

Acta Oncologica



ISSN: 0284-186X (Print) 1651-226X (Online) Journal homepage: informahealthcare.com/journals/ionc20

Tumor size does not predict pathological complete response rates after pre-operative chemoradiotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer

Cornelis G. Vos, Max Dahele, Chris Dickhoff, Suresh Senan, Erik Thunnissen & Koen J. Hartemink

To cite this article: Cornelis G. Vos, Max Dahele, Chris Dickhoff, Suresh Senan, Erik Thunnissen & Koen J. Hartemink (2013) Tumor size does not predict pathological complete response rates after pre-operative chemoradiotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer, Acta Oncologica, 52:3, 676-678, DOI: 10.3109/0284186X.2012.718794

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.3109/0284186X.2012.718794



Published online: 25 Oct 2012.

—×
14.
~,
_

Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 631



View related articles

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Tumor size does not predict pathological complete response rates after pre-operative chemoradiotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer

CORNELIS G. VOS¹, MAX DAHELE², CHRIS DICKHOFF¹, SURESH SENAN², ERIK THUNNISSEN³ & KOEN J. HARTEMINK¹

¹Department of Surgery, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ²Department of Radiation Oncology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands and ³Department of Pathology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

To the Editor,

Patients with locally advanced (LA) non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) and a large primary tumor are at risk of being considered to have incurable disease or excluded from radical-intent treatment [1,2]. We generally consider radical chemoradiotherapy in eligible patients so long as the risk of toxicity appears acceptable - absolute tumor size is not used to allocate treatment. We decided to test the hypothesis that even large tumors can respond well to chemoradiotherapy. We studied superior sulcus tumors (SST), considered a subgroup of NSCLC, because patients with operable SST receive induction chemoradiotherapy followed by resection. This allowed us to use pathological complete response (pCR), which has been correlated with survival, as an objective, clinically meaningful end-point of treatment response [3–6]. At the same time we also tested the hypothesis that large tumors could be sterilized with modest doses of radiotherapy (45-50 Gy).

Patients and methods

Patients and treatment

This retrospective study was performed with institutional approval. Patients treated between 2002 and 2011 were eligible if, 1) they had a diagnosis of SST and received trimodality therapy, 2) 45–50 Gy radiotherapy was delivered in our institution, and 3) the primary tumor was completely imaged on a radiotherapy planning computed tomography (CT) scan. Standard induction chemoradiotherapy was three cycles of full-dose platinum-based chemotherapy and CT-planned, image-guided, conformal radiotherapy starting with cycle 2 [7]. Patients without disease progression were usually operated on 4–6 weeks after induction therapy.

Histopathological examination

The resection specimen underwent histopathological examination for vital tumor cells. During initial gross examination of the resection specimen, at least two blocks were taken from the tumor area and two from the adjacent lung. Starting in 2008, if vital tumor cells were not identified in these blocks then the remainder of the surgical specimen was embedded in paraffin and sectioned for further examination.

Tumor size measurements

The radiotherapy planning CT scan was typically performed within one to two weeks of the start of the first cycle of chemotherapy. When the planning CT was respiratory-correlated (4-dimensional), then the end-inspiration phase was used. The maximum axial and cranio-caudal tumor diameters were measured in Centricity RA 600 6.1 (GE Medical Systems, USA) with a 65" high-definition 1080p plasma display (Panasonic TH-65PF11, Panasonic Corporation, Osaka, Japan) and simultaneously reviewed by four authors.

Volumetric analysis

The primary tumor was contoured in VelocityAI 2.7.1 (Velocity Medical Solutions, Atlanta, Georgia,

Correspondence: C. G. Vos, VU University Medical Center, Department of Surgery, De Boelelaan 1117, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Tel: +31 204444400. Fax: +31 204444512. E-mail: c.vos@vumc.nl

(Received 27 July 2012; accepted 1 August 2012)

ISSN 0284-186X print/ISSN 1651-226X online © 2013 Informa Healthcare DOI: 10.3109/0284186X.2012.718794

USA) by one author and reviewed by a second in order to derive the gross tumor volume (GTV). Tumor-soft tissue interfaces were contoured using mediastinal window settings and for tumor-lung interfaces, additional information was obtained from lung window settings.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, version 17.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Pearson correlation coefficient (\mathbb{R}^2) was used to describe correlations. To explore if tumor size measurements could predict pathological response, size variables were entered in a stepwise backward logistic regression analysis using a cut-off value of p = 0.05. Odds ratios (OR) were presented with 95% confidence intervals if statistically significant predictors were identified. In addition receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to determine the ability of bi-dimensional tumor size and GTV to predict pCR. An area under the curve (AUC) approaching 1 indicated perfect discrimination. Pearson's χ^2 -test was used to compare groups for occurrence of pCR. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare groups for continuous variables. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Results

Patient characteristics

Between January 2002 and December 2011, 84 patients with a SST received trimodality treatment and 43 had planning scans for radiotherapy at our institution. Six patients were excluded because the radiotherapy dose was either 39 (n = 4) or 66 Gy (n = 2). One patient was excluded because atelectasis around the tumor did not allow for accurate tumor delineation. This left a total of 36 patients. The median interval between start of induction chemotherapy and the planning CT scan was 12 days (range, 1-35 days). Patient characteristics are summarized in Table I.

Tumor size and pathological complete response

Of the 36 eligible patients, 12 (33%) had a pCR after induction therapy. The maximal axial tumor diameter ranged from 40–123 mm, cranio-caudal diameter ranged from 28–133 ml, and gross tumor volume ranged from 20–750 ml. Median maximum axial diameter was 64 mm (range, 36–123 mm) for patients with a pCR and 64 mm (range, 40–107 mm) for patients without a pCR. Median cranio-caudal diameter was 54 mm (range, 30–133 mm) and 66 mm (range, 28–113 mm) for patients with and without a

Table I. Patient characteristics.

Characteristic	n (%)
N	36
Age [years, (range)]	55 (39-74)
Sex	
Male	19 (53%)
Female	17 (47%)
Histology	
Squamous cell	7 (19%)
Adenocarcinoma	23 (64%)
Large cell	6 (17%)
Radiotherapy dose:	
45 Gy	2 (5%)
46 Gy	6 (17%)
50 Gy	28 (78%)

pCR, respectively. Median GTV for patients with a pCR was 50 ml (range, 20–250 ml) compared with 104 ml (23–382 ml) for patients without a pCR. No significant differences were seen in tumor measurements between patients with and without a pCR.

A strong correlation was observed between maximum axial diameter and GTV ($R^{2=}0.86$, p < 0.001) and cranio-caudal diameter and GTV ($R^{2=}0.86$, p < 0.001). Logistic regression analysis demonstrated that neither maximum axial diameter, nor cranio-caudal diameter or GTV were predictive for pCR. The area under the ROC-curve for prediction of pCR was 0.41 (p = 0.45) for maximum axial diameter and 0.33 (p = 0.15) for GTV.

The study population was divided in two groups with values below and above the median (small and large tumors, respectively) for the maximum axial diameter (65 mm), cranio-caudal diameter (62 mm) and GTV (86 ml). For small tumors, a pCR was achieved in seven of 19 (36.8%), six of 19 (31.6%), and seven of 13 (53.8%) patients for maximum axial diameter, cranio-caudal diameter and GTV, respectively. For large tumors, this was five of 17 (29.4%), six of 17 (35.3%) and three of 13 (23.1%), respectively. These differences were not statistically significant (p=0.64, p=0.81 and p=0.11, respectively).The median interval between start of induction chemotherapy and the planning CT scan was 11 (range, 1–19) days for large tumors and 13 (range, 1–35) days for small tumors.

Discussion

These data, from a cohort of patients with superior sulcus tumors who were managed with trimodality therapy, show that large tumor size or volume near the beginning of induction chemoradiotherapy does not preclude a complete pathological response. This supports the hypothesis that even large tumors can

678 C. G. Vos et al.

respond well to chemoradiotherapy. The data also demonstrate that even modest radiation doses delivered concurrently with full-dose chemotherapy can be effective in large tumors. These findings are strengthened by reports that on univariate analysis tumor size does not appear to be a key determinant of prognosis after chemoradiotherapy for locallyadvanced NSCLC and that long-term survival is possible in some patients with larger tumors [8,9].

We acknowledge potential limitations of the study. For example, the inclusion criteria and retrospective design may have resulted in selection bias; there was some variation in the timing of the baseline CT scan; we have not assessed patient survival and we have used SST as a model for NSCLC. Finally the relatively small size of the study limits the strength of the conclusions that can be drawn. Nonetheless, the results are suggestive that size alone should not be the deciding factor in whether or not to offer appropriately selected patients radical-intent treatment. They also highlight the need for better means of predicting treatment response and prognosis in patients with LA-NSCLC, irrespective of tumor size.

Declaration of interest: The VU University Medical Center Department of Radiation Oncology has research collaborations with Velocity Medical Solutions and GE.

References

[1] Sundstrom S. Palliative external beam thoracic radiation therapy of non-small cell lung cancer. In: Jeremic B, editor. Advances in radiation oncology in lung cancer. Berlin, Heidelberg: Medical Radiology; 2011; pp 453–9.

- [2] Zwitter M. Dutch statistics on lung cancer: Sobering experience for a new approach. J Thorac Oncol 2012;7: 269–71.
- [3] Alberts WM; American College of Chest Physicians. Diagnosis and management of lung cancer executive summary: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines, 2nd ed. Chest 2007;132:1S–19S.
- [4] Rusch VW, Giroux DJ, Kraut MJ, Crowley J, Hazuka M, Winton T, et al. Induction chemoradiation and surgical resection for superior sulcus non-small-cell lung carcinomas: Long-term results of Southwest Oncology Group Trial 9416 (Intergroup Trial 0160). J Clin Oncol 2007;25:313–8.
- [5] Kunitoh H, Kato H, Tsuboi M, Shibata T, Asamura H, Ichinose Y, et al. Phase II trial of preoperative chemoradiotherapy followed by surgical resection in patients with superior sulcus non-small-cell lung cancers: Report of Japan Clinical Oncology Group trial 9806. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:644–9.
- [6] Fischer S, Darling G, Pierre AF, Sun A, Leighl N, Waddell TK, et al. Induction chemoradiation therapy followed by surgical resection for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) invading the thoracic inlet. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2008;33:1129–34.
- [7] Phernambucq EC, Spoelstra FO, Paul MA, Senan S, Melissant CF, Postmus PE, et al. Evaluation of a treatment strategy for optimising preoperative chemoradiotherapy in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2009;36:1052–7.
- [8] Fernandes AT, Mitra N, Xanthopoulos E, Evans T, Stevenson J, Langer C, et al. The impact of extent and location of mediastinal lymph node involvement on survival in stage III non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with definitive radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012; 83:340–7.
- [9] van Baardwijk A, Wanders S, Boersma L, Borger J, Ollers M, Dingemans AM, et al. Mature results of an individualized radiation dose prescription study based on normal tissue constraints in stages I to III non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:1380–6.