

Renal Failure



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: <u>informahealthcare.com/journals/irnf20</u>

Exploring alternatives to laparoscopic renal biopsy: a critical examination of safety, efficacy, and costs

David Fernando Torres Cortes, Daniela Carrascal, Gonzalo Andrés Montaño Rozo, José David Cardona Ortegón & Oscar Mauricio Rivero Rapalino

To cite this article: David Fernando Torres Cortes, Daniela Carrascal, Gonzalo Andrés Montaño Rozo, José David Cardona Ortegón & Oscar Mauricio Rivero Rapalino (2024) Exploring alternatives to laparoscopic renal biopsy: a critical examination of safety, efficacy, and costs, Renal Failure, 46:1, 2343387, DOI: 10.1080/0886022X.2024.2343387

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/0886022X.2024.2343387

9	© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.
	Published online: 24 Apr 2024.
	Submit your article to this journal $oldsymbol{oldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}$
hil	Article views: 116
Q ^L	View related articles 🗗
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗗



LETTER TO THE EDITOR

3 OPEN ACCESS



Exploring alternatives to laparoscopic renal biopsy: a critical examination of safety, efficacy, and costs

Dear editor,

We read with great interest the article by Lingling Xu et al. [1] on the safety and efficacy of laparoscopic renal biopsy (LRB). We highly appreciate the authors' great effort in the methodological development of this study. However, we have a few considerations that we think are relevant to this topic.

This paper has received significant attention due to the increasing global incidence of chronic kidney disease and acute renal injury, the latter which affects 7 out of 100 inpatients and 6 out of 10 critically ill patients. These conditions are associated with a significant burden of comorbidities in affected patients [2]. Consequently, there has been a rise in therapeutic efforts and healthcare costs worldwide. Therefore, many healthcare systems are adopting new diagnostic markers and minimally invasive procedures to support the triple aim of healthcare [3]. Among these procedures, percutaneous renal biopsy (PRB) with ultrasound guidance is the most convenient option for resource optimization, performed by the interventional radiologist with good safety rates [4].

Regarding complications, the well-known factors that increase the risk of bleeding such as arterial hypertension or obesity tend to become more controlled over time; the overall rate of complication in the literature varies widely, ranging from 0.3% to 7.3% for major complications [5]. In our recent institutional experience we have performed 196 renal biopsies from January to October 2023, 140 of them in outpatients and 56 inpatients, 71% were of native kidneys and 29% in transplanted patients, with proteinuria and graft rejection being the most prevalent indications respectively. Of the above a total complication rate of 8.6%, all minor complications (literature search) such as: pain greater than 7/10 on the analogue scale (0.5%), self-resolving hematuria (0.5%) and non-expansive hematoma (7.5%). Five cases (2.5% from total) were transjugular biopsy, the indication for these was always uncorrectable hemorrhagic diathesis, none of these presented complications.

Moreover, when facing special situations such as pediatric patients, morbid obesity, coagulation abnormalities and poor renal architecture, which may make percutaneous renal biopsy (PRB) challenging or contraindicated, the first alternative to consider in a middle-income country is performing a transjugular renal biopsy. This is due to its acceptable accuracy and safety profile, with a technical success rate of 90–100% and a major complication rate of 4% [6,7], also it

has many advantages allowing faster recovery time (6–8h observation) and collection of multiple organ samples [7].

While laparoscopic renal biopsy has been shown to be a safe procedure with a 100% diagnostic accuracy in their cohort [1], patients require at least 24h of clinical and biomarker follow-up after the procedure, plus drainage during recovery. A cost study performed on transplanted kidneys in 2023 [8] reported costs of around US \$2063 in 2019 for a percutaneous renal biopsy, which is a high cost for an outpatient procedure. In our institution, the cost of a PRB is around US \$1100 in 2024, a TRB US \$761 and for a laparoscopic procedure US \$1500; these values do not include other supplies and postoperative medications. This reinforces our thesis in favor of minimally invasive techniques, in the absence of further cost studies.

Finally, we find it valuable to know if you have any consideration when starting comorbidity control in those patients that are at high risk of PRB to decide to perform laparoscopic rather than intravascular procedure.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

The author(s) reported there is no funding associated with the work featured in this article.

ORCID

David Fernando Torres Cortes http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6889-3536

Daniela Carrascal http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9852-0375 Gonzalo Andrés Montaño Rozo http://orcid.org/0009-0006-9476-3501

José David Cardona Ortegón http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1647-0800

Oscar Mauricio Rivero Rapalino http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0428-4421

References

[1] Xu L, Bian X, Yang J, et al. Safety and effectiveness of laparoscopic renal biopsy: a single-center review and meta-analysis. Ren Fail. 2024;46(1):2312536.

- [2] Yoon SY, Kim JS, Jeong KH, et al. Acute kidney injury: biomarker-guided diagnosis and management. Medicina. 2022;58(3):340. doi: 10.3390/medicina58030340.
- [3] Doherty M. Value of interventional radiology: past, present, and future. Semin Intervent Radiol. 2019;36(1):26-28. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1679951.
- [4] Brachemi S, Bollée G. Renal biopsy practice: what is the gold standard? World J Nephrol. 2014;3(4):287-294. doi: 10.5527/wjn.v3.i4.287.
- [5] Al Turk AA, Estiverne C, Agrawal PR, et al. Trends and outcomes of the use of percutaneous native kidney biopsy in the United States: 5-year data analysis of the nationwide inpatient sample. Clin Kidney J. 2018;11(3):330-336. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfx102.
- [6] Bolufer M, García-Carro C, Agraz I, et al. Biopsia renal transyugular. La alternativa a la biopsia percutánea en pacientes de alto riesgo. Nefrologia (Engl Ed). 2020; 40(6):634-639.
- [7] St. Jeor JD, Reisenauer CJ, Andrews JC, et al. Transjugular renal biopsy bleeding risk and diagnostic yield: a systematic review. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2020;31(12):2106-2112. doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2020. 07.016.
- [8] Vilalta A. Cost and utilization trends of percutaneous kidney biopsy in kidney transplant patients: a 4-year claims data analysis [Internet]. Transplantation. 2023 Oct [cited 2024 Mar 17]. Available from: http://medrxiv. org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.10.13.23295504

David Fernando Torres Cortes (D) Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá, Bogotá, Colombia

Daniela Carrascal (i) Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá, Bogotá, Colombia; Residency in Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging, El Bosque University, Bogotá, Colombia

Gonzalo Andrés Montaño Rozo 🗈 Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá, Bogotá, Colombia g.montanor@uniandes.edu.co

José David Cardona Ortegón (b) Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá, Bogotá, Colombia; Residency in Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging, El Bosque University, Bogotá, Colombia

Oscar Mauricio Rivero Rapalino (D) Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá, Bogotá, Colombia

Received 28 March 2024; accepted 4 April 2024

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor &

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.