top HIV Med 2007;15(4):130-3
- 88. Palefsky JM. HPV infection in men. Dis Markers 2007;23(4):261-72
- 89. Levin MJ, Moscicki AB, Song LY, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a quadrivalent human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, and 18) vaccine in HIV-infected children 7 to 12 years old. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2010;55(2):197-204
- Denny L, Hendricks B, Gordon C, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in HIV-positive women in South Africa: a partially-blind randomised placebocontrolled study. Vaccine 2013;31(48):5745-53
- Kahn JA, Xu J, Kapogiannis BG, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the human papillomavirus 6, 11, 16, 18 vaccine in HIV-infected young women.
   Clin Infect Dis 2013;57(5):735-44
- 92. Wilkin T, Lee JY, Lensing SY, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in HIV-1-infected men.

  J Infect Dis 2010;202(8):1246-53

- Toft L, Storgaard M, Muller M, et al.
   Comparison of the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of Cervarix and Gardasil human papillomavirus vaccines in HIV-infected adults: a randomized, double-blind clinical trial. J Infect Dis 2014;209(8):1165-73
- Kojic EM, Kang M, Cespedes MS, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in HIV-1-infected women. Clin Infect Dis 2014;59(1):127-35
- Giacomet V, Penagini F, Trabattoni D, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in HIV-infected and HIVnegative adolescents and young adults. Vaccine 2014;32(43):5657-61
- 96. Presidencia de la repbúlica de Colombia. Presidente Santos pide a Ministro de Salud ir a El Carmen de Bolívar para explicar alcances de vacuna contra el VPH 2014. p. Communication from the President of the Republic of Colombia regarding the events taking place in Carmen Bolivar related to HPV vaccination
- Fox News Latino. President: There Is Not Link Between Mystery Illness And HPV Vaccine. Fox news latino. on-line edition ed 2014
- Adetunji J. Schoolgirl dies after cervical cancer vaccination. Guardian News and Media Limited, UK; 2009
- Bowcott O. Girl who died after cervical cancer injection had tumour in her chest. The Guardian; 2009
- Sheridan A, White J. Annual HPV vaccine coverage in England in 2009/2010.
   Department of Health, London, UK; 2011
- 101. Reuters web page. UPDATE 1-Spain halts batch of Merck's Gardasil.2009. Available from: http://www.reuters.

- com/article/2009/02/10/tb-merck-gardasil-suspension-idUSLA56308620090210
- 102. Morimoto A, Ueda Y, Egawa-Takata T, et al. Effect on HPV vaccination in Japan resulting from news report of adverse events and suspension of governmental recommendation for HPV vaccination. Int J Clin Oncol 2014. [Epub ahead of print]
- Peres J. For cancers caused by HPV, two vaccines were just the beginning. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011;103(5):360-2
- 104. Garland SM, Hernandez-Avila M, Wheeler CM, et al. Quadrivalent vaccine against human papillomavirus to prevent anogenital diseases. N Engl J Med 2007;356(19):1928-43
- 105. Paavonen J, Naud P, Salmeron J, et al. Efficacy of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine against cervical infection and precancer caused by oncogenic HPV types (PATRICIA): final analysis of a doubleblind, randomised study in young women. Lancet 2009;374(9686):301-14
- 106. Koutsky LA, Ault KA, Wheeler CM, et al. A controlled trial of a human papillomavirus type 16 vaccine. N Engl J Med 2002;347(21):1645-51
- 107. Mao C, Koutsky LA, Ault KA, et al. Efficacy of human papillomavirus-16 vaccine to prevent cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol 2006;107(1):18-27

#### Affiliation

Michela Stillo $^{1},$  Paloma Carrillo Santisteve  $^{2}$  & Pier Luigi Lopalco $^{\dagger 2}$ 

<sup>†</sup>Author for correspondence

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health and Paediatric sciences, University of Turin

<sup>2</sup>ECDC, Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail: pierluigi.lopalco@ecdc.europa.eu