

Acta Oncologica



ISSN: 0284-186X (Print) 1651-226X (Online) Journal homepage: informahealthcare.com/journals/ionc20

Any progress in pancreatic cancer?

Bengt Glimelius

To cite this article: Bengt Glimelius (2016) Any progress in pancreatic cancer?, Acta Oncologica, 55:3, 255-258, DOI: 10.3109/0284186X.2015.1126350

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.3109/0284186X.2015.1126350

	Published online: 17 Feb 2016.
	Submit your article to this journal 🗷
ılıl	Article views: 1727
Q ^N	View related articles 🗷
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗗

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

EDITORIAL

Any progress in pancreatic cancer?

Almost six years ago I wrote an editorial in this journal starting with the same words as constitute the title of this editorial [1]. It was written to an article by Simianu et al. [2], where the authors concluded that "the modern area has witnessed great progress, with gradually evolving attitudes towards the surgical intervention...". My own response to the question mark was summarized in the following word "well". In the text, I concluded that "progress in pancreatic cancer has been seen, but it has been extremely slow and still only incremental". Has any progress then been seen during the past 5–6 years?

The purpose of this editorial is not to give a comprehensive update of progress but rather to give editorial perspectives on six articles about pancreatic cancer published in this issue together with some perspectives on the progress.

Zijlstra et al. investigated whether long-term survival exists in pancreatic adenocarcinoma in a population-based study from the Netherlands [3]. The study, including 2564 patients of whom 1365 had a morphologically verified pancreatic adenocarcinoma, covers the period from 1993 to 2008 and is thus not of immediate interest for the question of whether recent progress has influenced population statistics. Reporting of population statistics are normally delayed for quite some time; the most recent update from EUROCARE also only covers the period until 2007 [4]. The authors conclude that long-term survival among patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma is extremely rare, whether morphologically verified or unverified (1.7% vs. 1.3%). Most of the patients with long-term survival without morphological verification did not have a pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Twenty-one of the 24 long-term survivors in the verified cohort had undergone surgical resection; five-year survival after resection was 10.1%, a figure in line with what previously has been reported multiple times in patients usually not given adjuvant chemotherapy. Of note was that half of the surgically resected five-year survivors eventually died of recurrent disease, further emphasizing the conclusion that long-term survival and cure is extremely rare, although existing. This study sets the scene of the well known extremely poor survival of pancreatic cancer patients, also reported from many other countries with no improvement up until about 2009 [5–8]. In an update of Danish data [9], one-year survival has improved from 2007 to 2012 in patients younger than 80 years, whereas no improvement was seen in five-year survival except slightly for females less than 70 years. Population statistics are, however, hampered by both under- and overreporting of pancreatic cancers [5,10,11].

In yet another Dutch population-based study, reported in Acta Oncologica last year [12], it was not possible to detect any improvement in median or 1–2-year survival in metastatic pancreatic cancers from the early 1990s to 2009–2010. In spite

of an increase in the use of palliative chemotherapy from 10% to 27%, median survival remained at 10 weeks. Treated patients had longer survival than non-treated (25 weeks, i.e. less than six months vs. eight weeks), partly because the treatment prolongs life but to an even greater extent caused by selection. During the almost 20-year time period, the proportion of the 1494 patients who had metastatic disease increased from 35% to 59%, likely being a result of better diagnostics tools. This stage migration should result in longer survival since less metastatic tumor burden could be discovered, but apparently this was not the case. The two most recent developments in palliative chemotherapy in pancreatic cancer, FOLFIRINOX [13] and nab-paclitaxel with gemcitabine [14] were not used. If the study had been repeated let us say between 2013 and 2014 when these treatments were available, survival of actively treated patients would be longer and likely well above six months, but I question whether median survival of all patients would be much longer than the 2½ months seen during the preceding 20 years. Trial patients are selected and not representative of the background population.

The first part of the title of the above mentioned Dutch study [12], "Ten weeks to live:" illustrates the dilemma of administrating potentially toxic chemotherapy during the end of life (EOL), often the last 30 days. In a Norwegian study, also recently published in Acta Oncologica [15], pancreatic cancer was the solid tumor where the highest proportion (31%) received chemotherapy during the last 30 days of life, with lung cancer being second, 19%. In colorectal cancer, only 6% received this. Intuitively, many consider these proportions treated that close to death too high, as the benefit is minimal and toxicity definite. However, with the rapid clinical course of a pancreatic cancer in many patients and treatments that have proven effects on survival and well being, albeit benefitting less than half of the patients, many patients will receive therapy during their EOL. Hopefully, the initiation of treatment has been preceded by thorough discussions with the patients and their relatives about the clear risk of no benefit and only harm. If treatment is not initiated, the chance to have a longer life, with improved possibilities to prepare for the ultimate death, will be entirely absent. Using gemcitabine alone, you could argue whether the possibilities for a gain were sufficiently large, although the toxicity to gemcitabine is often minor. The addition of either capecitabine [16,17] or nab-paclitaxel [14,18] to gemcitabine also results in small or almost incremental gains, questioning their use. However, the combinations result in the sum of two incremental gains, being superior to what best supportive care can result in, and no longer incremental, motivating their use in good performance patients in spite of increased toxicity.

The efficacy of adding postoperative chemotherapy after pancreatic cancer surgery was shown already during the first decade of this century [19,20]. Since then, adjuvant chemotherapy using either a fluoropyrimidine or gemcitabine has been standard therapy at most sites after a surgical RO/R1 resection, with an expected doubling of long-term survival [21,22]. Norwegian researchers [23] retrospectively evaluated 203 patients curatively resected and planned for adjuvant chemotherapy. Of those, 77 (38%) patients never initiated this therapy, mainly because of early disease progression or postoperative complications. Of the remaining patients about 2/3 did not complete the adjuvant therapy and overall, only 85 (42%) of those initially planned to receive adjuvant therapy completed it. For obvious reasons patients who completed the adjuvant therapy did much better than those who did not or did not initiate it. The results likely reflect common routines in non-selected patients and may indicate that even if adjuvant chemotherapy has proven to be efficacious in randomized studies, the impact on overall survival in populations will be limited. More efficacious therapy, as presently reported in metastatic pancreatic cancer may have greater impact also in the adjuvant situation and is presently explored. These treatments [13,14,16,17] will not influence survival of those who progress early or have complications to surgery and completion rates may be less due to increased toxicity.

The outcome of pancreatic cancer surgery in elderly patients has been explored by van der Geest et al. [24]. Of 3845 patients, from a study base of 20 005 patients with primary pancreatic or periampullary cancer, who underwent tumor resection, postoperative mortality after 30 and 90 days increased with increasing age, particularly if the patient was over 80 years old. After 30 days, it was 4% for those under 70 years and 8% for those above 80 years. The corresponding numbers after 90 days were 6% and 12%, respectively. However, for those elderly patients who survived 90 days, overall survival was close to that of younger patients. As reported for virtually all cancer sites and treatments, old age should not per se exclude patients from an intervention that may prolong life or ultimately cure them. Mortality and complications are increased, but with proper patient selection, they are often reasonable.

As in other tumor types, neo-adjuvant or induction chemotherapy and chemoradiotherapy may have greater possibilities to favorably influence long-term survival than chemotherapy/chemoradiotherapy has. Early attempts, particularly in those considered borderline resectable but also in locally advanced non-metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinomas have been favorable, particularly when the triple combination FOLFIRINOX has been used [25–27]. However, these data must so far be interpreted with great care, and patient selection to these intensive treatment schedules may well explain the apparently favorable results. It is, however, my belief that recent improvements in radiation delivery should be explored to a greater extent, in locally advanced pancreatic cancer to improve outcome [28-31].

In a subgroup analysis of a randomized German trial comparing first-line treatment with either capecitabine plus erlotinib or gemcitabine plus erlotinib [32], it was found that patients who developed hand-foot syndrome within the first three cycles had better time to treatment failure and overall survival than those who did not. The study included rather few individuals in the capecitabine plus erlotinib arm, but the difference remained also when only the subgroup of 70 patients who were on treatment with capecitabine for at least three cycles were analyzed. Thus, the guarantee-time bias was considered.

The response to palliative chemotherapy in biliary tract carcinoma is considered to be slightly better than that in pancreatic adenocarcinoma, although such inter-diagnoses comparisons are notoriously difficult. In an attempt to improve treatment results, cetuximab was added to a triple combination of gemcitabine, capecitabine and oxaliplatin, however, it failed to improve treatment results and should not be recommended for use even if it was considered to be well tolerated [33,34]. In pancreatic cancer, cetuximab likewise failed to add efficacy to gemcitabine in a large randomized study [35].

In order to improve response to chemotherapy, biomarkers have been extensively studied. One of the most studied biomarkers in pancreatic cancer has been human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (hENT1) that together with the activating enzyme deoxycytidine kinase (dCK) have been linked to treatment response to gemcitabine. These two markers were explored in a study including 175 patients with resected periampullar cancers, including pancreatic adenocarcinomas, also reported in this issue [36]. hENT1 expression was an independent predictor of favorable outcome in the intestinal type periampullar cancers, but not in pancreatobiliary cancers. The authors argue that morphological subtype should be considered in future biomarker studies [36]. The study adds to the difficulties in finding clinically relevant biomarkers for response and outcome in pancreatic cancer.

In a retrospective study from MD Anderson, Houston, Texas, 199 patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy between 2006 and 2012 were identified. Pretreatment thrombocytosis independently predicted inferior overall survival and progression-free survival, thus being yet another routinely collected parameter of potential clinical relevance for evaluating outcome [37].

The far majority of pancreatic cancers diagnosed worldwide are sporadic, however, 5-10% may be associated with inherited factors. Sharma et al. present four cases illustrating the association with mutations in BRCA1 or BRCA2 [38]. Though we are far from screening carriers of these mutations for pancreatic cancer, these mutations may have clinical impact of therapeutic relevance. BRCA mutation carriers have a potential for individualized treatments including targeted therapies, with better outcomes, irrespective of tumor type. One of the cases presented had a pathological complete response to FOLFIRINOX. Such excellent responses have been exceedingly rarely reported in pancreatic cancer.

Has progress then been made?

Groundbreaking policy changing treatments or other signs of significant progress will likely not primarily be published in Acta Oncologica, although the six articles published in this issue and additional articles recently published or to be



published later in the journal, and discussed above, contribute to improved knowledge of potential relevance for the treatment of patients with pancreas cancer. The prospective clinical trials with their strict patient inclusion criteria are fundamental for progress. Outcome research based upon studies done in well defined and large populations, to which several of the studies discussed belong, are likewise of great importance to better understand the clinical value of different treatments. Surgery can presently be safely performed in many patients, including the elderly if appropriately selected. However, cure after surgery is frequently not possible and the far majority of patients will recur. Adjuvant chemotherapy with treatments presently not considered most optimal in metastatic pancreatic cancer definitely reduces the risk of recurrence, but the absolute benefit is still small. The use of combinations of chemotherapy, particularly when given prior to surgery may yield better results, but remains to be tested and the increased toxicity may not always translate to better outcome. The incorporation of a taxane to gemcitabine as well as the triple combination of gemcitabine, 5-fluorouracil and oxaliplatin have improved the likelihood for metastatic cancer patients to respond to therapy and thus achieve symptomatic improvements, however, at the expense of increased toxicity. Quality of life outcomes have not been properly explored. In a study, the addition of nab-paclitaxel resulted in a median Q-TWiST gain of 1.0 month (95% confidence limits 0.1-1.9), considered by the authors to be clinically important [39].

Presently, much hope is put on immune therapies and particularly on the use of immune checkpoints inhibitors like pembrolizumab [40,41]. In a search on Clinicaltrials.gov, four such studies were found, but none of them included only pancreatic cancers. In a double-blind randomized phase II study, the Janus kinase (JAK) signal transducer and activator of transcription pathway ruxolitinib together with capecitabine in 127 patients having failed gemcitabine tended to improve both progression-free and overall survival (hazard ratios about 0.75–0.80). In the subgroup of patients with inflammation defined by CRP levels above median (13 mg/l), the overall survival difference was statistically significant (HR 0.47, 95% CI 0.26-0.85, median 2.7 vs. 1.8 months) [42].

In conclusion, progress has been made during the past 5-6 years, but similar to how I ended my editorial six years ago [1], it has been slow and the gains are still mostly incremental despite the substantially improved knowledge about basic tumor biology in pancreatic cancer. The improvements seen so far will likely not yet have any major impact on the dismal longterm prognosis in pancreatic cancer patients in a general population.

References

- 1. Glimelius B. Any progress in pancreatic cancer? Well, but progress for Acta Oncologica. Acta Oncol 2010;49:404-6.
- Simianu VV, Zyromski NJ, Nakeeb A, Lillemoe KD. Pancreatic cancer: progress made. Acta Oncol 2010;49:407-17.
- Zijlstra M, Bernards N, de Hingh IH, van de Wouw AJ, Goey SH, Jacobs EM, et al. Does long-term survival exist in pancreatic adenocarcinoma? Acta Oncol 2016:55:259-64.
- Lepage C, Capocaccia R, Hackl M, Lemmens V, Molina E, Pierannunzio D, et al. Survival in patients with primary liver cancer, gallbladder and extrahepatic biliary tract cancer and pancreatic cancer in Europe 1999-

- 2007: Results of EUROCARE-5. Eur J Cancer 2015; 10.1016/ i.eica.2015.07.034.
- Lambe M, Eloranta S, Wigertz A, Blomqvist P. Pancreatic cancer; reporting and long-term survival in Sweden. Acta 2011;50:1220-7.
- 6. Speer AG, Thursfield VJ, Torn-Broers Y, Jefford M. Pancreatic cancer: surgical management and outcomes after 6 years of follow-up. Med J Aust 2012:196:511-15.
- Soreide K, Aagnes B, Moller B, Westgaard A, Bray F. Epidemiology of pancreatic cancer in Norway: trends in incidence, basis of diagnosis and survival 1965-2007. Scand J Gastroenterol 2010;45:82-92.
- Krilaviciute A, Smailyte G, Brenner H, Gondos A. Cancer survival in Lithuania after the restoration of independence: rapid improvements, but persisting major gaps. Acta Oncol 2014;53:1238-44.
- Bjerregaard J, Mortensen MB, Pfeiffer P. Trends in cancer of the liver, gall bladder, bile duct, and pancreas in elderly in Denmark, 1980-2012. Acta Oncol 2016;55(Supplement 1):40-5.
- Kilander C, Mattsson F, Ljung R, Lagergren J, Sadr-Azodi O. Systematic underreporting of the population-based incidence of pancreatic and biliary tract cancers. Acta Oncol 2014;53:822-9.
- 11. Nilsson M, Tavelin B, Axelsson B. A study of patients not registered in the Swedish Cancer Register but reported to the Swedish Register of Palliative Care 2009 as deceased due to cancer. Acta Oncol 2014:53:414-19.
- Bernards N, Haj Mohammad N, Creemers GJ, de Hingh IH, van Laarhoven HW, Lemmens VE. Ten weeks to live: a population-based study on treatment and survival of patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer in the south of the Netherlands. Acta Oncol 2015:54:403-10
- 13. Conroy T, Desseigne F, Ychou M, Bouche O, Guimbaud R, Becouarn Y, et al. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med 2011;364:1817-25.
- 14. Von Hoff DD, Ervin T, Arena FP, Chiorean EG, Infante J, Moore M, et al. Increased survival in pancreatic cancer with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine. N Engl J Med 2013;369:1691-703.
- 15. Anshushaug M, Gynnild MA, Kaasa S, Kvikstad A, Gronberg BH. Characterization of patients receiving palliative chemo- and radiotherapy during end of life at a regional cancer center in Norway. Acta Oncol 2015:54:395-402.
- 16. Cunningham D, Chau I, Stocken DD, Valle JW, Smith D, Steward W, et al. Phase III randomized comparison of gemcitabine versus gemcitabine plus capecitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:5513-18.
- 17. Herrmann R, Bodoky G, Ruhstaller T, Glimelius B, Bajetta E, Schuller J, et al. Gemcitabine plus capecitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in advanced pancreatic cancer: a randomized, multicenter, phase III trial of the Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research and the Central European Cooperative Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2212-17.
- 18. Goldstein D, El-Maraghi RH, Hammel P, Heinemann V, Kunzmann V, Sastre J, et al. nab-Paclitaxel plus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer: long-term survival from a phase III trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2015;107:(2)dju413.
- 19. Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Friess H, Bassi C, Dunn JA, Hickey H, et al. A randomized trial of chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med 2004:350:1200-10.
- Oettle H, Post S, Neuhaus P, Gellert K, Langrehr J, Ridwelski K, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine vs observation in patients undergoing curative-intent resection of pancreatic cancer: a randomized controlled trial. Jama 2007;297:267-77.
- 21. Oettle H, Neuhaus P, Hochhaus A, Hartmann JT, Gellert K, Ridwelski K, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine and long-term outcomes among patients with resected pancreatic cancer: the CONKO-001 randomized trial. Jama 2013;310:1473-81.
- 22. Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Bassi C, Ghaneh P, Cunningham D, Goldstein D, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy with fluorouracil plus folinic acid vs gemcitabine following pancreatic cancer resection: a randomized controlled trial. Jama 2010;304:1073-81.
- 23. Labori KJ, Katz MH, Tzeng CW, Bjornbeth BA, Cvancarova M, Edwin B, et al. Impact of early disease progression and surgical complications

- on adjuvant chemotherapy completion rates and survival in patients undergoing the surgery first approach for resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma - A population-based cohort study. Acta Oncol 2016:55:265-77.
- van der Geest LG, Besselink MG, van Gestel YR, Busch OR, de Hingh IH, de Jong KP, et al. Pancreatic cancer surgery in elderly patients: Balancing between short-term harm and long-term benefit. A population-based study in the Netherlands. Acta Oncol 2016:55:278-85.
- 25. Mellon EA, Hoffe SE, Springett GM, Frakes JM, Strom TJ, Hodul PJ, et al. Long-term outcomes of induction chemotherapy and neoadjuvant stereotactic body radiotherapy for borderline resectable and locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Acta Oncol 2015;54:979-85.
- 26. Kelly CM, El Bassiouni M, Bennett MW, Crush L, Mceneaney P, O'suilleabhain C, et al. Borderline resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma, is conversion therapy realistic? Acta Oncol 2014;53:1268-71.
- 27. Oyasiji T, Ma WW. Novel adjuvant therapies for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. J Gastrointest Oncol 2015;6:430-5.
- 28. Nichols RC, Jr, George TJ, Zaiden RA, Jr, Awad ZT, Asbun HJ, Huh S, et al. Proton therapy with concomitant capecitabine for pancreatic and ampullary cancers is associated with a low incidence of gastrointestinal toxicity. Acta Oncol 2013;52:498-505.
- Lens E, van der Horst A, Kroon PS, van Hooft JE, Davila Fajardo R, Fockens P, et al. Differences in respiratory-induced pancreatic tumor motion between 4D treatment planning CT and daily cone beam CT, measured using intratumoral fiducials. Acta Oncol 2014;53:1257-64.
- Sangalli G, Passoni P, Cattaneo GM, Broggi S, Bettinardi V, Reni M, et al. Planning design of locally advanced pancreatic carcinoma using 4DCT and IMRT/IGRT technologies. Acta Oncol. 2011;50:72-80.
- Warren S, Partridge M, Fokas E, Eccles CL, Brunner TB. Comparing dose-volume histogram and radiobiological endpoints for ranking intensity-modulated arc therapy and 3D-radiotherapy treatment plans for locally-advanced pancreatic cancer. Acta Oncol. 2013;52:1573-8.
- 32. Kruger S, Boeck S, Heinemann V, Laubender RP, Vehling-Kaiser U, Waldschmidt D, et al. Impact of hand-foot skin reaction on treatment outcome in patients receiving capecitabine plus erlotinib for advanced pancreatic cancer: a subgroup analysis from AIO-PK0104. Acta Oncol 2015;54:993-1000.

- 33. Larsen FO, Mellergaard AH, Hoegdall DT, Jensen LH. Gemcitabine, capecitabine and oxaliplatin in advanced biliary tract carcinoma. Acta Oncol. 2014;53:1448-50.
- 34. Larsen FO, Taksony D, Hoegdall S, Hoegdall E, Nielsen D. Gemcitabine, capecitabine and oxaliplatin with or without cetuximab in advanced biliary tract carcinoma, Acta Oncol 2016:55:382-85.
- 35. Philip PA, Benedetti J, Corless CL, Wong R, O'reilly EM, Flynn PJ, et al. Phase III study comparing gemcitabine plus cetuximab versus gemcitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma: Southwest Oncology Group-directed intergroup trial S0205. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:3605-10.
- Elebro J, Ben Dror L, Heby M, Nodin B, Jirstrom K, Eberhard J. Prognostic effect of hENT1, dCK and HuR expression by morphological type in periampullary adenocarcinoma, including pancreatic cancer. Acta Oncol 2016:55:286-96.
- 37. Chadha AS, Kocak-Uzel E, Das P, Minsky BD, Delclos ME, Mahmood U, et al. Paraneoplastic thrombocytosis independently predicts poor prognosis in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer. Acta Oncol 2015:54:971-8.
- Sharma MB, Carus A, Sunde L, Hamilton-Dutoit S, Ladekarl M. BRCA-associated pancreatico-biliary neoplasms: Four cases illustrating the emerging clinical impact of genotyping. Acta Oncol 2016;55: 377-81.
- 39. Reni M, Wan Y, Solem C, Whiting S, Ji X, Botteman M. Quality-adjusted survival with combination nab-paclitaxel+gemcitabine vs gemcitabine alone in metastatic pancreatic cancer: a Q-TWiST analysis. J Med Econ 2014:17:338-46.
- 40. Li X, Hu W, Zheng X, Zhang C, Du P, Zheng Z, et al. Emerging immune checkpoints for cancer therapy. Acta Oncol 2015;54:1706-13.
- Vaccaro V, Sperduti I, Vari S, Bria E, Melisi D, Garufi C, et al. Metastatic pancreatic cancer: Is there a light at the end of the tunnel? World J Gastroenterol 2015:21:4788-801.
- Hurwitz HI, Uppal N, Wagner SA, Bendell JC, Beck JT, Wade SM, et al. Randomized, double-blind, phase II study of ruxolitinib or placebo in combination with capecitabine in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer for whom therapy with gemcitabine has failed. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:4039-47.

Bengt Glimelius Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

Received 26 November 2015; accepted 26 November 2015