



Change in paternity and recurrence of hyperemesis gravidarum

Marlena S. Fejzo, ChunYu Ching, Frederic P. Schoenberg, Kimber Macgibbon, Roberto Romero, T. Murphy Goodwin & Patrick M. Mullin

To cite this article: Marlena S. Fejzo, ChunYu Ching, Frederic P. Schoenberg, Kimber Macgibbon, Roberto Romero, T. Murphy Goodwin & Patrick M. Mullin (2012) Change in paternity and recurrence of hyperemesis gravidarum, The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine, 25:8, 1241-1245, DOI: [10.3109/14767058.2011.632039](https://doi.org/10.3109/14767058.2011.632039)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.3109/14767058.2011.632039>



Published online: 24 Nov 2011.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 1296



View related articles [↗](#)



Citing articles: 1 View citing articles [↗](#)

Change in paternity and recurrence of hyperemesis gravidarum

Marlena S. Fejzo^{1,2}, ChunYu Ching³, Frederic P. Schoenberg³, Kimber Macgibbon⁴, Roberto Romero⁵, T. Murphy Goodwin¹ & Patrick M Mullin¹

¹Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Department of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA,

²Department of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ³Department of Statistics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ⁴Hyperemesis Education and Research Foundation, Leesburg, VA, USA, and ⁵Perinatology Research Branch, NICHD/NIH/DHHS, Bethesda, Maryland, and Detroit, Michigan, USA

Objective: To determine whether change in paternity changes recurrence risk of hyperemesis gravidarum (HG). **Study design:** Survey data on recurrence of HG was compared between cases who had a paternity change between pregnancies and cases who did not. **Results:** The percentage of HG pregnancies in women with the same partner for all pregnancies was not significantly different from the percentage of HG pregnancies in women who changed partners for at least one pregnancy (78% vs 71%, $p > 0.05$). Participants who did and did not change partners between their first and second pregnancies, were asked to rate their first and second pregnancy in regards to symptoms of HG. Neither the ratings nor the change in rating between pregnancies was significantly different between the two groups. **Conclusion:** Women reported HG in over 70% of their pregnancies regardless of a paternity change. Paternal genes expressed through the fetus do not have a significant effect on incidence or recurrence of HG. This study supports a strong maternal genetic factor involved in HG. However, because the recurrence risk is not 100%, other factors play a role. Identification of the predisposing gene(s) and other factors will determine the cause of this poorly understood complication of pregnancy.

Keywords: Genetic, nausea, paternal, pregnancy

Introduction

Hyperemesis gravidarum (HG) accounts for over 285,000 hospital discharges in the U.S. annually, with most authors reporting an incidence of 0.5–2% [1,2]. HG often results in dehydration, electrolyte disturbance and nutritional deficiency in many cases, mandating intravenous (IV) hydration and, in severe cases, the use of parenteral nutrition. If left untreated, HG can result in Wernicke's encephalopathy [3], central pontine myelinolysis [4], hepatic dysfunction [5] and renal failure [6]. The diagnosis of HG is also associated with low birth weight, intrauterine growth restriction, preterm delivery and fetal and neonatal death [7–9].

The most common treatment modalities include IV hydration and serotonin inhibitors [10]. However, treatment is not always effective, resulting in therapeutic termination in as many as 15.2% of cases [11] and extreme weight loss of more than 15% of pre-pregnancy weight in more than a quarter of cases [12]. Furthermore, prolonged hyperemesis, nausea and vomiting

lasting beyond 27 weeks' gestation is seen in as many as 22% of cases [12].

The cause of HG is unknown and it is becoming increasingly clear that there is a genetic basis to the disease [13,14]. Recently, a case-control study showed familial aggregation of HG [13]. A generational study and a change in partner study also suggested a stronger influence of the maternal genotype (and/or maternal environment) than the fetal genotype (and/or paternal environment) [14,15]. However, a role for paternal genes expressed through the fetus is controversial, as it is supported by a large population-based study that suggests a significant change in recurrence risk with change in paternity [16]. Therefore we sought to determine whether there is evidence for a paternal genetic component in our database of women with HG.

Materials and methods

Sample and settings

This study is part of a larger investigation evaluating the genetics and epidemiology of hyperemesis gravidarum. A total of 318 women with more than one pregnancy have been recruited. Eligible patients were primarily recruited through advertising on the Hyperemesis Education and Research Foundation website at www.HelpHer.org. Another method of recruitment of affected individuals was a recruitment video on YouTube at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=92NFOwvAXcI> which provided the rationale for starting this study, information about the study, and contact information. Some participants have also recruited their own affected acquaintances to participate and some participants heard about the study from articles, news stories and pregnancy or parenting websites.

The inclusion criteria were a diagnosis of HG and treatment with IV fluids and/or total parenteral nutrition (TPN)/nasogastric feeding tube. Minors (under 18 years) were not included in the study because few teens were expected to fit the study criteria for controls having had two pregnancies. Additionally, it would be difficult to justify the risks/benefits to normal control minors. Women over the age of 50 at the time of first contact were not included in a somewhat arbitrary attempt to limit the possibility of recall bias. Because multiple or chromosomally abnormal gestations may be associated with HG due to unique physiological pathways, women with these types of pregnancies were also

excluded. Biological relatives of participants in the study were not included in the study.

Because this was a study of recurrence and paternity change, only participants with more than one pregnancy were included in the study.

Study procedures

Participants were asked to submit their medical records and complete an online survey regarding information on a variety of demographic characteristics (e.g. partner, age, gender and ethnicity), pre-existing conditions, pregnancy symptoms and treatments and maternal and fetal outcomes. The survey instrument can be found at <http://www.helpher.org/HER-Research/2007-Genetics/2007rsch-start.php>. The majority of participants joined the study and began the survey during one of their pregnancies and were sent a reminder to complete the survey pertaining to pregnancy outcome following their due date. Participants were asked to rate each pregnancy from one to five with respect to nausea and vomiting using the following definitions:

- 1) **No nausea and vomiting:** never felt nauseous and never vomited in this pregnancy.
- 2) **Very little nausea and vomiting:** felt nauseous and/or vomited for a total of 1–7 days during this pregnancy.
- 3) **Typical nausea and vomiting:** may have nausea and/or vomiting in this pregnancy but (all of the following must be true) did not lose weight from nausea/vomiting and was able to sustain normal daily routine most days with little change in productivity due to nausea/vomiting most of the time, and no need to consult health professional for medical treatment due to nausea and vomiting.
- 4) **More severe morning sickness:** persistent nausea and vomiting that interfered with normal daily routine in this pregnancy but did NOT require IV hydration or TPN due to persistent nausea/vomiting. May have consulted a medical professional to treat nausea and vomiting. May have lost a few pounds or 1 kg.
- 5) **Hyperemesis Gravidarum:** persistent nausea and vomiting with weight loss that interfered significantly with daily routine, and led to need for: IV hydration or nutritional therapy (feeding by an iv (TPN) or tube (NG) through the nose), and/or prescription medications to prevent weight loss and/or nausea/vomiting.

Statistical analyses

Responses from participants without a paternity change in any pregnancy were compared to participants who reported at least one paternity change for a number of variables including health issues of participants and offspring using two-sided t-tests. Variables included in demography, treatment and method of delivery were also performed using the t-test. All data were analyzed using R.

This study has been approved by Institutional Review Boards, USC IRB # HS-06-00056 and UCLA IRB # 09-08-122-01A.

Results

Overall demographic characteristics

Three-hundred and eighteen women with HG with IV fluid treatment in at least one pregnancy participated in the study. Among these, there were 260 women with the same partner for each pregnancy, and 58 women who changed partners between pregnancies.

As shown in Table I, participants were well-matched and are primarily Caucasian, from the United States, currently in their

mid-30s, and an average height of 65 inches. Participants were also well-matched for percentage of pregnancy losses, vaginal vs cesarean delivery, number of living children and gender of the baby.

Participants who reported a change in paternity were more likely to weigh less, to have more pregnancies, to report a voluntary pregnancy termination, and to report their partner was not of the same race. Regardless of these differences, women with and without a paternity change between pregnancies reported a similar percentage of HG pregnancies. Both groups reported over 70% of their pregnancies were affected with HG.

Characteristics for first and second pregnancies

As shown in Table II, participants were primarily Caucasian and born in the mid-1970s. Participants were well-matched for total number of pregnancies, vaginal vs cesarean delivery, number of living children and sex of the baby in the first and second pregnancy. Participants who changed partners between their first and second pregnancy were more likely to be younger for their first pregnancy, but were well-matched for age at the time of their second pregnancy. They were more likely to be of a different race than their partner in the first pregnancy compared to the group with no partner change, but were equally likely to have a partner of the same race in their second pregnancy. Women

Table I. Demographic characteristics.

	No change Mean (260)	Partner-changed Mean (58)	p-Value	C.I
Year-born	1975	1974	0.6025	(−1.3869, 2.3750)
Number of pregnancies	2.87	3.38	0.0112	(−0.8940, 0.1185)
Race (Caucasian)	245 (94%)	50 (86%)	0.0986	(−0.0154, 0.1758)
Weight (pounds)	143.41	132.6607	0.0072	(2.9748, 18.5254)
Height (inches)	65.23	64.6909	0.2772	(−0.4447, 1.5298)
Living children	2 (1.98)	2 (1.8)	0.3920	(−0.1735, 0.4377)
Voluntary termination (No change: 171 Partner change: 54)	29 (12%)	32 (59%)	< 0.001	(−0.6139, −0.3316)
Pregnancy losses (No change: 244 Partner change: 55)	101 (41%)	22 (16%)	0.8507	(−0.1329, 0.1607)
Country (USA)	250 (96%)	54 (93%)	0.3947	(−0.0405, 0.1015)
Partner's race (Caucasian)	234 (90%)	40 (69%)	0.0016	(0.0825, 0.3382)
Race of mother and partner (Different)	45 (17%)	23 (39%)	0.003	(−0.3508, −0.0750)
Delivery method (Cesarean) (No change: 168, Partner change: 19)	25 (15%)	1 (5%)	0.0931	(−0.0175, 0.2151)
Baby's sex Male (No change: 167, Partner change: 19)	67(40%)	6(32%)	0.4691	(−0.1548, 0.3158)
Percentage of HG pregnancies = number of HG pregnancies/ Total number of pregnancies	78%	71%	0.0743	(−0.7206, 15.0832)

Table II. Comparison of first and second pregnancies.

1 & 2nd pregnancy	No change Average (255)	Partner- changed Average (40)	p-Value	C.I
Year born	1975	1975	0.9586	(-2.17, 2.2857)
Birth age at 1st pregnancy	27	21	<0.001	(4.8965, 7.6207)
Birth age at 2nd pregnancy	29	28	0.0850	(-0.2399, 3.5863)
Number of pregnancies	3	3	0.2811	(-0.6381, 0.1891)
Race (Caucasian)	240 (94%)	33 (83%)	0.0703	(-0.01, 0.2424)
1st partner's race (Caucasian)	230 (90%)	24 (60%)	<0.01	(0.1394, 0.4645)
Different races of women & 1st partner	28 (11%)	16 (40%)	<0.01	(-0.4532, -0.1272)
2nd partner's race (Caucasian)	228 (89%)	33 (83%)	0.2844	(-0.0593, 0.1975)
Different races of mother & 2nd partner	29 (11%)	11 (28%)	0.0351	(-0.3107, -0.0118)
Different races of 1st partner & 2nd partner	0	14 (35%)	<0.001	(-0.5045, -0.1955)
Delivery method (Cesarean)	13 (8%)	4 (13%)	0.3933	(-0.1919, 0.1333)
1st baby's sex (male)	78 (41%)	5 (36%)	0.7261	(-0.2442, 0.3425)
2nd baby's sex (male)	98 (49%)	13 (42%)	0.4398	(-0.1202, 0.2714)
Living children	2.02	1.7	0.0612	(-0.0156, 0.6548)
Voluntary termination	29 (11%)	24 (60%)	<0.01	(-0.6494, -0.3232)
HG in 2nd pregnancy	193 (74%)	39 (67%)	0.306	(-0.0651, 0.6724)

with a partner change between their first and second pregnancy were significantly more likely to report a voluntary termination. Regardless of these differences, both groups were equally likely to report being treated for HG in their second pregnancy.

HG treatments in the second pregnancy

At least 60% of participants regardless of partner change, required intravenous fluid treatment for HG in their second pregnancy (Table III). The most common treatments for HG in the second pregnancy were compared between cases who changed ($N=45$) and did not change ($N=273$) partners between the first and second pregnancy. None of the treatments were significantly different. Participants were equally likely to be treated with intravenous fluids, Phenergan, Reglan, Zofran and TPN, regardless of whether they had changed partners between the first and second pregnancy.

HG rating and paternity change

Participants were asked to rate their first and second pregnancies in regards to nausea and vomiting on a scale of 1–5 using the definitions provided in the *Study Procedures*. Nausea and vomiting ratings of the first pregnancy were not significantly different between the participants that did and did not change partners between the first and second pregnancy. Nausea and vomiting ratings of the second pregnancy were also not significantly different between the participants that did and did not change partners between the first and second pregnancy. Finally,

Table III. Treatment for HG in second pregnancy.

	Control group (number)	Partner- changed (number)	p-Value	C.I
Second pregnancy	273	45		
Intravenous fluids	154 (60%)	27 (68%)	0.3844	(-0.2336, 0.0915)
Phenergan	117 (46%)	18 (45%)	0.9183	(-0.1629, 0.1806)
Reglan	84 (33%)	18 (45%)	0.1619	(-0.2912, 0.05)
Total parenteral nutrition	36 (14%)	4 (10%)	0.4385	(-0.0645, 0.1469)
Zofran	146 (57%)	21 (53%)	0.5818	(-0.1246, 0.2197)

Table IV. Comparison of nausea and vomiting ratings.

	Control group (No change) Average	Partner- changed group Average	p-Value	C.I
1st pregnancy HG rate	4.1	3.8	0.095	(-0.0683, 0.8267)
2nd Pregnancy HG rate	4.4	4.5	0.392	(-0.5171, 0.2058)
Rate difference	0.9	1.3	0.1546	(-0.8047, 0.1312)

differences in nausea and vomiting ratings between the first and second pregnancy were not significantly different regardless of a paternity change (Table IV).

Comment

The etiology of HG is currently unknown. Finding the cause is an essential step towards developing more effective therapies or a cure. A biologic component to the condition has been suggested from animal studies. Anorexia of early pregnancy has been observed in various mammals including monkeys [17]. In dogs, anorexia can be accompanied by vomiting and can be severe enough to require pregnancy termination [18].

Several lines of evidence support a genetic predisposition to nausea and vomiting in pregnancy (NVP). First, in the only study of NVP in twins, concordance rates were more than twice as high for monozygotic compared to dizygotic twins [19]. Second, several investigators have noted that siblings and mothers of patients affected with NVP and HG are more likely to be affected than siblings and mothers of unaffected individuals [20,21]. Third, the higher frequency of severe NVP in patients with certain genetically determined conditions such as defects in taste sensation [22,23], glycoprotein hormone receptor defects [24–26], or latent disorders in fatty acid transport or mitochondrial oxidation [27,28], suggests that some portion of HG cases may be related to discrete, genetically transmitted disease states that are unmasked or exacerbated in pregnancy. Additionally, there is a high prevalence of severe nausea and vomiting of pregnancy/hyperemesis gravidarum among relatives of patients with hyperemesis gravidarum [29]. Familial aggregation of the disease and recurrence across generations all support a genetic component [13,14]. However, a maternal genetic component is not the only factor involved in HG, as HG recurs in less than 100% of gestations from the same mother, suggesting other factors play a role. An increased incidence of HG has been reported with multiple gestations, gestational trophoblastic disease, fetal chromosomal abnormalities and central nervous system malformations, and for mothers of female offspring [30,31]. Our study is consistent with a role for female fetal genes in hyperemesis

gravidarum as over 60% of babies born to women in this study were reportedly female.

Smoking during pregnancy was recently reported to decrease the risk of hyperemesis, but smoking by the partner was reported to increase the risk [30,32]. Other than second-hand smoke, to our knowledge, no environmental factors have been identified that increase risk. Non-genetic maternal factors such as advanced maternal age have been associated with decreased risk, and adolescent pregnancy with increased risk for HG [33,34].

Evidence for a paternal and fetal contribution has been controversial. While one study suggested that HG recurrence decreases with a change in paternity [16], suggesting paternal genes expressed in the fetus may play a role, this conclusion was recently refuted by a separate study [15]. Additionally, a consanguinity study also found no increased risk of HG, suggesting recessive fetal genes may not be involved in HG risk [5]. The study herein supports the finding that paternal genes expressed through the fetus are not a significant factor in recurrence risk of hyperemesis gravidarum.

A major strength of this study stems from the collaboration with the HER Foundation, which allowed collection of information on a large sample of women affected by HG. To date, most studies of hyperemesis gravidarum have been small case series or population studies relying on hospital databases with no information on paternity and recurrence.

Admittedly, this study has some methodological concerns. One potential limitation arises from the use of an internet-based survey. While internet-based research is quickly becoming scientifically recognized as a reliable recruiting tool, the study population consists only of cases with internet-access, and thus may represent women of higher education and income. We feel, however, that the generalizability of our study results should be reasonably good since we have no reason to suspect that education level and income would affect the likelihood of having a recurrence of HG with respect to paternity.

Another limitation is that data was based on self-reports, which can lead to misclassification of disease status. However, we believe it would be highly unlikely for women to misclassify disease status as they are given definitions to classify disease and are required to have been treated with intravenous therapy for severe nausea and vomiting in at least one pregnancy.

Finally, the change in paternity group and the no change in paternity group were not perfectly matched for several characteristics, such as total number of pregnancies, voluntary terminations, and race of partner. However, these characteristics have never been shown to be related to HG recurrence, and we have no reason to believe they have any effect on HG recurrence rates reported in this study. The fact that women with no partner change weighed more on average than those with a partner change should not have an effect on the results because we have found in this same cohort that women with more severe prolonged nausea and vomiting weigh significantly more [35]. Therefore, this result could only bias the results in favor of the change in partner group having a significantly lower recurrence risk, which we did not find in this study. On the contrary, we suggest that the recurrence risk associated with partner change in a past study [16] may be due to other factors found to be significant in women having a change in partner, such as pre-pregnancy weight, rather than paternal genes expressed in the fetus. But we can not rule out that there may be other factors associated with partner change that may confound the relationship with HG herein. We have tried to investigate many of them, but perhaps others remain.

On another note, the fact that changing to a partner of a different race had no significant effect on recurrence suggests paternal ethnic differences are not likely to play a role in risk of HG.

Currently the cause of nausea and vomiting in pregnancy is unknown although there is strong evidence linking human chorionic gonadotropin or estrogens as well as genetic susceptibility possibly mediated through the vestibuloocular reflex pathway [36,37]. While spontaneous abortion rates may not be significantly different in women with or without HG [38], it can lead to long-term effects on the patient including postpartum post-traumatic stress symptoms and difficulty producing breast milk [39], and recent research suggests it may be linked to permanent neurobehavioral abnormalities in the exposed fetus [40]. Herein we report that recurrence of HG is higher than 70% regardless of a change in paternity, and future work should focus on the identification of maternal genetic variants that may contribute to HG susceptibility. Identification of maternal genetic factors will elucidate the biology of nausea and vomiting in pregnancy and allow novel therapeutics to be developed to treat the cause of the disease rather than the symptoms.

Declaration of Interest: This research was supported (in part) by the Perinatology Research Branch, Division of Intramural Research, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH, DHHS.

References

1. Wier LM (Thomson Reuters), Levit K (Thomson Reuters), Stranges E (Thomson Reuters), Ryan K (Thomson Reuters), Pfuntner A (Thomson Reuters), Vandivort R (SAMHSA), Santora P (SAMHSA), Owens P (AHRQ), Stocks C (AHRQ), Elixhauser A (AHRQ). *HCUP Facts and Figures: Statistics on Hospital-based Care in the United States, 2008*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2010 (<http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports.jsp>).
2. Verberg MF, Gillott DJ, Al-Fardan N, Grudzinskas JG. Hyperemesis gravidarum, a literature review. *Hum Reprod Update* 2005;11:527–539.
3. Wood P, Murray A, Sinha B, Godley M, Goldsmith HJ. Wernicke's encephalopathy induced by hyperemesis gravidarum. Case reports. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1983;90:583–586.
4. Peeters A, Van de Wyngaert F, Van Lierde M, Sindic CJ, Laterre EC. Wernicke's encephalopathy and central pontine myelinolysis induced by hyperemesis gravidarum. *Acta Neurol Belg* 1993;93:276–282.
5. Adams RH, Gordon J, Combes B. Hyperemesis gravidarum. I. Evidence of hepatic dysfunction. *Obstet Gynecol* 1968;31:659–664.
6. Hill JB, Yost NP, Wendel GD Jr. Acute renal failure in association with severe hyperemesis gravidarum. *Obstet Gynecol* 2002;100:1119–1121.
7. Dodds L, Fell DB, Joseph KS, Allen VM, Butler B. Outcomes of pregnancies complicated by hyperemesis gravidarum. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;107:285–292.
8. Bailit JL. Hyperemesis gravidarum: Epidemiologic findings from a large cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193:811–814.
9. Källén B. Hyperemesis during pregnancy and delivery outcome: a registry study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1987;26:291–302.
10. Goodwin TM, Poursharif B, Korst LM, MacGibbon KW, Romero R, Fejzo MS. Secular trends in the treatment of hyperemesis gravidarum. *Am J Perinatol* 2008;25:141–147.
11. Poursharif B, Korst LM, MacGibbon KW, Fejzo MS, Romero R, Goodwin TM. Elective pregnancy termination in a large cohort of women with hyperemesis gravidarum. *Contraception* 2007;76:451–455.
12. Fejzo MS, Poursharif B, Korst LM, Munch S, MacGibbon KW, Romero R, Goodwin TM. Symptoms and pregnancy outcomes associated with extreme weight loss among women with hyperemesis gravidarum. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2009;18:1981–1987.
13. Zhang Y, Cantor RM, MacGibbon K, Romero R, Goodwin TM, Mullin PM, Fejzo MS. Familial aggregation of hyperemesis gravidarum. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2011;204:230.e1–230.e7.
14. Vikanes A, Skjaerven R, Grjibovski AM, Gunnes N, Vangen S, Magnus P. Recurrence of hyperemesis gravidarum across generations: population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2010;340:c2050.

15. Einarson TR, Navioz Y, Maltepe C, Einarson A, Koren G. Existence and severity of nausea and vomiting in pregnancy (NVP) with different partners. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2007;27:360–362.
16. Trogestad LI, Stoltenberg C, Magnus P, Skjaerven R, Irgens LM. Recurrence risk in hyperemesis gravidarum. *BJOG* 2005;112:1641–1645.
17. Czaja JA. Food rejection by female rhesus monkeys during the menstrual cycle and early pregnancy. *Physiol Behav* 1975;14:579–587.
18. Hoskins J. *How to manage the pregnant bitch*. DVM News. 2003. Retrieved from <http://www.dvmnewsmagazine.com/dvm/article/articleDetail.jsp?id=70328&pageID=2>. Accessed September 1, 2009.
19. Corey LA, Berg K, Solaas MH, Nance WE. The epidemiology of pregnancy complications and outcome in a Norwegian twin population. *Obstet Gynecol* 1992;80:989–994.
20. Gadsby R, Barnie-Adshead AM, Jagger C. Pregnancy nausea related to women's obstetric and personal histories. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 1997;43:108–111.
21. Vellacott ID, Cooke EJ, James CE. Nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1988;27:57–62.
22. Sipiora ML, Murtaugh MA, Gregoire MB, Duffy VB. Bitter taste perception and severe vomiting in pregnancy. *Physiol Behav* 2000;69:259–267.
23. Bartoshuk LM, Duffy VB, Reed D, Williams A. Supertasting, ear-aches and head injury: genetics and pathology alter our taste worlds. *Appetite* 2002;38:45–51.
24. Rodien P, Brémont C, Sanson ML, Parma J, Van Sande J, Costagliola S, Luton JP, et al. Familial gestational hyperthyroidism caused by a mutant thyrotropin receptor hypersensitive to human chorionic gonadotropin. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:1823–1826.
25. Akerman FM, Lei Z, Rao CV, Nakajima ST. A case of spontaneous ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome with a potential mutation in the hCG/LH receptor gene. *Fertil Steril* 2000;74:403–404.
26. Rodien P, Jordan N, Lefèvre A, Royer J, Vasseur C, Savagner F, Bourdelot A, Rohmer V. Abnormal stimulation of the thyrotrophin receptor during gestation. *Hum Reprod Update* 2004;10:95–105.
27. Innes AM, Seargeant LE, Balachandra K, Roe CR, Wanders RJ, Ruiter JP, Casiro O, et al. Hepatic carnitine palmitoyltransferase I deficiency presenting as maternal illness in pregnancy. *Pediatr Res* 2000;47:43–45.
28. Outlaw WM, Ibdah JA. Impaired fatty acid oxidation as a cause of liver disease associated with hyperemesis gravidarum. *Med Hypotheses* 2005;65:1150–1153.
29. Fejzo MS, Ingles SA, Wilson M, Wang W, MacGibbon K, Romero R, Goodwin TM. High prevalence of severe nausea and vomiting of pregnancy and hyperemesis gravidarum among relatives of affected individuals. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2008;141:13–17.
30. Fell DB, Dodds L, Joseph KS, Allen VM, Butler B. Risk factors for hyperemesis gravidarum requiring hospital admission during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;107:277–284.
31. Eliakim R, Abulafia O, Sherer DM. Hyperemesis gravidarum: a current review. *Am J Perinatol* 2000;17:207–218.
32. Zhang J, Cai WW. Severe vomiting during pregnancy: antenatal correlates and fetal outcomes. *Epidemiology* 1991;2:454–457.
33. Klebanoff MA, Koslowe PA, Kaslow R, Rhoads GG. Epidemiology of vomiting in early pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 1985;66:612–616.
34. Depue RH, Bernstein L, Ross RK, Judd HL, Henderson BE. Hyperemesis gravidarum in relation to estradiol levels, pregnancy outcome, and other maternal factors: a seroepidemiologic study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1987;156:1137–1141.
35. Mullin PM, Ching C, Schoenberg F, Macgibbon K, Romero R, Goodwin TM, Fejzo MS. Risk factors, treatments, and outcomes associated with prolonged hyperemesis gravidarum. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2011.
36. Goodwin TM. Nausea and vomiting of pregnancy: an obstetric syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002;186:S184–S189.
37. Goodwin TM, Nwankwo OA, O'Leary LD, O'Leary D, Romero R, Korst LM. The first demonstration that a subset of women with hyperemesis gravidarum has abnormalities in the vestibuloocular reflex pathway. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2008;199:417.e1–417.e9.
38. Ustün Y, Engin-Ustün Y, Dökmeci F, Söylemez F. Serum concentrations of lipids and apolipoproteins in normal and hyperemetic pregnancies. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2004;15:287–290.
39. Christodoulou-Smith J, Gold JJ, Romero R, Goodwin TM, Macgibbon KW, Mullin PM, Fejzo MS. Posttraumatic stress symptoms following pregnancy complicated by hyperemesis gravidarum. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2011;24:1307–1311.
40. Mullin PM, Bray A, Schoenberg FP, MacGibbon KW, Romero R, Goodwin TM, Fejzo MS. Prenatal exposure to hyperemesis gravidarum linked to increased risk of psychological and behavioral disorders in adulthood. *J DOHaD* 2011;2:200–204.