

Leukemia & Lymphoma

ISSN: 1042-8194 (Print) 1029-2403 (Online) Journal homepage: informahealthcare.com/journals/ilal20

Reducing neurotoxicity in the management of multiple myeloma

Morie A. Gertz

To cite this article: Morie A. Gertz (2011) Reducing neurotoxicity in the management of multiple myeloma, Leukemia & Lymphoma, 52:11, 2043-2044, DOI: 10.3109/10428194.2011.602773

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.3109/10428194.2011.602773

View supplementary material



Published online: 18 Aug 2011.

-	-
	0
-	

Submit your article to this journal 🖸



View related articles

COMMENTARY

Reducing neurotoxicity in the management of multiple myeloma

Morie A. Gertz

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

In this issue of *Leukemia and Lymphoma*, Mangiacavalli and colleagues attempted to reduce the neurotoxicity of thalidomide-based therapy by giving a 1-week drug holiday out of every 4 weeks of therapy. Unfortunately, the intermittent thalidomide schedule did not reduce neurological toxicity [1].

Response rates, depth of response, progression-free survival and overall survival have improved in multiple myeloma with the introduction of novel agents. However, there has been a price paid in terms of toxicity, particularly neurotoxicity, which is not consistently reversible and can significantly impact the quality of life of patients. In the phase 3, Dutch Belgian Hemato-Oncology Cooperative Group (HOVON)-50 trial, the incidence of neuropathy was 64% [2]. Grade 2-4 peripheral neuropathy was seen in 47% of patients in the HOVON-49 myeloma trial [3]. Combining bortezomib with thalidomide produces a higher rate of peripheral neuropathy (p = 0.0004) than with thalidomide alone [4]. In a study that used bortezomib, melphalan, prednisone and thalidomide [5] the protocol was amended because of the high incidence of peripheral neuropathy. After the first 139 patients enrolled, the induction schedules were changed. Grade 3-4 sensory neuropathy was reported in 8% of patients receiving the four-drug combination followed by bortezomib-thalidomide maintenance. Twentythree percent discontinued treatment for adverse events, the major reason being peripheral neuropathy. The modification chosen was reduction in the bortezomib frequency from twice weekly to once weekly, with a significant reduction in the development of peripheral neuropathy. As the survival in multiple myeloma increases, the long-term consequences of symptomatic neurotoxicity become less acceptable.

What are the options available to reduce the long-term risks of neurotoxicity? Four opportunities for managing this have been reported: modification of dose, schedule and route of administration, and identification of host factors that uniquely predispose patients to this toxicity. Reduction in bortezomib and thalidomide doses, so-called VTD-lite, has recently been incorporated into protocols, with the thalidomide dose at 50 mg/day and the bortezomib dose at 1.0 mg/m². Successful treatment of multiple myeloma using thalidomide in a dose of 100 mg every other day has

been reported [6], maintaining efficacy of myeloma control. Reducing the frequency of bortezomib scheduling from twice weekly to once weekly appears to sustain response without compromising long-term outcomes, including 3-year progression-free survival [7], and reduces the incidence of grade 3-4 peripheral neuropathy from 28% using a twice-weekly bortezomib schedule to 8% in the once-weekly usage group. The combination of bortezomib, given once weekly, with cyclophosphamide has been reported to maintain high response rates [8].

An important modification in the route of administration of bortezomib has resulted in a significant reduction in neurotoxicity. By administering bortezomib subcutaneously days 1, 4, 8 and 11 of a 21-day schedule, peak levels of bortezomib were reduced, and peripheral neuropathy of any grade was reduced from 53% to 38%. Grade 3 or greater neuropathy was reduced from 16% to 6% using the subcutaneous administration route. Efficacy was similar and the safety profile was improved [9].

Recently, with the use of single-chain nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), an individual's risk of developing a peripheral neuropathy after thalidomide treatment can be predicted. These genes primarily govern repair mechanisms and inflammation in the peripheral nervous system [10]. This could allow the development of neuroprotective strategies in patients who are predisposed to develop this complication. Similar studies have been undertaken to predict the risk of peripheral neuropathy associated with bortezomib. Gene expression profiles of myeloma plasma cells and SNPs in DNA samples identified genetic factors that increase the risk of bortezomib-induced peripheral neuropathy and included enzyme-coding genes involved in drug-induced apoptosis and mitochondrial dysfunction [11]. In a second study, genes associated with immune function, drug binding and neuron function were found to be associated with bortezomibinduced peripheral neuropathy, and may be used in the future to develop a predictive genetic signature for those at increased risk of peripheral neuropathy [12].

Practicing oncologists should be delighted with the new options available to their patients, with the hope of substantially improved survival. However, they need to be aware of the significant neurotoxicity that these patients are at risk of developing. Prompt dose reduction in patients who begin to

This commentary accompanies an article which is due to be published in a coming issue of Leukemia & Lymphoma.

Correspondence: Morie A. Gertz, MD, MACP, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA. E-mail: gertm@mayo.edu

develop early paresthesias is mandatory to avoid long-term morbidity. Reduction in frequency of administration, subcutaneous administration of bortezomib, and alternate-day or reduced dose administration of thalidomide are all options to help improve the toxicity profile associated with these highly effective agents.

Potential conflict of interest: The author has received honoraria from Celgene & Millenium pharmacuticals. A disclosure form provided by the author is available with the full text of this article at www.informahealthcare.com/lal.

References

[1] Mangiacavalli S, Albani G, Caravita T, et al. Similar neurotoxicity of an alternating compared to a continuous low-dose schedule of thalidomide for relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. Leuk Lymphoma 2011;52:in press.

[2] Lokhorst HM, van der Holt B, Zweegman S, et al. A randomized phase 3 study on the effect of thalidomide combined with adriamycin, dexamethasone, and high-dose melphalan, followed by thalidomide maintenance in patients with multiple myeloma. Blood 2010;115:1113-1120.

[3] Wijermans P, Schaafsma M, Termorshuizen F, et al. Phase III study of the value of thalidomide added to melphalan plus prednisone in elderly patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: the HOVON 49 Study. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:3160–3166. [4] Cavo M, Tacchetti P, Patriarca F, et al. Bortezomib with thalidomide plus dexamethasone compared with thalidomide plus dexamethasone as induction therapy before, and consolidation therapy after, double autologous stem-cell transplantation in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: a randomised phase 3 study. Lancet 2010;376:2075-2085.

[5] Palumbo A, Bringhen S, Rossi D, et al. Bortezomib-melphalanprednisone-thalidomide followed by maintenance with bortezomibthalidomide compared with bortezomib-melphalan-prednisone for initial treatment of multiple myeloma: a randomized controlled trial. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:5101–5109.

[6] Yasuda H, Ando J, Sato E, et al. Successful treatment of extramedullary tumors with low-dose thalidomide in patients with multiple myeloma. Intern Med 2010;49:2617–2620.

[7] Bringhen S, Larocca A, Rossi D, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly bortezomib in multiple myeloma patients. Blood 2010;116:4745–4753.

[8] Reeder CB, Reece DE, Kukreti V, et al. Once- versus twice-weekly bortezomib induction therapy with CyBorD in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. Blood 2010;115:3416-3417.

[9] Moreau P, Pylypenko H, Grosicki S, et al. Subcutaneous versus intravenous administration of bortezomib in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma: a randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority study. Lancet Oncol 2011;12:431-440.

[10] Johnson DC, Corthals SL, Walker BA, et al. Genetic factors underlying the risk of thalidomide-related neuropathy in patients with multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:797–804.

[11] Broyl A, Corthals SL, Jongen JL, et al. Mechanisms of peripheral neuropathy associated with bortezomib and vincristine in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: a prospective analysis of data from the HOVON-65/GMMG-HD4 trial. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11:1057-1065.

[12] Favis R, Sun Y, van de Velde H, et al. Genetic variation associated with bortezomib-induced peripheral neuropathy. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2011;21:121-129.