



## Undertreatment of dyslipidemia: from the Arabian Gulf to the USA. Time to solve this problem!

Vasilios G. Athyros, Niki Katsiki & Manfredi Rizzo

**To cite this article:** Vasilios G. Athyros, Niki Katsiki & Manfredi Rizzo (2014) Undertreatment of dyslipidemia: from the Arabian Gulf to the USA. Time to solve this problem!, Current Medical Research and Opinion, 30:12, 2425-2428, DOI: [10.1185/03007995.2014.966189](https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2014.966189)

**To link to this article:** <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2014.966189>



Published online: 29 Sep 2014.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 634



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

## Editorial

# Undertreatment of dyslipidemia: from the Arabian Gulf to the USA. Time to solve this problem!

**Vasilios G. Athyros**  
**Niki Katsiki**

Second Propedeutic Department of Internal Medicine,  
Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,  
Hippocraton Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Manfredi Rizzo**

Biomedical Dept. of Internal Medicine and Medical  
Specialties, University of Palermo, Italy  
Euro-Mediterranean Institute of Science and  
Technology, Italy

### Address for correspondence:

V.G. Athyros MD FESC FASA FRSPH FACS, Head of  
Metabolic Disease Units, 2nd Prop. Department of  
Internal Medicine, Medical School, Aristotle University  
of Thessaloniki, Hippocrates Hospital, 15 Marmara St,  
Thessaloniki, 55132, Greece.  
Tel.: +30 2310 892606; Fax: +30 2310 835955;  
vathyros@gmail.com; athyros@med.auth.gr

Accepted: 11 September 2014; published online: 29 September 2014  
Citation: Curr Med Res Opin 2014; 30:2425–8

### Keywords:

Arab Gulf – Clinical benefit – Measures to improve  
adherence – Measures to improve lipid goal  
attainment – Undertreatment of dyslipidemia

## Abstract

Undertreatment of dyslipidaemia is a universal problem and reduces the efficacy of hypolipidaemic drugs to reduce cardiovascular event rates. The means to face this problem are available and should be utilized to optimize dyslipidaemia control and clinical outcomes.

The current issue of *Current Medical Research and Opinion* includes the results of the CEPHEUS Study in the Arabian Gulf on lipid target achievement among high and highest cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk patients<sup>1</sup>. This study evaluated the treatment efficacy of dyslipidemia in 5275 subjects from six Arab Gulf States (Bahrain, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates) at high or highest CVD risk according to current guidelines<sup>1</sup>. Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) target (<70 mg/dl; 1.8 mmol/L) was achieved in 25%, non-HDL-C in 36% and apolipoprotein B (ApoB) in 38% of the highest risk patients compared with achievements of targets in LDL-C (<100 mg/dl; 2.5 mmol/L) of 46%, non-HDL-C 58% and ApoB 51% in the high risk group<sup>1</sup>. In patients with triglycerides (TGs) ≥200 mg/dl (≥2.2 mmol/L), LDL-C target was achieved in 16% and ApoB in 15% of patients in the highest risk group compared with achieving LDL-C in 32% and ApoB 22% targets in the high risk cohort<sup>1</sup>. These data suggest that a large proportion of high and highest CVD risk dyslipidemic patients in the Arabian Gulf States on lipid-lowering drugs are not at recommended lipid targets and that a substantial residual risk of CVD (RRCVD) remains<sup>1</sup>.

This is not the first paper reporting undertreatment of dyslipidemia worldwide. However, there are practically no data about the Arabian Gulf States. These states have a problem with familial hypercholesterolemia (FH)<sup>2,3</sup>, metabolic syndrome and diabetes<sup>4,5</sup>. These two conditions, among others, increase CVD risk and there is an absolute necessity to effectively treat all CVD risk factors, among which is dyslipidemia.

Similar data are reported by the CEPHEUS South Africa Study<sup>6</sup>, the CEPHEUS Pan-Asia Study<sup>7</sup>, the CEPHEUS Centralized Pan-European Study<sup>8</sup> and other European Countries<sup>9</sup> as well as the OLYMPIC and the metabolic syndrome Greece (n=10,000) studies<sup>10,11</sup>, a study from the UK (n=117,840)<sup>12</sup> and a study from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey<sup>13</sup>. The recent Euroaspire IV<sup>14</sup> included high risk individuals (coronary patients) from 26 countries<sup>14</sup>. Among high risk patients 39% had a total cholesterol value >180 mg/dl (4.5 mmol/L), 42% an LDL-C value >100 mg/dl (2.5 mmol/L), and 85% an LDL-C value >70 mg/dl (1.8 mmol/L)<sup>14</sup>.

In Greece 95% of post-infarction patients were on statins but only 34% were at LDL-C target  $>70$  mg/dl (1.8 mmol/L)<sup>14</sup>.

The fact that undertreatment of hypercholesterolemia is a universal phenomenon/problem is evident from the above evidence. It is high time to solve, at least in part, this problem.

The effort started during the 90s. Greg Fonarow from the UCLA with the Cardiac Hospital Atherosclerosis Management Program (CHAMP) focused on initiation of all guideline-based treatments for secondary CVD following an acute cardiac event<sup>15</sup>. He educated hospital staff (interns, residents, nurses) at practically no cost and in the discharge form a phrase for each risk factor was added. Did the patient reach the guideline suggested target? If not why? This reminded interns to persuade patients if they had any objections or to titrate the dose of statin (as far as dyslipidemia was concerned) if that had not been done. The result was impressive. Aspirin use at discharge increased from 68 to 92% ( $p < 0.01$ ), beta-blocker use from 12 to 62% ( $p < 0.01$ ) and angiotensin enzyme (ACE) inhibitor use from 6 to 58% ( $p < 0.01$ ). Statin use increased from 6 to 86% ( $p < 0.01$ ) resulting in an increase in achieving a LDL-C  $<100$  mg/dl (6 vs 58%,  $p < 0.001$ )<sup>15</sup>. The clinical outcome was more impressive. Post-CHAMP patient groups (1994–1995) had half the incidence of recurrent CVD events during the next year compared with the pre-CHAMP period (1992–1993)<sup>15</sup>.

We carried out similar studies, performing four best practice 1 year studies. The concept was to persuade physicians to establish why patients are not on target and remind them to attempt to achieve these targets. In these studies, one for dyslipidemia<sup>16</sup>, one for diabetes<sup>17</sup>, one for arterial hypertension<sup>18</sup>, and one for multiple CVD risk factors<sup>19</sup>, the effort succeeded. The dyslipidemia study<sup>16</sup> showed a doubling in patients achieving the LDL-C target and an estimated reduction in CVD risk within 1 year: 45% for the Framingham equation and 63% for the PROCAM equation<sup>16</sup>. The other studies produced similar results<sup>17–19</sup>. Given that the simultaneous and multifactorial treatment of all CVD risk factors provides maximum protection it is very important to target all CVD risk factors. Nevertheless, there is considerable room for improvement and progress towards evidence-based clinical practice<sup>20</sup>.

Another method, also very effective and complementary to those discussed above is 'Pay per Performance'. The results of the Dyslipidaemia International Study (DYSIS) were reported at the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) congress and published<sup>21,22</sup>. DYSIS compared LDL-C target achievement in two West European Countries: the UK, with an incentive-driven reimbursement system, and Germany, with a budget-restrictive (white list) healthcare system. Overall, 79.8% of UK patients achieved the LDL-C target of  $<100$  mg/dL

(median: 82 mg/dL), compared with 42.0% of patients in Germany (median: 111 mg/dL), despite the higher use of ezetimibe in the German population (11.3 vs 3%)<sup>21,22</sup>. Dyslipidemic patients in the UK were more likely to be treated with potent statins whereas German doctors were more confined with insurance restrictions than UK physicians (e.g. atorvastatin was not included in the white list of drugs in Germany, because of its price<sup>21,22</sup>). Thus, lipid (mainly LDL-C) targets were more likely to be achieved in clinical practice with a pay-for-performance system than in Germany with the budget-restrictive system<sup>21,22</sup>. The UK healthcare system makes physicians participate in a clinical audit, and these results are used to assess the quality of care provided. There are no specific quality-improvement strategies in Germany, where generic simvastatin is the main statin<sup>21,22</sup>. A total of 85% of German patients were treated with simvastatin (mean dose 27 mg/d) compared with 66% of UK patients (mean simvastatin dose 37 mg/d), while nearly 25% of UK patients were treated with atorvastatin (mean dose 34 mg/d) vs just 4% of Germans who received this higher-potency statin<sup>21,22</sup>. Furthermore, the German population had a higher baseline incidence of CVD, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease and diabetes mellitus, more secondary prevention patients that need to achieve even lower LDL-C targets<sup>21,22</sup>. Similar results were reported by a pharmacist-based pay-for-performance project in the UK<sup>23</sup>. This paradigm shows that reviewing GP data and financially rewarding the attainment of target treatment for LDL-C and other CVD risk factors, as in the UK, a country with financial restrictions, might in the long run cost even less than a budget-restrictive system, seen in Germany, a wealthier country. If you take into consideration the lives saved and improved quality of life, then a pay-for-performance policy is medically, humanely, and probably financially more beneficial than a budget-restrictive choice.

A considerable effort was also made in the US: the American College of Cardiology Guidelines Applied in Practice (GAP)<sup>24,25</sup> was an attempt in this direction. In 2007 the real-time GAP implementation correlated with more frequent use of in-hospital post-myocardial infarction treatment<sup>25</sup>. Among others, statin use increased from 66 to 81% ( $p < 0.0001$ )<sup>25</sup>. Real-time GAP implementation was associated with fewer re-hospitalizations for CVD (19.8 vs 25.2%,  $p = 0.001$ ), myocardial infarction (3.5 vs 5.4%,  $p = 0.0243$ ) and combined death/CVD/myocardial infarction (9.5 vs 13.9%,  $p = 0.0009$ ) during the 6 months after discharge<sup>25</sup>. The above suggest that a pilot program started in the state of Michigan US by the American College of Cardiology and adopted by hospitals led to a higher use of evidence-based therapies and correspondingly better outcomes than those associated with the initial GAP, or usual care<sup>24,25</sup>.

The concept of ideal cardiovascular (CV) health, with emphasis on the prevention of CVD, was set by the American Heart Association (AHA) within its strategic goals for 2020<sup>26</sup>. This was designed to focus mainly on the promotion of a healthy lifestyle and multifactorial intervention by non-pharmacological and pharmacological means aiming at prevention or effective control of CVD risk factors<sup>26</sup>. Ideal CV health is defined as optimal levels for three CVD risk factors (blood pressure, fasting plasma glucose and total cholesterol) and four behaviors (body mass index, smoking, physical activity and healthy diet)<sup>26</sup>. These seven ideal CV metrics, called life's simple seven, are probably the best available measure of life-time CVD risk<sup>27</sup>. Recent studies have shown the levels of ideal CV health in the United States to be very low (1%) at a community level<sup>28</sup> and to be associated with CVD events, stroke and all cause mortality<sup>28</sup>. Within this effort the control of LDL-C is one of the main targets using physical activity, diet and hypolipidemic drugs alone or in combination<sup>26</sup>. In that context, the statin-ezetimibe combination may help patients reach new 'stricter' cholesterol goals<sup>29</sup>.

Overall, there is a need for the state, universities, hospitals, scientific societies, general practitioners, and patients to achieve guideline-based lipid levels and substantially reduce CVD morbidity and mortality. It is high time to achieve this goal.

## Transparency

### Declaration of funding

This editorial was not funded. The authors did not receive financial or professional help with the preparation of the manuscript.

### Declaration of financial/other relationships

The authors have given talks, attended conferences and participated in advisory boards and trials sponsored by various pharmaceutical companies. VGA is a member of the Editorial Board of CMRO and has nothing to declare, NK has lectured for AMGEN, NOVO, and MSD, and M.R. has lectured for Angelini, Abbott, and Lilly.

## References

1. Al-Rasadi K, Al-Zakwani I, Al Mahmeedet W, et al. Therapeutic lipid target achievement among high and highest risk patients: results from the CEPHEUS study in the Arabian Gulf. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2014;30(12):2429-35
2. Nair DR, Shariffi M, Al-Rasadi K. Familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Curr Opin Cardiol* 2014; 29:381-8
3. Al-Rasadi K, Al-Waili K, Al-Sabti HA, et al. Criteria for diagnosis of familial hypercholesterolemia: a comprehensive analysis of the different guidelines, appraising their suitability in the Omani Arab population. *Oman Med J* 2014;29:85-91
4. Alhyas L, McKay A, Majeed A. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes in the States of the co-operation council for the Arab States of the Gulf: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e40948
5. Al-Rasadi K, Sulaiman K, Panduranga P, Al-Zakwani I. Prevalence, characteristics, and in-hospital outcomes of metabolic syndrome among acute coronary syndrome patients from Oman. *Angiology* 2011;62:381-9
6. Raal F, Schamroth C, Blom D, et al. CEPHEUS SA: a South African survey on the undertreatment of hypercholesterolaemia. *Cardiovasc J Afr* 2011;22:234-40
7. Park JE, Chiang CE, Munawar M, et al. Lipid-lowering treatment in hypercholesterolaemic patients: the CEPHEUS Pan-Asian survey. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2012;19:781-94
8. Hermans MP, Castro Cabezas M, Strandberg T, et al. Centralized Pan-European survey on the under-treatment of hypercholesterolaemia (CEPHEUS): overall findings from eight countries. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2010;26:445-54
9. van den Haak P, Heintjes E, Plat AW, et al. Determination of non-treatment with statins of high risk patients in The Netherlands. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2010;26:271-8
10. Athyros VG, Elisaf M, Mikhailidis DP. Undertreatment of dyslipidaemia in Greece. *Atherosclerosis* 2004;173:353-61
11. Diamantopoulos EJ, Athyros VG, Yfanti GK, et al.; OLYMPIC Study Group. The control of dyslipidemia in outpatient clinics in Greece (OLYMPIC) study. *Angiology* 2005;56:731-41
12. MacDonald TM, Morant SV, Mozaffari E. Treatment patterns of hypertension and dyslipidaemia in hypertensive patients at higher and lower risk of cardiovascular disease in primary care in the United Kingdom. *J Hum Hypertens* 2007;21:925-33
13. Klingman D, Williams SA, Benner JS, et al. Gauging the treatment gap in dyslipidemia: findings from the 1999–2000 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am Heart J* 2005;150:595-601
14. Kotseva K. EUROASPIRE IV – European Society of Cardiology Congress 2013, European Society of Cardiology Congress, 2013: A365. Available at: <http://www.escardio.org/communities/EACPR/Documents/general-assembly-esc-congress-2012/4-EU-Forum-Kotseva.pdf>. Last accessed 17 Sept 2014
15. Fonarow GC, Gawlinski A, Moughrabi S, Tillisch JH. Improved treatment of coronary heart disease by implementation of a Cardiac Hospitalization Atherosclerosis Management Program (CHAMP). *Am J Cardiol* 2001; 87:819-22
16. Hatzitolios AI, Athyros VG, Karagiannis A, et al.; IMPROVE Collaborative Group. Implementation of strategy for the management of overt dyslipidemia: the IMPROVE-dyslipidemia study. *Int J Cardiol* 2009;134:322-9
17. Athyros VG, Karagiannis A, Hatzitolios AI, et al. Standardized arrangement for a guideline-driven treatment of the metabolic syndrome: the SAGE-METS study. SAGE-METS collaborative group. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2009;25:971-80
18. Karagiannis A, Hatzitolios AI, Athyros VG, et al. Implementation of guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. The IMPULSION study. *Open Cardiovasc Med J* 2009;3:26-34
19. Athyros VG, Hatzitolios AI, Karagiannis A, et al.; IMPERATIVE Collaborative Group. Improving the implementation of current guidelines for the management of major coronary heart disease risk factors by multifactorial intervention. The IMPERATIVE renal analysis. *Arch Med Sci* 2011;7:984-92
20. Daskalopoulou SS, Doonan RJ, Mikhailidis DP. Undertreatment of hypercholesterolemia. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2010;26:439-43
21. Doumas M, Tziomalos K, Athyros VG. Pay-for-performance versus a budget-restrictive system for the management of dyslipidemia. Should this approach also be applied in hypertension? *Open Hypert J* 2013;5:32-4
22. Gitt AK, Juenger C, Smolka, et al. Impact of a budget-restrictive (Germany) versus an incentive-driven (UK) reimbursement system on LDL goal achievement in statin-treated patients for secondary prevention: results of DYSIS. European Society of Cardiology 2013 Congress; September 2, 2013; Amsterdam, the Netherlands. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34(Abstract Suppl):3689

23. Koenigsfeld CF, Horning KK, Logemann CD, Schmidt GA. Medication therapy management in the primary care setting: a pharmacist-based pay-for-performance project. *J Pharm Pract* 2012;25:89-95
24. Mehta RH, Montoye CK, Gallogly M, et al.; GAP Steering Committee of the American College of Cardiology. Improving quality of care for acute myocardial infarction: the Guidelines Applied in Practice (GAP) initiative. *JAMA* 2002;287:1269-76
25. Vasaiwala S, Nolan E, Ramanath VS, et al. A quality guarantee in acute coronary syndromes: the American College of Cardiology's Guidelines Applied in Practice program taken real-time. *Am Heart J* 2007;153:16-21
26. Lloyd-Jones DM, Hong Y, Labarthe D, et al. Defining and setting national goals for cardiovascular health promotion and disease reduction: the American Heart Association's strategic Impact Goal through 2020 and beyond. *Circulation* 2010;121:586-613
27. Zhang Q, Zhou Y, Gao X, et al. Ideal cardiovascular health metrics and the risks of ischemic and intracerebral hemorrhagic stroke. *Stroke* 2013;44:2451-6
28. Bambs C, Kip KE, Dinga A, et al. Low prevalence of 'ideal cardiovascular health' in a community-based population: the heart strategies concentrating on risk evaluation (Heart SCORE) study. *Circulation* 2011;123:850-7
29. Mikhailidis DP, Sibbring GC, Ballantyne CM, et al. Meta-analysis of the cholesterol-lowering effect of ezetimibe added to ongoing statin therapy. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2007;23:2009-26