



Campylobacter Gastroenteritis Among Under-Five Children in Southwest Ethiopia

Yared Nigusu, Alemseged Abdissa & Getnet Tesfaw

To cite this article: Yared Nigusu, Alemseged Abdissa & Getnet Tesfaw (2022) *Campylobacter* Gastroenteritis Among Under-Five Children in Southwest Ethiopia, Infection and Drug Resistance, , 2969-2979, DOI: [10.2147/IDR.S354843](https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S354843)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S354843>



© 2022 Nigusu et al.



Published online: 09 Jun 2022.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 242



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Citing articles: 3 View citing articles [↗](#)

Campylobacter Gastroenteritis Among Under-Five Children in Southwest Ethiopia

Yared Nigusu¹, Alemseged Abdissa^{2,3}, Getnet Tesfaw³

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Science, College of Health Sciences, Mettu University, Mettu, Ethiopia; ²Armauer Hansen Research Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; ³School of Medical Laboratory Science, Institute of Health, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia

Correspondence: Yared Nigusu, Tel +251 921 20 46 63, Email yarednigusu21@gmail.com; yarednigusu.asf@meu.edu.et

Background: Under-five children are at an increased risk for foodborne illnesses because of the ingenuousness of their immune system. Although *Campylobacter* species are one of the bacterial etiologies of gastroenteritis, *Campylobacter* gastroenteritis among under-five children is not well considered in Ethiopia. Therefore, this study aimed at exploring the prevalence, associated risk factors, and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of *Campylobacter* species among under-five children with diarrhea.

Methods: The institution-based cross-sectional study was conducted among under-five children with diarrhea at Jimma Medical Center, southwestern Ethiopia from January 5 to April 21, 2020. Stool samples were collected and inoculated into *Campylobacter* agar medium. Isolation and identification were done using standard bacteriological techniques. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was conducted on Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 10% sheep blood using disk diffusion techniques. Bivariate and multivariate logistic regressions were used to assess the associated risk factors.

Results: A total of 214 under-five children were enrolled. The prevalence of *Campylobacter* infection was 8.9%. Absence of caretakers' handwashing before preparation of food [AOR = 3.7, 95% CI: (1.2–10.8)], direct contact with domestic animals [AOR = 3.6, 95% CI: (1.0–12.7)], and consumption of raw dairy products [AOR = 4.5, 95% CI: (1.4–13.9)] are the factors associated with *Campylobacter* infection. Some *Campylobacter* species were found to be resistant to most available antibiotics.

Conclusion: The magnitude of *Campylobacter* gastroenteritis indicates the need for routine isolation and identification of *Campylobacter* species from all under-five children clinically diagnosed with diarrhea. Species that are resistant to the drug of choice for Campylobacteriosis are also emerging. Health education on the importance of pasteurization of milk and caretakers' handwashing can mitigate the transmission. Mechanism of handling of domestic animals should be considered to reduce transmission of zoonotic diseases like Campylobacteriosis.

Keywords: *Campylobacter* species, prevalence, antibiotic susceptibility pattern, Ethiopia

Introduction

Globally, under-five mortality has reduced from 51 deaths in 2010 to 39 deaths per 1000 live births in 2018. However, a significant number of under-five children are losing their lives. Sub-Saharan Africa was the region with the highest under-five mortality in the world with an average mortality rate of 78 deaths per 1000 live births in 2018.¹

In 2016, 9% of all the global under-five mortality was attributed to diarrhea, of which 90% of deaths occurred in Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs). Estimated 290,724 deaths in sub-Saharan Africa were attributed to diarrhea.²

Campylobacter spp. are one of the most common bacterial pathogens associated with diarrhea among under-five children along with Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC), Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC), *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., *Vibrio* spp., and *Yersinia* spp. in different parts of the world.^{2,3} Most species are part of the commensal flora of a wide range of animals including food-producing animals like chickens, pigs, and ruminants (eg. sheep, goats, and cattle).^{4,5} Infection with *Campylobacter* spp. may also result in extra-gastrointestinal manifestations like Guillain-Barre Syndrome (GBS), Miller Fisher Syndrome, bacteremia/septicemia, meningitis, and reactive arthritis.⁶ Due to their ability to colonize most domestic and wild animals, consumption of undercooked meat/poultry,

unpasteurized dairy products, contaminated drinking water, and direct contact with farm animals are found to be risk factors for infection with *Campylobacter* spp.⁷

Worldwide, *Campylobacter* spp. are responsible for up to 400–500 million infection cases each year.⁸ In 2010, *Campylobacter* spp. were one of the most common bacterial agents of diarrhea and were responsible for about 96 million illnesses, 21,374 deaths, and 2.1 million Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) globally. The African regions bore more than half (50.6% of the total DALYs per 100,000 population) of the global *Campylobacter* disease burden. The global morbidity rate of 2010 caused by *Campylobacter* infections was relatively higher as compared with *Shigella* spp. (1.2 million DALYs), *Vibrio cholera* (1.7 million DALYs), and Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (2.0 million DALYs).⁹

In Europe, *Campylobacteriosis* remains the most reported gastrointestinal disease since 2005 and affected over 220,000 people in 2019.¹⁰

The global burden of *Campylobacter* spp. is not confined only to its ability of infecting humans, rather the emergence of drug-resistant *Campylobacter* spp. also became a global concern.¹¹ Increasing trends of resistance by *Campylobacter* spp. against the fluoroquinolone class of antibiotics have been observed in European countries.¹²

The magnitude of *Campylobacter* infection among under-five children in developing countries is under the shadow ; as there are no surveillance systems and routine diagnostic mechanisms in line seen in many developed countries.¹³ The possible reasons are the absence of a well-equipped laboratory required for isolation and identification of *Campylobacter* spp., the absence of a national surveillance program involving *Campylobacter* gastroenteritis, and the bacteriological characteristics of the organism (fastidiousness).

As a result, the above challenges make the rates and burden of *Campylobacter* infection remain hidden and/or underestimated in Ethiopia. Therefore, this study aimed to explore the isolation rate, antibiotic resistance pattern, and associated risk factors of *Campylobacter* spp. among under-five children in southwestern Ethiopia.

Materials and Methods

Study Setting and Period

The study was conducted at Jimma Medical Center (JMC) from January 5 to April 27, 2020. JMC is one of the teaching and referral hospitals in the southwestern part of Ethiopia and serves a catchment population of 15–20 million. The hospital has 600 beds and about 1620 staff, providing services for more than 218,000 outpatients, 16,000 inpatients, 14,200 emergencies, and 5900 deliveries annually. The pediatric ward at the center has a bed capacity of 110, admits about 2030 children per year, and serves 4680 children per year as an outpatient. The pediatrics clinic has 78 health professionals, of which 12 are pediatricians, 21 are residents and 45 are pediatric nurses.

Study Design and Study Population

A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted among all under-five children presented with acute diarrhea at JMC pediatric clinic during the study period.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

A single population proportion formula was used to calculate the minimum sample size.¹⁴ Considering a 95% confidence interval, 16.7% previous prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. among under-five children in Ethiopia,¹⁵ and a 5% margin of error, the sample size was 214. A consecutive sampling technique was used to achieve the required sample size.

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

All under-five children who presented with acute diarrhea at JMC during the study period were included.

Exclusion Criteria

All under-five children presented with acute diarrhea who had been treated with antibiotics in the last 7 days prior to data collection.

Data Collection Procedures

Socio-demographic data of both caretakers and under-five children were collected through face-to-face interviews using a structured questionnaire. Height, weight, and age of the children were recorded to assess the nutritional status, and presented as indices of height-for-age (HFA), weight-for-age (WFA), and weight-for-height (WFH). Each index was recorded as the Z-score value of HFA, WFA, and WFH calculated from WHO-Anthro software version 3.2.2 (WHO, Geneva, Switzerland). Accordingly, the Z-score values of <-2 standard deviation for HFA, WFA, and WFH were considered as stunting, underweight, and wasting, respectively. Z-score values between -2 standard deviations and 2 standard deviations were considered normal, and Z-score values of > 2 standard deviations for WFH were considered overweight.¹⁶

Sample Collection and Processing

Freshly passed stool specimens were collected using a swab and placed in a 6-mL Cary-Blair transport medium (HKM, Hong Kong, China) prepared in a tube. The samples were then transported to Jimma University Medical Microbiology Laboratory within 2 hours of collection. Stool samples of study participants were directly inoculated into *Campylobacter* agar base media (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) supplemented with *Campylobacter* Supplement-I/Blazer-Wang (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) (having 1.250IU Polymyxin B, 5 mg of Vancomycin, 2.500 mg of Trimethoprim, 1 mg of Amphotericin B, and 7.500 mg of Cephalothin) and 10% defibrinated sheep blood. An inoculated medium was incubated at 42°C for 48 hours using a 3.5-L anaerobic jar in a micro-aerobic atmosphere of approximately 5–10% O₂, 5–10% CO₂, and 85% N₂ produced using gas generating sachets, CampyGen (Oxoid Ltd, Hampshire, England).^{17,18}

Isolation and Identification of *Campylobacter* spp

Grayish, flat, and moistened colonies, with a tendency to spread, and having a metallic sheen on *Campylobacter* agar media were considered. A saline wet mount was performed and microscopically examined for the characteristic darting/corkscrew motility. Gram staining was also performed, and Gram-negative rod, curved, or spiral bacteria having sea-gull wing appearance were considered. Catalase and oxidase-positive organisms were sub-cultured on blood agar and incubated at 42°C for 48 hours. Colonies having characteristics of non-hemolytic, shiny, and colorless to grayish with irregular or round-edged nature were confirmed as *Campylobacter* spp.¹⁷ The isolated strains were differentiated to the species level based on phenotypic classification methods, which depend on the hydrogen sulfide production in triple sugar iron agar and susceptibility to nalidixic acid (30 µg) and cephalothin (30 µg).¹⁹

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

The antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of the isolated strains were determined using Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion technique. Mueller-Hinton agar (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood was prepared on a 90 mm petri dish. The isolated *Campylobacter* spp. were mixed into sterile normal saline and bacterial suspension having turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standards was prepared. Using a sterile cotton swab, a bacterial suspension was streaked all over the surface of the prepared Mueller-Hinton agar (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood. Antimicrobial disks of ampicillin (AMP) (10µg), amoxicillin with clavulanic acid (AUG) (30µg), gentamicin (GEN) (10µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP) (5µg), ceftriaxone (CTX) (30µg), erythromycin (E) (15µg), chloramphenicol (C) (30µg), meropenem (MEM) (10µg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT) (25µg) and azithromycin (AZM) (15) (all Liofilchem, Abruzzo, Italy) was applied over the inoculated plates and incubated micro-aerobically at 42°C for 24 hours. Susceptibility patterns toward nalidixic acid (NA) (30µg) and cephalothin (CEF) (30 µg) were additionally used for species-level identification. The panel of antibiotics was chosen following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines from the previous studies and recent reports of resistance to *Campylobacter* spp.^{20,21} Analysis of the diameter of zones of inhibition for erythromycin (E), ampicillin (AMP), ciprofloxacin (CIP), and nalidixic acid (NA) was performed according to the CLSI guideline for *Campylobacter* spp. from the previous studies.^{21,22} The rest were done according to CLSI guideline breakpoints for *Enterobacteriaceae* and were interpreted as susceptible (S), intermediate (I), and resistant (R).²³

Data Processing and Statistical Analysis

Data were entered into Epi-data version 3.1 and exported to IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were computed to determine the prevalence of *Campylobacter* infections and the frequencies of other variables. The bivariate logistic regression was conducted and all independent variables with a p-value ≤ 0.25 in a bivariate logistic regression analysis were taken as candidate variables for possible associated factors. Multivariable logistic regression analyses were computed to identify factors associated with *Campylobacter* infection. The strength of the association was indicated by the odds ratio (OR) at a 95% confidence interval (CI). A p-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered indicative of a statistically significant association.

Quality Control

A dummy medium of *Campylobacter* agar was incubated at 42°C for 48 hours for sterility checking. The sterile *Campylobacter* agar media and *Campylobacter* supplement were checked using control strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* (ATCC 33560) and *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) for growth and partial or complete inhibition characteristics, respectively. All antibiotic disks and Mueller-Hinton agar medium were checked for correct functionality by control strain of *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922).²⁴

Results

Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Study Participants

A total of 214 under-five children with diarrhea were enrolled. More than half, 109 (50.9) were males and about 54% were living in rural areas. The age of study participants ranged from 1 to 56 months, with a mean age of 15.78 months (± 11.8 SD). Most of the children, 79 (36.9%) were between 12 and 24 months of age. Majority of the children's caretakers, 75 (35%) had no level of education and about 76.6% had latrine at the household level (Table 1).

Table 1 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Study Participants at Jimma Medical Center, Southwest Ethiopia (N = 214)

Variables	Categories	Freq. (%)
Age of the child (in months)	<6	38 (17.8)
	6–11	57 (26.6)
	12–23	79 (36.9)
	>24	40 (18.7)
Sex of the child	Male	109 (50.9)
	Female	105 (49.1)
Place of residence	Urban	114 (53.3)
	Rural	100 (46.7)
Maternal/Female caretakers' occupational status	Housewives	145 (67.8)
	Government employees	39 (18.2)
	Merchants	21 (9.8)
	Others**	9 (4.2)
Maternal/Female caretakers' level of education	No level of education	75 (35.0)
	1–8	41 (19.2)
	9–12	26 (12.1)
	Diploma	47 (22.0)
	First degree and above	25 (11.7)
Paternal/male caretakers' occupational status	Farmers	79 (38.6)
	Government employee	78 (38.0)
	Merchants	38 (18.5)
	Others*	10 (4.9)

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued).

Variables	Categories	Freq. (%)
Presence of a latrine in the household	Yes	164 (76.6)
	No	50 (23.4)
Source of drinking water of the household	Private tap	108 (50.5)
	Public tap	90 (42.0)
	Spring	16 (7.5)

Notes: *Office guards and daily laborers; **cafeteria workers and daily laborers.

Behavioral and Clinical Characteristics of the Study Participants

Most of the caretakers, 172 (80.4%) had a habit of handwashing after using the toilet. The highest number of caretakers, 147 (68.7%) had a habit of handwashing before preparing food for their children. More than half, 143 (66.8%) used treated water for drinking. Only 9 (4.2%) of the children had a chance of eating raw/undercooked meat and about one-fourth of the children, 52 (24.3%) had a habit of eating raw dairy products. Three fourth of the under-five children had vomiting (Table 2).

Table 2 Behavioral and Clinical Characteristics of the Study Participants at Jimma Medical Center, Southwest Ethiopia (N = 214)

Variables	Categories		Freq. (%)
Child contact with domestic animals	Yes		76 (35.5)
	No		138 (64.5)
Caretakers' handwashing habit	Before preparation of food	Yes	147 (68.7)
		No	67 (31.3)
	After toilet	Yes	172 (80.4)
		No	42 (19.6)
	Before breastfeeding	Yes	19 (10.8)
		No	157 (89.2)
The habit of treating water before usage	Yes		143 (66.8)
	No		71 (33.2)
Chance of consuming raw/undercooked meat	Yes		9 (4.2)
	No		205 (95.8)
Consumption of raw dairy products	Yes		52 (24.3)
	No		162 (75.7)
Consumption of raw/ Unpeeled fruits	Yes		24 (11.2)
	No		190 (88.8)
Consumption of poultry	Yes		8 (3.7)
	No		206 (96.3)
Nutritional status of the children	Stunting		86 (40.2)
	Underweight		127 (59.3)
	Wasting		60 (28)
	Overweight		9 (4.2)
Vomiting	Yes		164 (76.6)
	No		50 (23.4)

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued).

Variables	Categories	Freq. (%)
Bloody diarrhea	Yes	10 (4.7)
	No	204 (95.4)
HIV status	Positive	3 (1.4%)
	Negative	211 (98.6%)

Prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp

Campylobacter spp. were isolated from 19 under-five children with diarrhea, with a prevalence of 8.9% [CI: (5.76, 13.4)]. All isolated spp. were *C. jejuni* and/or *C. coli*. The majority, 16 (84.2%) of the isolated *Campylobacter* spp. were among children aged 6–23 months. About 79% of the spp. were isolated from children living in rural areas.

Factors Associated with *Campylobacter* Infection

In the final logistic regression analysis, the absence of caretakers' hand washing before preparation of food, child contact with domestic animals, and child consumption of raw dairy products were independent predictors of *Campylobacter* infection among under-five children.

Accordingly, the odds of *Campylobacter* infection among under-five children whose mothers/caretakers do not wash their hands before preparing food were 3.7 times [AOR = 3.7, 95% CI: (1.2, 10.8)] higher compared to their counterparts. Likewise, under-five children who had contact with domestic animals were 3.6 times [AOR = 3.6, 95% CI: (1.0, 12.7)] more likely to be infected by *Campylobacter* spp. On the other hand, the odds of *Campylobacter* infection were 4.5 times higher among under-five children who consumed raw dairy products [AOR = 4.5, 95% CI: (1.4, 13.9)] compared to those who did not consume raw dairy products (Table 3).

Table 3 Bivariate and Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis Between Candidate Variables and *Campylobacter* Infection Among Under-Five Children at Jimma Medical Center, Southwest Ethiopia (N = 214)

Variables	Categories	Campylobacter Infection		COR, (95% CI)	AOR, (95% CI)
		Positive Freq. (%)	Negative Freq. (%)		
Paternal/male caretakers' occupational status	Govt. Employee	2 (2.6)	76 (97.4)	I	I
	Others [#]	17 (13.4)	110 (86.6)	5.6 (1.3, 25.1)	1.1 (0.1, 9.1)
Place of residence	Urban	4 (3.5)	110 (96.5)	I	I
	Rural	15 (15.0)	85 (85.0)	4.8 (1.6, 15.2)	0.4 (0.05, 2.8)
Handwashing before preparing food	Yes	6 (4.1)	141 (95.9)	I	I
	No	13 (19.4)	54 (80.6)	5.6 (2.0, 15.5)	3.7 (1.2, 10.8)*
Water treatment before child using	Yes	5 (3.5)	138 (96.5)	I	I
	No	14 (19.4)	57 (80.3)	6.8 (2.3, 19.7)	1.8 (0.5, 6.7)
Presence of domestic animals	Yes	12 (20)	48 (80)	5.3 (1.9, 14.1)	1.1 (0.3, 5.2)
	No	7 (4.5)	147 (95.5)	I	I
Child contact with domestic animals	Yes	15 (19.7)	61 (80.3)	8.2 (2.6, 25.9)	3.6 (1.0, 12.7)*
	No	4 (1.9)	134 (62.2)	I	I
Consumption of raw dairy products	Yes	13 (25)	39 (75)	8.6 (3.1, 24.3)	4.5 (1.4, 13.9)*
	No	6 (3.7)	156 (96.3)	I	I

Notes: *p<0.05; [#]Farmers, merchants, office guards, and daily laborers; I, reference category; Numbers in bold font represent variables significantly associated with *Campylobacter* infection in multivariate logistic regression.

Abbreviations: COR, crude odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

Table 4 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of *Campylobacter* spp. Isolated from Under-Five Children at Jimma Medical Center, Southwest Ethiopia (N = 19)

Selected Antibiotics		Disk Concentration (µg)	Susceptibility Pattern		
			S Freq. (%)	I Freq. (%)	R Freq. (%)
Quinolone	Ciprofloxacin	5	18 (94.7)	-	1 (5.3)
Macrolides	Azithromycin	15	19 (100)	-	-
	Erythromycin	15	15 (78.9)	2 (10.5)	2 (10.5)
Phenicol	Chloramphenicol	30	8 (42.1)	3 (15.8)	8 (42.1)
Penicillin	Ampicillin	10	3 (15.8)	1 (5.3)	15 (78.9)
Beta-lactam/ beta-lactamase inhibitor	Amoxicillin/ clavulanate	30	16 (84.2)	-	3 (15.8)
Cephalosporin	Ceftriaxone	30	1 (5.3)	-	18 (94.7)
Carbapenem	Meropenem	10	16 (84.2)	1 (5.3)	2 (10.5)
Aminoglycoside	Gentamicin	10	19 (100)	-	-
Folate pathway inhibitor	Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	25	1 (5.3)	-	18 (94.7)
MDR					15 (78.9)

Note: MDR, non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories.

Abbreviations: S, susceptible; I, intermediate; R, resistant.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of the Isolates

All isolated *Campylobacter* spp. (100%) were susceptible to gentamicin and azithromycin. Nearly 95% of the isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin and about 79% were susceptible to erythromycin. Of all *Campylobacter* spp., 94.7% were resistant to ceftriaxone and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. About one-fourth (22%) of the isolated strains were resistant to ampicillin. More than half (57.9) were resistant to chloramphenicol. About 79% of the isolated strains were non-susceptible to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories (MDR). However, neither XDR nor PDR strains were isolated according to the definitions of MDR, XDR, and PDR given through the initiatives of the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)²⁵ (Table 4).

Discussion

The principal aim of the study was to assess the burden of *Campylobacter* infection among under-five children. Our study showed that the prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. among under-five children was 8.9%. This finding is inline with studies conducted in Poland (9.3%),²⁶ Egypt (9.37%),²⁷ Tanzania (9.7%),²⁸ and Uganda (9.3%).²⁹ However, a higher prevalence of *Campylobacter* infections among under-five children was reported in South Africa (25%),³⁰ India (17.35%),³¹ and Kenya (16%).³² The variation in the isolation rates of *Campylobacter* spp. could be due to differences in socio-demographic characteristics, study subjects, study periods, and methods employed. However, the finding of this study was lower than the studies conducted in other parts of Ethiopia, where the isolation rates were 15.4%, and 16.7% in Gondar³³ and Jimma,¹⁵ respectively. The major variation observed between this study and the previous studies conducted in Ethiopia could be due to variation in study periods, as seasonality is one of the factors that affect the prevalence of Campylobacteriosis.³⁴ Additionally, previous studies were conducted on samples taken from health centers where acute diarrhea could be found common.

The odds of *Campylobacter* infection were higher among under-five children who had contact with domestic animals. This finding is consistent with studies conducted in California,³⁵ Sweden,³⁶ Norway,³⁷ and Jordan.³⁸ A similar study conducted in Ethiopia also agrees with the finding of an association between *Campylobacter* infections and the presence and contact of domestic animals in the household.³⁹ This could be due to the fact that *Campylobacter* spp. are harbored by most domestic animals as normal gut microbiota. Therefore, the presence and contact with domestic animals increases the possibility of contamination of food and hence increases the rate of infection.

This study has also identified under-five children who did not consume raw dairy products had low odds of infection with *Campylobacter* spp. This finding agrees with the findings of the study conducted in Sweden,³⁶ Norway,³⁷ and New Zealand.⁴⁰ The possible reason for this finding is due to the probability of contamination of dairy products, mainly raw milk with animals manure.

Campylobacter infection was higher among under-five children whose mothers/caretakers do not wash their hands before preparing food. This result is consistent with a study conducted in 8 selected low-income countries.⁴¹ The absence of routine handwashing practices could be the cause of *Campylobacter* infection in the presence of maternal contact with domestic animals.

On the other hand, all isolated strains of *Campylobacter* spp. were found to be susceptible to gentamicin and azithromycin. This finding is supported by studies conducted in Macedonia⁴² and Korea.⁴³ However, it was found to be higher as compared to the previous study conducted in Ethiopia where nearly 87% of the isolates were susceptible to gentamicin.¹⁵ This could be due to the difference in the *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from the participants, where the isolated strains of *Campylobacter* were all *C. jejuni* and/or *C. coli*.

Moreover, nearly 95% of the isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, which is consistent with another study conducted in Ethiopia.⁴⁴ Our study also revealed the rate of resistance to erythromycin is comparable with findings from South Africa²⁰ and other parts of Ethiopia.^{15,33} This study also indicates a high rate of resistance to ceftriaxone (94.7%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (94.7%), ampicillin (78.5%), and chloramphenicol (42.1%).

However, lower rates of resistance were recorded in Macedonia⁴² and Korea,⁴³ Pakistan²¹ Bangladesh.⁴⁵ The main reason could be due to inappropriate use of antibiotics in Ethiopia.⁴⁶

Limitation of the Study

This study has a limitation as it could not differentiate between *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* due to the absence of hippurate hydrolysis test used for this purpose. The limited sample size could also be a reason for which additional risk factors of infections were not identified.

Conclusion and Recommendation

The rate of isolation of *Campylobacter* spp. among under-five children in the study area was noticeable. The magnitude of *Campylobacter* gastroenteritis indicates the need for routine isolation and identification of *Campylobacter* spp. from every under-five child clinically diagnosed with diarrhea. Although appreciable susceptibility was recorded for azithromycin and gentamicin, resistance to the drug of choice for *Campylobacter* infection is also emerging. Health education on the importance of pasteurization of milk and caretakers' hand washing can mitigate the transmission. The mechanism of handling domestic animals should also be considered to reduce the transmission of zoonotic diseases like Campylobacteriosis. Moreover, having these baseline data, researchers and concerned bodies should undergo further studies to disclose the burden of *Campylobacter* infection in developing countries, which in turn points out the mechanism of dwarfing the burden among under-five children.

Abbreviations

DALYs, Disability-Adjusted Life Years; HFA, height-for-age; MDR, multi-drug resistant; XDR, extensively-drug resistant; PDR, pan-drug resistant; JMC, Jimma Medical Center; WFA, Weight-for-Age; WFH, Weight-for-Height.

Data Sharing Statement

Data used in the findings of this study are included in the manuscript.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

The study was approved and ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Institute of Health, Jimma University (Ref. No: IHRPGD/581/18). The study protocol was performed following the Declaration of Helsinki. Overviews of the study were explained for families/caretakers of under-five children. Then, informed consent

was obtained from families/caretakers of under-five children regarding socio-demographic and clinical data collection including sample collection.

Consent for Publication

Not applicable – this manuscript does not contain any individual data.

Acknowledgments

We would like to gratefully thank Jimma University and the African Center of Excellence in Materials, Product Development and Nanotechnology (MAPRONANO), Makerere University, for funding this study. Data collectors and study participants also owed a debt of gratitude for their participation in this work.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This project was funded by the Research and Post Graduate office of the Institute of Health, Jimma University, Ethiopia, and the African Center of Excellence in Materials, Product Development and Nanotechnology (MAPRONANO), Makerere University, Uganda.

Disclosure

All the authors declare no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Group, United Nations. Levels and trends in child mortality 2019, Estimates developed by the UN inter-agency group for child mortality estimation. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2019;7(6):e710–e720.
2. Troeger C, Blacker BF, Khalil IA, et al. Estimates of the global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and aetiologies of diarrhea in 195 countries: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2018;18(11):1211–1228. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30362-1
3. Zhu X-H, Tian L, Cheng Z-J, et al. Viral and bacterial etiology of acute diarrhea among children under 5 years of age in Wuhan, China. *Chin Med J*. 2016;129(16):1939. doi:10.4103/0366-6999.187852
4. Kassa T, Gebre-selassie S, Asrat D. The prevalence of thermotolerant *Campylobacter* spp. in food animals in Jimma Zone, southwest Ethiopia. *Ethiop J Health Dev*. 2005;19(3):225–229.
5. Sheppard SK, Dallas JF, Strachan NJ, et al. *Campylobacter* genotyping to determine the source of human infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;48(8):1072–1078. doi:10.1086/597402
6. Kaakoush NO, Castaño-Rodríguez N, Mitchell HM, Man SM. Global epidemiology of *Campylobacter* infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2015;28(3):687–720. doi:10.1128/CMR.00006-15
7. Ravel A, Hurst M, Petrica N, et al. Source attribution of human *Campylobacteriosis* at the point of exposure by combining comparative exposure assessment and subtype comparison based on comparative genomic fingerprinting. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(8):e0183790. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0183790
8. Igwaran A, Okoh AI. Human *Campylobacteriosis*: a public health concern of global importance. *Heliyon*. 2019;5(11):e02814. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02814
9. Havelaar AH, Kirk MD, Torgerson PR, et al. World Health Organization global estimates and regional comparisons of the burden of foodborne disease in 2010. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(12):e1001923. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001923
10. European Food Safety Authority and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. The European Union one health 2019 zoonoses report. *Efsa J*. 2021;19(2):e06406.
11. Koluman A, Dikici A. Antimicrobial resistance of emerging foodborne pathogens: status quo and global trends. *Crit Rev Microbiol*. 2013;39(1):57–69. doi:10.3109/1040841X.2012.691458
12. European Food Safety Authority and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. The European Union Summary Report on antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals, and food in 2019–2020. *EFSA J*. 2022;20(3):e06490.
13. Mughal MH. *Campylobacteriosis*-A global threat. *Biomed J Sci Tech Res*. 2018;11(5):8804–8808. doi:10.26717/BJSTR.2018.11.002165
14. Fosgate GT. Practical sample size calculations for surveillance and diagnostic investigations. *J Vet Diagn Invest*. 2009;21(1):3–14. doi:10.1177/104063870902100102

15. Tafa B, Sewunet T, Tasew H, Asrat D. Isolation and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of campylobacter species among diarrheic children at Jimma, Ethiopia. *Int J Bacteriol*. 2014;2014:1–7. doi:10.1155/2014/560617
16. World Health Organization. Guideline: assessing and managing children at primary health-care facilities to prevent overweight and obesity in the context of the double burden of malnutrition; 2017.
17. Public Health England. *UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations; Identification of Campylobacter Spp.* Bacteriology. Public Health England; 2018.
18. Vandepitte J, Verhaegen J, Engbaek K, et al. *Basic Laboratory Procedures in Clinical Bacteriology*. World Health Organization; 2003.
19. Barrett TJ, Patton CM, Morris GK. Differentiation of *Campylobacter* spp. using phenotypic characterization. *Lab Med*. 1988;19(2):96–102. doi:10.1093/labmed/19.2.96
20. Chukwu MO, Abia ALK, Ubomba-Jaswa E, Obi L, Dewar JB. Characterization and phylogenetic analysis of *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from pediatric stool and water samples in the Northwest province, South Africa. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(12):2205. doi:10.3390/ijerph16122205
21. Noreen Z, Siddiqui F, Javed S, Wren BW, Bokhari H. Transmission of multidrug-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* to children from different sources in Pakistan. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist*. 2020;20:219–224. doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2019.07.018
22. Luangtongkum T, Morishita TY, El-Tayeb AB, Ison AJ, Zhang Q. Comparison of antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Campylobacter* spp. by the agar dilution and the agar disk diffusion methods. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2007;45(2):590–594. doi:10.1128/JCM.00986-06
23. Patel JB, Cockerill F, Bradford PA. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twenty-fifth informational supplement; 2015.
24. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Routine and extended internal quality control for MIC determination and disk diffusion as recommended by EUCAST; 2019.
25. Magiorakos A-P, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2012;18(3):268–281. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
26. Szczepanska B, Andrzejewska M, Spica D, Klawe JJ. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolated from children and environmental sources in urban and suburban areas. *BMC Microbiol*. 2017;17(1):1–9. doi:10.1186/s12866-017-0991-9
27. Sainato R, ElGendy A, Poly F, et al. Epidemiology of *Campylobacter* infections among children in Egypt. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2018;98(2):581. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.17-0469
28. Deogratias A-P, Mushi MF, Paterno L, et al. Prevalence and determinants of *Campylobacter* infection among under-five children with acute watery diarrhea in Mwanza, North Tanzania. *Archives of Public Health*. 2014;72(1):1–6. doi:10.1186/2049-3258-72-17
29. Mshana S, Joloba M, Kakooza A, Kaddu-Mulindwa D. *Campylobacter* spp. among children with acute diarrhea attending Mulago hospital in Kampala-Uganda. *Afr Health Sci*. 2009;9(3):201.
30. Samie A, Ramalivhana J, Igumbor E, Obi CL. Prevalence, hemolytic and haemagglutination activities, and antibiotic susceptibility profiles of *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from human diarrhoeal stools in Vhembe District, South Africa. *J Health Popul Nutr*. 2007;25(4):406.
31. Mohakud NK, Patra SD, Kumar S, Sahu PS, Misra N, Shrivastava AK. Detection and molecular typing of *Campylobacter* isolates from human and animal feces in the coastal belt of Odisha, India. *Indian J Med Microbiol*. 2019;37(3):345–350. doi:10.4103/ijmm.IJMM_19_394
32. Beatty M, Ochieng J, Chege W, et al. Sporadic pediatric diarrhoeal illness in urban and rural sites in Nyanza Province, Kenya. *East Afr Med J*. 2009;86(8):387–398. doi:10.4314/eamj.v86i8.54159
33. Lengerh A, Moges F, Unakal C, Anagaw B. Prevalence, associated risk factors and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *Campylobacter* spp. among under-five diarrheic children at Gondar University Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatr*. 2013;13(1):1–9. doi:10.1186/1471-2431-13-82
34. Lake I, Colon-Gonzalez FJ, Takkinen J, et al. exploring *Campylobacter* seasonality across Europe using the European surveillance system (TESSy), 2008 to 2016. *Eurosurveillance*. 2019;24(13):1800028. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.13.180028
35. Pires A, Patterson L, Kukiella E, Aminabadi P, Navarro-Gonzalez N, Jay-Russell M. Prevalence and risk factors associated with *Campylobacter* spp. and *Salmonella enterica* in livestock raised on diversified small-scale farms in California. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2019;147. doi:10.1017/S095026881900205X
36. Studahl A, Andersson Y. Risk factors for indigenous *Campylobacter* infection: a Swedish case-control study. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2000;125(2):269–275. doi:10.1017/S0950268899004562
37. Kapperud G, Espeland G, Wahl E, et al. Factors associated with increased and decreased risk of *Campylobacter* infection: a prospective case-control study in Norway. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2003;158(3):234–242. doi:10.1093/aje/kwg139
38. Obaidat MM. Seroprevalence and risk factors for *Campylobacter jejuni* seropositivity in Jordan. *Infect Dis*. 2019;51(2):140–146. doi:10.1080/23744235.2018.1540883
39. Budge S, Barnett M, Hutchings P, et al. Risk factors and transmission pathways associated with infant *Campylobacter* spp. prevalence and malnutrition: a formative study in rural Ethiopia. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(5):e0232541. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0232541
40. Davys G, Marshall J, Fayaz A, Weir R, Benschop J. *Campylobacteriosis* associated with the consumption of unpasteurized milk: findings from a sentinel surveillance site. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2020;148. doi:10.1017/S0950268819002292
41. Amour C, Gratz J, Mduma E, et al. Epidemiology and impact of *Campylobacter* infection in children in 8 low-resource settings: results from the MAL-ED study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;63(9):1171–1179. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw542
42. Trajkovska-Dokic E, Mihajlov K, Mirchevska G, Kostovski M, Blazevska A, Stojkovska S. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Campylobacter* isolates in the capital of North Macedonia. *Prilozi*. 2019;2019:0017.
43. Park SH, Kim BJ, Kwon YH, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility and genetic analysis of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from diarrhea patients in Busan. *Ann Clin Microbiol*. 2019;22(2):42–49. doi:10.5145/ACM.2019.22.2.42
44. Mulatu G, Beyene G, Zeynudin A. Prevalence of *Shigella*, *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* spp. and their susceptibility patterns among under-five children with diarrhea in Hawassa town, South Ethiopia. *Ethiop J Health Sci*. 2014;24(2):101. doi:10.4314/ejhs.v24i2.1
45. Pervin MK, Jhora ST, Paul S, Naher A. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of diarrhoeal pathogens in under-five children. *Bangladesh Med J Khulna*. 2019;52(1–2):35–39. doi:10.3329/bmj.v52i1-2.46148
46. Muhie OA. Antibiotic use and resistance pattern in Ethiopia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Microbiol*. 2019;2019:1–8. doi:10.1155/2019/2489063

Infection and Drug Resistance

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal>