



Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry

ISSN: 1475-6366 (Print) 1475-6374 (Online) Journal homepage: informahealthcare.com/journals/ienz20

4-Aryliden-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one as a new scaffold for selective reversible MAGL inhibitors

Carlotta Granchi, Flavio Rizzolio, Vittorio Bordoni, Isabella Caligiuri, Clementina Manera, Marco Macchia, Filippo Minutolo, Adriano Martinelli, Antonio Giordano & Tiziano Tuccinardi

To cite this article: Carlotta Granchi, Flavio Rizzolio, Vittorio Bordoni, Isabella Caligiuri, Clementina Manera, Marco Macchia, Filippo Minutolo, Adriano Martinelli, Antonio Giordano & Tiziano Tuccinardi (2016) 4-Aryliden-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one as a new scaffold for selective reversible MAGL inhibitors, Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry, 31:1, 137-146, DOI: 10.3109/14756366.2015.1010530

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.3109/14756366.2015.1010530



Published online: 11 Feb 2015.

	Submit	У
Ø	Submit	١

our article to this journal 🗹





View related articles 🗹



View Crossmark data



Citing articles: 3 View citing articles 🕑

Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry

http://informahealthcare.com/enz ISSN: 1475-6366 (print), 1475-6374 (electronic)

J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem, 2016; 31(1): 137–146 © 2015 Informa UK Ltd. DOI: 10.3109/14756366.2015.1010530

RESEARCH ARTICLE

4-Aryliden-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one as a new scaffold for selective reversible MAGL inhibitors

Carlotta Granchi¹, Flavio Rizzolio², Vittorio Bordoni¹, Isabella Caligiuri², Clementina Manera¹, Marco Macchia¹, Filippo Minutolo¹, Adriano Martinelli¹, Antonio Giordano², and Tiziano Tuccinardi^{1,2}

¹Department of Pharmacy, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy and ²Sbarro Institute for Cancer Research and Molecular Medicine Center for Biotechnology, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Abstract

This study reports on a preliminary structure–activity relationship exploration of 4-aryliden-2-methyloxazol-5(4*H*)-one-based compounds as MAGL/FAAH inhibitors. Our results highlight that this scaffold may serve for the development of selective MAGL inhibitors. A 69-fold selectivity against MAGL over FAAH was achieved for compound **16b** (MAGL and FAAH IC₅₀=1.6 and 111 μ M, respectively). Furthermore, the best compound behaved as a reversible ligand and showed promising antiproliferative activity in cancer cells.

Keywords

Hydrolase, MAGL, MAGL inhibitors, monoacylglycerol lipase, monoacylglycerol lipase inhibitors

informa

healthcare

History

Received 19 December 2014 Revised 14 January 2015 Accepted 15 January 2015 Published online 6 February 2015

Introduction

The endocannabinoid system is involved in a large number of physiopathological processes, such as the regulation of cellular proliferation, pain sensation, appetite and cognition¹. It is widespread in the mammalian tissues and it acts as a prohomeostatic effector being activated following transient or chronic perturbation of homeostasis and locally regulating the levels and action of other chemical signals². Among the various reported endogenous lipids with endocannabinoid-like activity, 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) and arachidonoylethanolamide (AEA) are considered the two most important ligands of the CB₁ and CB₂ cannabinoid receptors. The signaling functions of AEA and 2-AG are terminated by enzymatic hydrolysis, which is mainly mediated by the fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) and monoacylglicerol lipase (MAGL), respectively³. The in vivo cannabinometic effects of the endocannabinoids are rather weak, due to the fact that they are rapidly inactivated by cellular reuptake followed by intracellular hydrolysis. However, an increase in the level of endocannabinoids, caused by a reduction of their metabolism promoted by FAAH or MAGL, could lead to several advantageous effects⁴. MAGL inhibition in the periphery produces CB1-dependent antinociceptive effects of noxious chemical, inflammatory, thermal and neuropathic pain in mouse models⁵. Genetic and pharmacological blockades of MAGL also exhibit anti-inflammatory effects in the brain and neuroprotective effects for Parkinson's and Alzheimer's disease in mouse models⁶. Furthermore, other studies demonstrated that the inhibition of MAGL also exerts anti-anxiety responses⁷ and can be useful for modulating opiate drug dependence⁸. Finally, MAGL is upregulated in aggressive cancer cells and primary tumors and its inhibition in aggressive breast, ovarian and melanoma cancer cells impairs cell migration, invasiveness and tumorigenicity⁹.

Three different types of MAGL inhibitors have been reported in literature so far: (1) compounds that bind the enzyme covalently and irreversibly, (2) compounds that bind covalently and reversibly and (3) compounds that bind non-covalently. Among the compounds that bind covalently, two different subtypes are reported, those that bind the nucleophilic serine 122 (S122) and those that target the cysteines of the enzyme³. This last class of MAGL inhibitors generally shows a high selectivity against FAAH; however, this selectivity over other enzymes containing cysteine residues in the binding site still needs to be verified. Regarding the MAGL inhibitors that bind the nucleophilic S122, a wide number of compounds have been reported in literature, but only few show high selectivity against FAAH. One of the most promising ligands was developed by Cravatt and co-workers¹⁰, and it consists of a carbamate derivative that behaves as an irreversible nanomolar inhibitor (JZL-184, Figure 1) and displays a high selectivity for MAGL versus FAAH enzymes. In the last 5 years, this compound has been used as a reference molecule for a wide number of in vitro and in vivo experimental studies. Very recently, Laitinen and co-workers¹¹, by combining the 1,2,4-triazole leaving group together with the aromatic benzodioxolyl moiety, reported the development of compound JJKK-048 (Figure 1), which is probably the most active and selective irreversible MAGL inhibitor ever reported. CAY10499 (Figure 1) is a known irreversible inhibitor of both MAGL and FAAH enzymes¹². Although CAY10499 is a carbamate-based inhibitor, just like many other compounds interacting

Address for correspondence: Tiziano Tuccinardi, Department of Pharmacy, University of Pisa, Via Bonanno 6, 56126 Pisa, Italy. Tel: +39 0502219595. E-mail: tiziano.tuccinardi@farm.unipi.it



Figure 1. Structures of some of the most relevant MAGL inhibitors.

with the enzymes of the endocannabinoid system, further studies revealed that the 5-methoxy-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2(3*H*)-one ring is the main responsible for MAGL inhibition. The structure–activity relationships of this compound and further MALDI- and SELDI-TOF mass spectrometry analyses of analogous compounds^{12,13} strongly supported a covalent interaction of the 5-methoxy-1,3,4oxadiazol-2(3*H*)-one moiety with the enzyme active site, which occurs by a nucleophilic attack of the hydroxyl group of the enzyme's catalytic serine to the carbonyl atom of the inhibitor's oxadiazolone ring. The 1,3,4-oxadiazol-2(3*H*)-one heterocycle was also found in the scaffold of other MAGL and FAAH inhibitors; most of them, however, were completely unselective¹⁴ or characterized by a low selectivity for MAGL versus FAAH, such as compound **1** (Figure 1), with IC₅₀ values of 0.35 μ M for MAGL and 6.3 μ M for FAAH^{15,16}.

On the basis of the ability of the 5-methoxy-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2(3H)-one moiety (I, Figure 2) to interact with the catalytic serine of MAGL, we decided to design and synthesize compounds possessing a similar 2-methyl-4-methyleneoxazol-5(4H)-one scaffold (II, Figure 2). Both structures are based on a cyclic carbamate or lactone moiety, which can be opened in the presence of a nucleophile; moreover, the geometry of the C-N bond, in which the nitrogen atom is present in position 3 of the 5-methoxy-1,3,4oxadiazol-2(3H)-one cycle, could be mimicked by the 4-arylidene portion of the 2-methyl-4-methyleneoxazol-5(4H)-one scaffold. Therefore, a series of three new exploratory small compounds 2, 3 and 4 (Schemes 1 and 2), were initially synthesized to determine which kind of aromatic portion (Ar, Figure 2), such as phenyl (2) or heteroaromatic five-membered cycle (3 and 4), was more suitable for obtaining good inhibition levels on MAGL. Further developments of this chemical class were carried out and nine new compounds (16a-c, 17a-c and 18a-c, Scheme 3) were obtained by introducing unsubstituted or *para*-substituted (fluoro and methoxy) phenyl rings in the aromatic ring of compound 2. Finally, in order to verify whether the 2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one moiety was necessary for the activity on MAGL, we synthesized and tested a compound containing the opened cycle (19, Scheme 4).

Experimental protocols

Chemistry

General

J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem, 2016; 31(1): 137-146



Figure 2. Design of the methyleneoxazol-5(4H)-one scaffold (II).

PS-TsNHNH₂ was purchased from Argonaut Technologies Inc and **JZL-184** and **CAY10499** were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker Avance III 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and referenced from solvent references. Chromatographic separations were performed on silica gel columns by flash chromatography (Kieselgel 60, 0.040–0.063 mm; Merck). Reactions were followed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on Aldrich aluminum silica gel (F254) sheets that were visualized under a UV lamp. Evaporation was performed in vacuo (rotating evaporator). Sodium sulfate was always used as the drying agent.

General procedure for the preparation of the oxazole derivatives 2, 3, 4, 16a-c, 17a-c, 18a-c

A mixture of properly substituted aromatic aldehydes 5, 6, 9, 13a–c, 14a–c or 15a–c (1 eq), *N*-acetylglycine (1 eq) and sodium acetate (1 eq) in acetic anhydride (5 ml/5 mmol aldehyde) was stirred at reflux for 5 h and then warmed slowly to room temperature over 16 h. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer was washed sequentially with water and saturated brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified with a flash column chromatography using the indicated eluent and pure fractions containing the desired compound were evaporated to dryness affording the desired product.

(Z)-4-Benzylidene-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (2)

Yellow crystalline solid, yield: 47% (417.1 mg) from 5. $R_f = 0.14$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.41 (s, 3H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.42–7.47 (m, 3H), 8.07–8.09 (m, 2H).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.84, 129.03 (3C), 131.28, 131.65, 132.31, 132.70, 133.27, 166.26, 167.97.

(Z)-4-(Furan-2-ylmethylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (3)

Bright yellow crystalline solid, yield: 49% (451.2 mg) from 6. $R_f = 0.18$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 9:1).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.40 (s, 3H), 6.59 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 1.8 Hz), 7.03 (s, 1H), 7.28 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz), 7.68 (d, 1H, J = 1.3 Hz). Signals attributed to the (*E*) isomer (9%): 2.34 (s, 3H), 6.62–6.63 (m, 1H), 7.63 (d, 1H, J = 1.5 Hz), 8.03 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.82, 113.57, 118.00, 120.17, 129.57, 147.06, 150.08, 165.88, 167.54.

(Z)-4-((1-Acetyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)one (4)

gma– Bright yellow crystalline solid, yield: 18% (68.0 mg) from 9. ation. $R_f = 0.12$ (*n*-hexane/Et₂O 6:4).

Commercially available chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Alfa Aesar and used without further purification.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) N-acetylglycine, Ac₂O, CH₃COONa, reflux, 5 h.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) N-acetylglycine, Ac₂O, CH₃COONa, reflux, 5 h.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 6.43 (t, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz), 7.30 (dd, 1H, J = 3.3, 1.4 Hz), 7.69–7.71 (m, 1H), 8.18 (s, 1H). Signals attributed to the (*E*) isomer (7%): 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 7.33 (dd, 1H, J = 3.3, 1.2 Hz), 8.40 (s, 1H).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.79, 24.59, 114.13, 121.45, 124.54, 125.62, 130.38, 130.60, 165.25, 167.62, 169.53.

1-Acetyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (9)

Brown-orange solid, yield: 33% (94.0 mg) from 7. $R_f = 0.12$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 8:2).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.66 (s, 3H), 6.36 (t, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz), 7.21 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.33 (dd, 1H, J = 3.1, 1.6 Hz), 10.29 (s, 1H).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 24.12, 112.97, 122.98, 126.75, 135.76, 169.24, 182.58.

(Z)-4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-ylmethylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (16 a)

Yellow crystalline solid, yield: 16% (107.0 mg) from 13a. $R_f = 0.13$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 9:1).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.42 (s, 3H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.30–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.50 (m, 6H), 8.65–8.69 (m, 1H).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.84, 127.83, 128.04, 128.58 (2C), 130.06 (2C), 130.51, 130.53, 130.80, 130.96, 132.11, 132.80, 139.89, 145.08, 166.33, 167.70.

(Z)-4-((4'-Fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)methylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (**16b**)

Bright yellow crystalline solid, yield: 24% (137.0 mg) from **13b**. $R_f = 0.14$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

 $^{1}\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.42 (s, 3H), 7.10–7.17 (m, 3H), 7.26–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.48 (m, 2H), 8.64–8.66 (m, 1H).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.88, 115.67 (d, 2C, J = 21.1 Hz), 128.03, 130.04, 130.53, 130.87, 131.05, 131.68 (d, 2C, J = 8.0 Hz), 132.18, 133.02, 135.91 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 143.93, 162.79 (d, J = 247.5 Hz), 166.57, 167.67.

(Z)-4-((4'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)methylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (**16c**)

Bright yellow crystalline solid, yield: 15% (83.3 mg) from **13c**. $R_f = 0.18$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 9:1).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.42 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 6.98 (AA'XX', 2H, J_{AX} = 8.7 Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'}$ = 2.5 Hz), 7.22–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.37–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.48 (m, 2H), 8.62–8.64 (m, 1H).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm):15.85, 55.50, 114.11 (2C), 127.48, 130.52, 130.83, 130.87, 131.01, 131.26 (2C), 132.13, 132.24, 132.64, 144.83, 159.62, 166.18, 167.81.

(Z)-4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-ylmethylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (17a)

Bright yellow crystalline solid, yield: 43% (530.0 mg) from 14a. $R_f = 0.15$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.42 (s, 3H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.39 (tt, 1H, J = 7.3, 1.5 Hz), 7.45–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.52 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.61–7.67 (m, 3H), 8.08–8.11 (m, 1H), 8.28 (t, 1H, J = 1.7 Hz).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.86, 127.33 (2C), 127.85, 129.04 (3C), 129.46, 130.03, 131.03, 131.48, 133.02, 133.77, 140.47, 142.05, 166.37, 167.95.

(Z)-4-((4'-Fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (**17b**)

White crystalline solid, yield: 45% (382.0 mg) from **14b**. $R_f = 0.11$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.42 (s, 3H), 7.16 (double AA'XX', 2H, ³J_{HF-o} = 9.8 Hz, J_{AX} = 8.7 Hz, J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6 Hz), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.51 (t, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.55–7.62 (m, 3H), 8.05–8.09 (m, 1H), 8.25 (t, 1H, J = 1.7 Hz).

¹³C-NMR (Acetone- d_6 , 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.67, 116.47, 116.69, 129.78 (d, 2C, J = 9.1 Hz), 130.13, 130.41 (d, 2C, J = 21.1 Hz), 131.45, 131.72, 134.37, 135.05, 137.48 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 141.39, 163.61 (d, J = 244.5 Hz), 168.02, 168.19.

(Z)-4-((4'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (17c)

After an initial purification, compound 17c was obtained as a mixture with starting material 14c, therefore this mixture dissolved in dry THF was stirred in the presence of polystyrene sulfonyl hydrazide (PS-TsNHNH₂, 3 eq relative to aldehyde, minimum capacity 2.2 mmol/g) until disappearance of the starting material. Then the reaction was filtered and washed with THF and the filtrate was evaporated and concentrated under vacuum, to afford a crude residue that was purified by column chromatography.

Bright yellow crystalline solid, yield: 17% (94.7 mg) from **14c**. $R_f = 0.21$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 9:1).

¹H-NMR (Acetone- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.42 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 7.06 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.8$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6$ Hz), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.55 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.66 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.8$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6$ Hz), 7.72 (dt, 1H, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz), 8.18–8.20 (m, 1H), 8.43 (t, 1H, J = 1.7 Hz).



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) appropriately substituted phenylboronic acid, Pd(OAc)₂, PPh₃, aq. 2 M Na₂CO₃, toluene, EtOH, 100 °C, 24 h and (b) *N*-acetylglycine, Ac₂O, CH₃COONa, reflux, 5 h.

Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaOH 1 N, 90 °C, then HCl 3 N, 0 °C.



¹³C-NMR (Acetone- d_6 , 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.65, 55.66, 115.27, 128.87 (3C), 129.78, 130.16, 130.82, 131.07 (2C), 133.34, 134.17, 134.93, 142.11, 160.69, 167.83, 168.24.

(Z)-4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylmethylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (18a)

Bright yellow crystalline solid, yield: 47% (336.0 mg) from 15a. $R_f = 0.13$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.43 (s, 3H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 7.37–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.63–7.65 (m, 2H), 7.68–7.70 (m, 2H), 8.15–8.17 (m, 2H).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.88, 127.30 (2C), 127.65 (2C), 128.26, 129.11 (2C), 131.21, 132.31, 132.61, 132.83 (2C), 140.17, 143.88, 166.17, 168.00.

(Z)-4-((4'-Fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)methylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (18b)

Bright yellow crystalline solid, yield: 22% (154.0 mg) from **15b**. $R_f = 0.18$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 9:1).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.43 (s, 3H), 7.14–7.19 (m, 3H), 7.60 (double AA'XX', 2H, ${}^{4}J_{HF-m} = 5.3$ Hz, $J_{AX} = 8.8$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.5$ Hz), 7.61–7.65 (m, 2H), 8.13–8.17 (m, 2H).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.86, 116.01 (d, 2C, J = 21.1 Hz), 127.46 (2C), 128.93 (d, 2C, J = 8.0 Hz), 130.98, 132.33, 132.72, 132.86 (2C), 136.30 (d, J = 4.0 Hz), 142.79, 163.08 (d, J = 247.5 Hz), 166.26, 167.92.

(Z)-4-((4'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)methylene)-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one (**18c**)

Bright yellow crystalline solid, yield: 23% (159.4 mg) from **15**c. $R_f = 0.14$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 9:1).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.42 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 7.00 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.8$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6$ Hz), 7.18 (s, 1H), 7.59 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.8$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6$ Hz), 7.64 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.5$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 1.8$ Hz), 8.11–8.15 (m, 2H).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 15.84, 55.53, 114.57 (2C), 127.04 (2C), 128.37 (2C), 131.33, 131.69, 132.28, 132.55, 132.86 (2C), 143.48, 160.00, 165.92, 168.04.

General procedure for the formation of biphenyl derivatives 13a-c, 14a-c and 15a-c

A solution of $Pd(OAc)_2$ (0.03 eq) and triphenylphosphine (0.15 eq) in ethanol (6 ml/2.7 mmol bromo-derivative) and toluene (6 ml/2.7 mmol bromo-derivative) was stirred at RT under

nitrogen for 10 min. After that period, commercially available bromo-substituted aldehydes **10–12** (1 eq), 2 M aqueous Na_2CO_3 (6 ml/2.7 mmol bromo-derivative) and the appropriate substituted phenylboronic acid (1.6 eq) were sequentially added. The resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C in a sealed vial under nitrogen for 24 h. After being cooled to RT, the mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phase were dried and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography using the indicated eluent and pure fractions containing the desired biphenyl compound were evaporated to dryness affording the desired product.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-carbaldehyde (13a)

Yellow oil, yield: 76% (490.3 mg) from aldehyde **10** and phenylboronic acid. $R_f = 0.16$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 98:2).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.38–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.53 (m, 5H), 7.65 (td, 1H, J=7.5, 1.5 Hz), 8.04 (ddd, 1H, J=7.8, 1.4, 0.4 Hz), 9.99 (s, 1H).

4'-Fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carbaldehyde (13b)

White solid, yield: 99% (619.3 mg) from aldehyde **10** and 4-fluorophenylboronic acid. $R_f = 0.20$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.17 (double AA'XX', 2H, ³J_{HF-o} = 9.5 Hz, J_{AX} = 8.6 Hz, J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.5 Hz), 7.36 (double AA'XX', 2H, ⁴J_{HF-m} = 5.3 Hz, J_{AX} = 8.6 Hz, J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.5 Hz), 7.42 (dd, 1H, J = 7.7, 0.7 Hz), 7.48–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.64 (td, 1H, J = 7.5, 1.4 Hz), 8.02 (dd, 1H, J = 7.8, 1.3 Hz), 9.97 (s, 1H).

4'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carbaldehyde (13c)

Light yellow oil, yield: 99% (667.7 mg) from aldehyde **10** and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid. $R_f = 0.14$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 3.88 (s, 3H), 7.01 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.8$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.5$ Hz), 7.31 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.7$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.5$ Hz), 7.42–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.62 (td, 1H, J = 7.5, 1.4 Hz), 8.00 (dd, 1H, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz), 10.00 (s, 1H).

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-carbaldehyde (14a)

Light yellow oil, yield: 88% (868.0 mg) from aldehyde **11** and phenylboronic acid. $R_f = 0.28$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.40 (tt, 1H, J = 7.3, 1.6 Hz), 7.46–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.65 (m, 3H), 7.85–7.89 (m, 2H), 8.11 (t, 1H, J = 1.5 Hz), 10.10 (s, 1H).

4'-Fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carbaldehyde (14b)

Colorless oil, yield: 99% (668.9 mg) from aldehyde 11 and 4-fluorophenylboronic acid. $R_f = 0.14$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.17 (double AA'XX', 2H, ³*J*_{*HF-o*} = 9.8 Hz, *J*_{*AX*} = 8.7 Hz, *J*_{*AA'/XX'*} = 2.6 Hz), 7.56–7.63 (m, 3H), 7.81 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 7.8, 1.9, 1.2 Hz), 7.86 (dt, 1H, *J* = 7.6, 1.4 Hz), 8.05 (t, 1H, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 10.09 (s, 1H).

4'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carbaldehyde (14c)

White crystalline solid, yield: 99% (791.0 mg) from aldehyde **11** and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid. $R_f = 0.12$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 3.87 (s, 3H), 7.01 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.9$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6$ Hz), 7.55–7.60 (m, 3H), 7.80–7.84 (m, 2H), 8.06 (t, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz), 10.08 (s, 1H).

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-carbaldehyde (15a)

Light yellow solid, yield: 92% (909.8 mg) from aldehyde **12** and phenylboronic acid. $R_f = 0.23$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.42 (td, 1H, J = 7.3, 1.7 Hz), 7.46–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.63–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.76 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.4$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.1$ Hz), 7.96 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.4$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 1.7$ Hz), 10.06 (s, 1H).

4'-Fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbaldehyde (15b)

White solid, yield: 98% (527.2 mg) from aldehyde **12** and 4-fluorophenylboronic acid. $R_f = 0.17$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.17 (double AA'XX', 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HF-o} = 9.7$ Hz, $J_{AX} = 8.7$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6$ Hz), 7.61 (double AA'XX', 2H, ${}^{4}J_{HF-m} = 5.3$ Hz, $J_{AX} = 8.9$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6$ Hz), 7.70 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.2$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 1.7$ Hz), 7.95 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.5$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 1.8$ Hz), 10.06 (s, 1H).

4'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbaldehyde (15c)

White solid, yield: 95% (542.0 mg) from aldehyde **12** and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid. $R_f = 0.18$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 3.87 (s, 3H), 7.01 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.9$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6$ Hz), 7.60 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.9$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 2.6$ Hz), 7.72 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.2$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 1.7$ Hz), 7.93 (AA'XX', 2H, $J_{AX} = 8.5$ Hz, $J_{AA'/XX'} = 1.8$ Hz), 10.04 (s, 1H).

Procedure for synthesis of compound (Z)-3-([1,1'-biphe-nyl]-4-yl)-2-acetamidoacrylic acid (19)

A suspension of azlactone **18a** (150 mg, 0.570 mmol) in 1.5 ml of 1 N sodium hydroxide solution was heated at 90 °C until homogeneous. The clear solution was cooled in an ice bath and then was acidified to pH 1–2 with a hydrochloric acid solution 3 N and a white precipitate was formed. The precipitate thus formed was isolated by filtration and washed with distilled water. The precipitate was then dissolved in acetone, dried, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain a white solid. The solid was further purified by crystallization in *n*-hexane/EtOAc to afford the pure desired compound **19** in 14% yield (21.8 mg) as white crystals.

¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.01 (s, 3H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.36–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.74 (m, 6H), 9.53 (bs, 1H), 12.66 (bs, 1H).

¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz) δ (ppm): 22.56, 126.61 (2C), 126.66 (2C), 127.36, 127.82, 129.01 (3C), 130.35, 130.50, 132.88, 139.26, 140.53, 166.37, 169.13.

Biological evaluation

MAGL inhibition assay

Human recombinant MAGL, and 4-nitrophenylacetate substrate (4-NPA) were from Cayman Chemical. The IC₅₀ values for compounds were generated in 96-well microtiter plates. The MAGL reaction was conducted at room temperature at a final volume of 200 µl in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.2, containing 1 mM EDTA. A total of 150 µl of 4-NPA 133.3 µM (final concentration = $100 \,\mu\text{M}$) was added to $10 \,\mu\text{l}$ of DMSO containing the appropriate amount of compound. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 40 µl of MAGL (11 ng/well) in such a way that the assay was linear over 30 min. The final concentration of the analyzed compounds ranged for CAY10499 and JZL-184 from 10 to $0.00001 \,\mu\text{M}$ and for the synthesized compounds from 200 to 0.0128 µM. After the reaction had proceeded for 30 min, absorbance values were then measured by using a VictorX3 PerkinElmer instrument at 405 nm. Two reactions were also run: one reaction containing no compounds and the second one containing neither inhibitor nor enzyme. IC50 values were derived from experimental data using the Sigmoidal dose-response fitting of GraphPad

142 C. Granchi et al.

Prism software. To remove possible false positive results, for each compound concentration a blank analysis was carried out, and the final absorbance results were obtained detracting the absorbance produced by the presence of all the components except MAGL in the same conditions.

DTT interference assay

The inhibition assay was the same described above, with the exception that prior to the addition of $40\,\mu$ l of MAGL (11 ng/well), the compound-substrate mixture was incubated 15 min in the presence of DTT at a 10 μ M concentration.

MAGL preincubation assay

The MAGL reaction was conducted at room temperature at a final volume of 200 μ l in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.2, containing 1 mM EDTA. A total of 150 μ l of MAGL (11 ng/well) was added to 10 μ l of DMSO containing the appropriate amount of compound. After 0, 30 and 60 min of incubation time the reaction was initiated by the addition of 40 μ l of 4-NPA 500 μ M. The enzyme activity was then measured according to the procedure described above.

MAGL dilution assay

The enzyme (880 ng in 75 μ l of Tris buffer, pH 7.2) was incubated during 60 min at room temperature with 5 μ l of compound **16b** (concentration of 40 μ M in the mixture) dissolved in DMSO. The MAGL-inhibitor mixture was then diluted 40-fold with the buffer. After 15 min of incubation, the reaction was initiated on a 160 μ l aliquot by the addition of 40 μ l of 4-NPA 500 μ M and the enzyme activity was measured according to the procedure described above.

FAAH inhibition assay

The IC₅₀ values for compounds were generated in 96-well microtiter plates. The FAAH reaction was conducted at room temperature at a final volume of 200 µl in 125 mM Tris buffer, pH 9.0, containing 1 mM EDTA. A total of 150 ul of AMC arachidonoylamide $13.3 \,\mu\text{M}$ (final concentration = $10 \,\mu\text{M}$) was added to 10 µl of DMSO containing the appropriate amount of compound. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 40 µl of FAAH (0.9 μ g/well) in such a way that the assay was linear over 30 min. The final concentration of the analyzed compounds ranged for CAY10499 from 10 to 0.00001 µM and for the other compounds from 200 to $0.0128\,\mu M.$ After the reaction had proceeded for 30 min, fluorescence values were then measured by using a VictorX3 PerkinElmer instrument at an excitation wavelength of 340 nm and an emission of 460 nm. Two reactions were also run: one reaction containing no compounds and the second one containing neither inhibitor nor enzyme. IC₅₀ values were derived from experimental data using the Sigmoidal dose-response fitting of GraphPad Prism software. To remove possible false positive results, for each compound concentration a blank analysis was carried out, and the final fluorescence results were obtained detracting the fluorescence produced by the presence of all the components except FAAH in the same conditions.

Cell viability assay

MDA-MB-231, MCF-7, COV318 and OVCAR-3 (from ATCC) were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ accordingly to the supplier. Normal (1.5×10^4) and tumor (5×10^2) cells were plated in 96-well culture plates. The day after seeding, vehicle or compounds were added at different

concentrations to the medium. Compounds were added to the cell culture at a concentration ranging from 200 to $0.02 \,\mu$ M. Cell viability was measured after 96 h according to the supplier (Promega, G7571) with a Tecan F200 instrument. IC₅₀ values were calculated from logistical dose response curves. Averages were obtained from three independent experiments, and error bars are standard deviations (*n* = 3).

Docking studies

The ligands were built by means of Maestro¹⁷ and were then minimized in a water environment (using the generalized Born/ surface area model) by means of Macromodel¹⁸. They were minimized using the conjugate gradient, the MMFFs force field, and a distance-dependent dielectric constant of 1.0 until they reached a convergence value of $0.05 \text{ kcal}\text{\AA}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. The ligands were docked using GOLD 5.1¹⁹ in the human MAGL (3JWE²⁰ PDB code) and the humanized-rat FAAH (3LJ7²¹ PDB code). The region of interest used by the docking software was defined in such a manner that it contained all the residues that stayed within 15 Å from the ligand in the X-ray structures; the possibility for the ligand to flip ring corners was activated, while the "allow early termination" command was deactivated. For all the other parameters, the GOLD default ones were used, and the ligands were submitted to 30 genetic algorithm runs. The ChemPLP fitness scoring function was used. For each ligand, the best scored pose was taken into consideration.

Results and discussion

Chemistry

The synthetic pathways for obtaining the target benzylideneoxazolone compounds 2, 3, 4, 16a-c, 17a-c, 18a-c are outlined in Schemes 1, 2 and 3. Benzylidene-oxazolones were obtained in one step by Erlenmeyer-Plöchl condensation^{22,23} of the appropriately substituted aromatic aldehydes with N-acetylglycine and sodium acetate in refluxing acetic anhydride. This reaction furnished only the thermodynamically stable (Z)-isomers in most cases, with the exception of heteroaromatic oxazolones 3 and 4, which were obtained with a minimal percentage of the corresponding (E)-isomer, as detected by ¹H-NMR spectra (9% and 7%) for 3 and 4, respectively)²⁴. Unlike commercially available benzaldehyde 5 (Scheme 1) and 2-furaldehyde 6 (Scheme 2), pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde 7 was subjected to the same condensation with N-acetylglycine but the desired oxazolone derivative 8 was not formed. In fact, under these conditions, only the heterocyclic nitrogen of 7 resulted as being acetylated, yielding compound 9. After isolation and identification of 9, it was again submitted to the same procedure to obtain the acetylpyrrol-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one 4 (Scheme 2). Attempts to obtain pyrrole derivative 8 from its acetylated analogue 4 were unsuccessful.

A series of phenyl-substituted analogues of compound **2** were prepared in order to investigate the effects caused by the variation of aromatic rings inserted in various positions on the phenyl of the promising compound **2** (see "Biological Evaluation" section), in the light of the consideration that many of the known MAGL inhibitors simply consist of a lipophilic scaffold to which a heterocyclic system is bound. We therefore evaluated a series of slightly different lipophilic backbones linked to the same 2-methyloxazol-5(4*H*)-one moiety. Commercially available *ortho-, meta-* and *para*-bromo-substituted benzaldehydes **10–12** were subjected to a Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction under classical Suzuki conditions (Scheme 3)²⁵. In particular, bromo-derivatives **10–12** were treated with the appropriate unsubstituted (R₁ = H; Scheme 3) or *para*-substituted (R₁=fluoro, methoxy; Scheme 3) phenylboronic acids under conventional heating at 100 °C, producing the desired arylsubstituted aldehydes **13a–c**, **14a–c** and **15a–c** in good yields (Scheme 3), which then followed the same condensation reaction seen before (Scheme 1) for the formation of the oxazolone cycle to obtain compounds **16a–c**, **17a–c** and **18a–c**.

Moreover, in order to further support the hypothesized action mechanism of these MAGL inhibitors, the oxazolone ring of the representative compound **18a** was opened to verify the key role played by the cyclic portion of these compounds in alkylating the enzyme's catalytic serine by opening the lactone ring. Therefore, compound **18a** was hydrolyzed under basic conditions, followed by acidification to obtain biphenylacetamidoacrylic acid derivative **19**²⁶, which was then submitted to biological assays as a valuable tool for establishing the pharmacophoric portion needed for MAGL inhibition (Scheme 4).

Biological evaluation

The inhibitory effects of the newly synthesized compounds on human isoforms of MAGL and FAAH (hMAGL and hFAAH) are reported in Table 1, together with those of two reference inhibitors (CAY10499 and JZL-184). Among the three smallest compounds 2-4, phenyl-substituted compound 2 displayed the most promising activity against MAGL (IC₅₀ = $24.1 \,\mu$ M) and an unexpectedly high selectivity against FAAH, showing no detectable activity on this enzyme. Differently, 3 and 4 were weak MAGL inhibitors and inactive on FAAH. Encouraged by these first results, the series of differently substituted (Z)-biphenyl derivatives 16a-c, 17a-c and **18a–c** were tested. All the reported compounds showed IC_{50} values in the range of 1.0-2.2 µM on MAGL and a FAAH inhibitory activity in the range of 33.9-111 µM. The position of the distal phenyl and the para-substituent present on this ring did not seem to significantly influence the activity of the resulting compounds. Very interestingly, even if these compounds are not very potent inhibitors, they showed a very high selectivity ratio for MAGL versus FAAH, comparable to that observed for JZL-184, one of the most active and selective MAGL inhibitors currently available (Table 1)¹⁰. In particular, among the *ortho*-biphenyl derivatives, the para-fluoro compound 16b was the most selective inhibitor (69-fold, IC_{50} MAGL = 1.6 μ M, IC_{50} FAAH = 111 μ M). In order to verify the importance of the 2-methyl-4-methyleneoxazol-5(4H)-one ring for the interaction of these compounds with enzyme, compound 19, the analogue of 18a with the oxazolone ring open, was tested under the same conditions. This acetamidoacetic acid derivative showed a substantial loss of MAGL

Table 1. Experimental inhibition activity (IC $_{50})$ on human MAGL and FAAH of the analyzed compounds.

#	MAGL IC ₅₀ (μM)	FAAH IC ₅₀ (μM)	Selectivity
2	24.1 ± 0.2	>200	>20
3	116 ± 7	>200	>2
4	>200	>200	_
16a	2.1 ± 0.3	94.0 ± 3.7	45
16b	1.6 ± 0.2	111 ± 7	69
16c	2.2 ± 0.2	33.9 ± 0.0	15
17a	1.2 ± 0.1	52.3 ± 3.6	44
17b	1.1 ± 0.1	69.1 ± 5.3	63
17c	1.0 ± 0.1	48.9 ± 0.8	49
18a	1.1 ± 0.1	45.4 ± 2.0	41
18b	1.7 ± 0.1	67.3 ± 2.8	40
18c	1.3 ± 0.1	37.3 ± 6.8	29
19	>200	>200	_
CAY10499	0.144 ± 0.003	0.014 ± 0.001	0.1
JZL-184	0.049 ± 0.004	3.3 ± 0.2	67

inhibitory activity, thus supporting the importance of the oxazolone ring for interaction with the enzyme.

Furthermore, in order to verify if the compounds could interact with cysteines of the MAGL enzyme, the activity of the most selective compound 16b was also tested in the presence of the thiol-containing agent 1,4-dithio-DL-threitol (DTT)²⁷. As shown in Figure 3(A), the hMAGL-IC₅₀ value of compound 16b was only very slightly influenced by the presence of DTT, shifting from $1.7 \,\mu\text{M}$ in the absence of DTT to $2.0 \,\mu\text{M}$ when assayed with 10 µM DTT, thus excluding the interaction of these compounds with the cysteine residues of the MAGL enzyme. In order to study the inhibition mechanism of the new reported compounds, the effects of dilution and preincubation on the inhibitory ability of compound 16b were evaluated. In the dilution experiments, if 16b is an irreversible inhibitor, then its inhibition potency should not drop upon dilution, whereas inhibition levels should be substantially reduced upon dilution in the presence of a reversible compound. As shown in Figure 3(B), 16b showed reversible inhibition, since the inhibition produced by $40\,\mu\text{M}$ of the compound was significantly higher compared with the inhibition observed with a $40 \times$ dilution, which appears similar to that produced by a 1 µM concentration of the compound. In order to further support these results, the activity of 16b was tested at different preincubation times of the inhibitor with the enzyme. In principle, an irreversible inhibitor will increase its capacity to block the enzyme with increasingly longer incubation times in the presence of the enzyme prior to addition of the substrate; a constant IC₅₀ value, conversely, supports a reversible mechanism²⁸. As expected, compound **16b** did not show any significant increase in its ability to block MAGL activity after 30 and 60 min (Figure 3C), suggesting that its binding to MAGL is reversible.

Compounds 16b, 17b and 18b were also selected for in vitro experiments to evaluate their antiproliferative potency on selected cancer cells. Four tumor cell lines were chosen: the human breast MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7, and the human ovarian cancer cells COV318 and OVCAR-3. These cell lines were selected because of the critical role of MAGL in the tumor progression of breast and ovarian cancers^{28,29}. All three compounds produced appreciable inhibition of cell viability, with IC₅₀ values ranging from 10.0 to 54.4 μ M (Table 2). When compared to the covalent reference inhibitors JZL-184 and CAY10499, compounds 16b-18b showed similar activity profiles, and compound 16b demonstrated an even better overall cytotoxicity on the ovarian cell lines, thus supporting the hypothesis that a reversible MAGL inhibition mechanism could be considered as an interesting approach for studying the MAGL inhibition effects on cancer cell proliferation.

Docking studies

The three most promising ligands (16b, 17b and 18b) and CAY10499 were docked into human MAGL and humanized-rat FAAH using GOLD 5.1¹⁹. This docking analysis can help to analyze the interaction of the compounds before the formation of the covalent bond with the catalytic serine, thus highlighting the most important interactions for ligand recognition. In human MAGL, the 2-methyloxazolone rings of all three new compounds were placed near the catalytic \$122, with the formation of one Hbond with the hydroxyl group of the serine and a second H-bond with the nitrogen backbone of A51 (Figure 4). For all three ligands, the benzylidene fragment acted as a linker, without showing important interactions, but allowing the interaction of the *p*-fluorophenyl ring into a lipophilic pocket mainly delimited by M88, L176, G177, P178, I179 and L205. The oxadiazolone ring of the reference compound CAY10499 showed a very similar disposition to that of the 2-methyloxazolone ring of 16b, 17b and



C. Granchi et al.

144



Table 2. Cell growth inhibitory activities (IC₅₀) of compounds.

#	IC ₅₀ (μM)			
	MCF-7	MB-231	COV318	OVCAR-3
16b 17b 18b JZL-184 CAY10499*	$18.1 \pm 2.8 \\ 15.8 \pm 2.3 \\ 23.7 \pm 1.8 \\ 26.0 \pm 5.1 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.1 \pm 4.2 \\ 38.1 \pm 4.2 \\ 54.4 \pm 4.8 \\ 37.8 \pm 5.0 \\ 46.0 \pm 6.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.1 \pm 5.1 \\ 50.5 \pm 6.1 \\ 50.2 \pm 5.1 \\ 56.6 \pm 3.2 \\ 106.7 \pm 21.5 \end{array}$	$10.0 \pm 1.9 \\ 28.8 \pm 3.5 \\ 18.0 \pm 3.2 \\ 52.9 \pm 5.7 \\ 79.8 \pm 11.7$

*Ref. 23.

18b, with the two H-bonds with the hydroxyl group of S122 and the nitrogen backbone of A51. Similarly to **16b**, **17b** and **18b**, the 3-methylphenyl central portion of the molecule did not show important interactions with the protein, but allowed the proper localization of the benzylcarbamate portion in a lipophilic pocket mainly delimited by L148, A151, L213, L214 and V217 (Figure 4D).

Figure 5(A) shows the docking of compound **CAY10499** into humanized-rat FAAH. Similarly to what was observed with the MAGL binding site and in considerable agreement with its good inhibitory activity on both enzymes, the oxadiazolone ring of this compound was placed near the catalytic S241 with the formation of one H-bond with the hydroxyl group of the serine and a second

H-bond with the nitrogen backbone of I238. The 3-methylphenyl central portion of the molecule showed lipophilic interactions with F192 and F244, whereas the benzylcarbamate fragment showed lipophilic interactions with Y194, L401 and L404. Conversely, the binding site shape of FAAH does not seem to allow the interaction of the 2-methyloxazolone ring of compounds 16b, 17b and 18b in proximity to the catalytic region of the enzyme (Figure 5), since all three compounds showed binding dispositions that are completely different from that observed in the MAGL binding site, and none of them allowed the interaction of the 2-methyloxazolone ring with the catalytic S241. The rigid geometry imposed by the (Z)-4-benzylidene-2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one portion, which could not fit into the catalytic region of the FAAH enzyme, is probably the cause of the low activity shown by these compounds for FAAH and, therefore, the great selectivity for MAGL.

Conclusions

Chanda and co-workers³⁰ reported that the genetic MAGL inactivation in mouse models determined a dramatic reduction of the 2-AG hydrolase activity with the consequent presence of elevated 2-AG levels in the nervous system. Furthermore, differently from FAAH, the chronic pharmacological blockade of MAGL determined receptor desensitization and pharmacological



Figure 4. Docking of 16b (A), 17b (B), 18b (C) and CAY10499 (D) into the human MAGL receptor.



Figure 5. Docking of CAY10499 (A), 16b (B), 17b (C) and 18b (D) into humanized-rat FAAH receptor.

tolerance with cross-tolerance to CB₁ cannabinoid receptor agonists, impaired endocannabinoid-dependent synaptic plasticity and CB_1 brain receptor desensitization³¹. Taken together, these results could discourage the development of new MAGL inhibitors, however the use of low-doses of JZL-184 induced anxiolytic-like effects that were also maintained after chronic treatment³². Chen et al.³³, by using an intermittent dosage regimen, obtained a significantly diminished amyloid neuropathology, reduced neuroinflammation and degeneration, and improved synaptic and cognitive function in animal model of Alzheimer's disease. These two latter studies support the hypothesis that irreversible inhibition of MAGL should be avoided. Beyond the possibility of using a low or intermittent dosage regimen, a third way which has not yet been explored is the application of selective reversible MAGL inhibitors. In the present work we report a new class of MAGL inhibitors characterized by high selectivity, reversible properties and good activity in antiproliferative assays. Molecular modeling and SAR studies support the hypothesis that the key fragment for selectivity is the 2-methyloxazol-5(4H)-one scaffold. Further computational and synthetic efforts will be carried out in order to improve the activity and selectivity of the herein reported chemical class of MAGL inhibitors, in order to candidate them as new leads for in vivo inhibition of the MAGL enzyme.

Declaration of interest

Financial support for this project was provided by the Italian Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR), under the National Interest Research Projects framework (PRIN_2010_5YY2HL). The authors report no declarations of interest

References

- 1. Pacher P, Batkai S, Kunos G. The endocannabinoid system as an emerging target of pharmacotherapy. Pharmacol Rev 2006;58: 389–462.
- 2. Di Marzo V. The endocannabinoid system: its general strategy of action, tools for its pharmacological manipulation and potential therapeutic exploitation. Pharmacol Res 2009;60:77–84.
- 3. Labar G, Wouters J, Lambert DM. A review on the monoacylglycerol lipase: at the interface between fat and endocannabinoid signalling. Curr Med Chem 2010;17:2588–607.
- Saario SM, Poso A, Juvonen RO, et al. Fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors from virtual screening of the endocannabinoid system. J Med Chem 2006;49:4650–6.
- Mulvihill MM, Nomura DK. Therapeutic potential of monoacylglycerol lipase inhibitors. Life Sci 2013;92:492–7.
- Nomura DK, Morrison BE, Blankman JL, et al. Endocannabinoid hydrolysis generates brain prostaglandins that promote neuroinflammation. Science 2011;334:809–13.
- Kinsey SG, O'Neal ST, Long JZ, et al. Inhibition of endocannabinoid catabolic enzymes elicits anxiolytic-like effects in the marble burying assay. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2011;98:21–7.
- Ramesh D, Ross GR, Schlosburg JE, et al. Blockade of endocannabinoid hydrolytic enzymes attenuates precipitated opioid withdrawal symptoms in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2011;339: 173–85.
- Kopp F, Komatsu T, Nomura DK, et al. The glycerophospho metabolome and its influence on amino acid homeostasis revealed by brain metabolomics of GDE1(-/-) mice. Chem Biol 2010;17: 831–40.

- 10. Long JZ, Li W, Booker L, et al. Selective blockade of 2-arachidonoylglycerol hydrolysis produces cannabinoid behavioral effects. Nat Chem Biol 2009;5:37–44.
- 11. Aaltonen N, Savinainen JR, Ribas CR, et al. Piperazine and piperidine triazole ureas as ultrapotent and highly selective inhibitors of monoacylglycerol lipase. Chem Biol 2013;20:379–90.
- Muccioli GG, Labar G, Lambert DM. CAY10499, a novel monoglyceride lipase inhibitor evidenced by an expeditious MGL assay. Chembiochem 2008;9:2704–10.
- Ben Ali Y, Chahinian H, Petry S, et al. Use of an inhibitor to identify members of the hormone-sensitive lipase family. Biochemistry 2006;45:14183–91.
- Minkkila A, Savinainen JR, Kasnanen H, et al. Screening of various hormone-sensitive lipase inhibitors as endocannabinoid-hydrolyzing enzyme inhibitors. ChemMedChem 2009;4:1253–9.
- Patel JZ, Parkkari T, Laitinen T, et al. Chiral 1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-ones as highly selective FAAH inhibitors. J Med Chem 2013;56:8484–96.
- Kasnanen H, Minkkila A, Taupila S, et al. 1,3,4-Oxadiazol-2-ones as fatty-acid amide hydrolase and monoacylglycerol lipase inhibitors: synthesis, in vitro evaluation and insight into potency and selectivity determinants by molecular modelling. Eur J Pharm Sci 2013;49: 423–33.
- 17. Maestro, version 9.0. Portland (OR): Schrödinger Inc; 2009.
- 18. Macromodel, version 9.7. Portland (OR): Schrödinger Inc; 2009.
- Verdonk ML, Cole JC, Hartshorn MJ, et al. Improved protein-ligand docking using GOLD. Proteins 2003;52:609–23.
- Bertrand T, Auge F, Houtmann J, et al. Structural basis for human monoglyceride lipase inhibition. J Mol Biol 2010;396:663–73.
- Mileni M, Kamtekar S, Wood DC, et al. Crystal structure of fatty acid amide hydrolase bound to the carbamate inhibitor URB597: discovery of a deacylating water molecule and insight into enzyme inactivation. J Mol Biol 2010;400:743–54.
- 22. Erlenmeyer E. Ueber die Condensation der Hippursäure mit Phtalsäureanhydrid und mit Benzaldehyd. Ann Chim Pharm 1893; 275:1–8.
- Plöchl J. Über einige Derivate der Benzoylimdozimtsäure. Ber 1884; 17:1616–24.
- Rao YS. Reactions in polyphosphoric acid. I. New stereospecific synthesis of the E isomers of 2-phenyl-4-arylmethylene-2-oxazolin-5-ones. J Org Chem 1976;41:722–5.
- Miyaura N, Suzuki A. Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of organoboron compounds. Chem Rev 1995;95:2457–83.
- 26. Weber V, Rubat C, Duroux E, et al. New 3- and 4-hydroxyfuranones as anti-oxidants and anti-inflammatory agents. Bioorg Med Chem 2005;13:4552–64.
- King AR, Lodola A, Carmi C, et al. A critical cysteine residue in monoacylglycerol lipase is targeted by a new class of isothiazolinone-based enzyme inhibitors. Br J Pharmacol 2009;157:974–83.
- Tuccinardi T, Granchi C, Rizzolio F, et al. Identification and characterization of a new reversible MAGL inhibitor. Bioorg Med Chem 2014;22:3285–91.
- Nomura DK, Long JZ, Niessen S, et al. Monoacylglycerol lipase regulates a fatty acid network that promotes cancer pathogenesis. Cell 2010;140:49–61.
- Chanda PK, Gao Y, Mark L, et al. Monoacylglycerol lipase activity is a critical modulator of the tone and integrity of the endocannabinoid system. Mol Pharmacol 2010;78:996–1003.
- Schlosburg JE, Blankman JL, Long JZ, et al. Chronic monoacylglycerol lipase blockade causes functional antagonism of the endocannabinoid system. Nat Neurosci 2010;13:1113–19.
- Busquets-Garcia A, Puighermanal E, Pastor A, et al. Differential role of anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol in memory and anxiety-like responses. Biol Psychiatry 2011;70:479–86.
- Chen R, Zhang J, Wu Y, et al. Monoacylglycerol lipase is a therapeutic target for Alzheimer's disease. Cell Rep 2012;2: 1329–39.