International Journal of Radiation Biology

ISSN: 0955-3002 (Print) 1362-3095 (Online) Journal homepage: informahealthcare.com/journals/irab20

Lineal energy-based evaluation of oxidative DNA damage induced by proton beams and X-rays

Zhengshan Hong, Yuki Kase, Takashi Moritake, Ariungerel Gerelchuluun, Lue Sun, Kenshi Suzuki, Toshiyuki Terunuma, Kiyoshi Yasuoka, Hiroaki Kumada, Kazunori Anzai, Hideyuki Sakurai, Takeji Sakae & Koji Tsuboi

To cite this article: Zhengshan Hong, Yuki Kase, Takashi Moritake, Ariungerel Gerelchuluun, Lue Sun, Kenshi Suzuki, Toshiyuki Terunuma, Kiyoshi Yasuoka, Hiroaki Kumada, Kazunori Anzai, Hideyuki Sakurai, Takeji Sakae & Koji Tsuboi (2013) Lineal energy-based evaluation of oxidative DNA damage induced by proton beams and X-rays, International Journal of Radiation Biology, 89:10, 888-888, DOI: 10.3109/09553002.2013.799300

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.3109/09553002.2013.799300

Published online: 17 May 2013.

International Journal of Radiation Biology, October 2013; 89(10): 888

ISSN 0955-3002 print / ISSN 1362-3095 online
DOI: 10.3109/09553002.2013.799300

informa
healthcare

ERRATUM

Lineal energy-based evaluation of oxidative DNA damage induced by proton beams and X-rays

Zhengshan Hong, Yuki Kase, Takashi Moritake, Ariungerel Gerelchuluun, Lue Sun, Kenshi Suzuki, Toshiyuki Terunuma, Kiyoshi Yasuoka, Hiroaki Kumada, Kazunori Anzai, Hideyuki Sakurai, Takeji Sakae & Koji Tsuboi

To the Editor:

We report an error in unit notation in our original report entitled "Lineal energy-based evaluation of oxidative DNA damage induced by proton beams and X-rays" (International Journal of Radiation Biology, January 2013; 89(1): 36–43).

In lines 11–12, page 42, the unit notations should be "/cm^2/Gy" instead of "/cm^2/Gy sec". Thus, the correct statement is as follows: In our experiments, the number of proton particles delivered was estimated to be approximately 1.06×10^9/cm^2/Gy and 3.51×10^8/cm^2/Gy at the plateau ($y^* = 4.48 \pm 0.56$ keV/mm) and near the Bragg peak ($y^* = 7.02 \pm 0.08$ keV/mm), respectively.

The authors apologize to the readership for possible inconvenience and are pleased to have the opportunity to make this correction in the printed and online versions of this paper.