



Female patients with low systemic BMD

WS Watson, K Periasamy, RMD Meek, Jessica Alm & Hannu T Aro

To cite this article: WS Watson, K Periasamy, RMD Meek, Jessica Alm & Hannu T Aro (2010) Female patients with low systemic BMD, Acta Orthopaedica, 81:6, 768-769, DOI: [10.3109/17453674.2010.537811](https://doi.org/10.3109/17453674.2010.537811)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.3109/17453674.2010.537811>



Copyright: © Nordic Orthopaedic Federation



Published online: 26 Nov 2010.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 491



View related articles [↗](#)

Correspondence

Female patients with low systemic BMD

Sir—We read with interest the paper of Alm et al. (2009). The authors looked at post- total hip arthroplasty periprosthetic (THA) bone changes in 39 subjects using DXA to analyse bone mineral density (BMD) in the Gruen zones surrounding the femoral prosthesis component. The purpose of the study was to look for factors such as bone mineral status that might influence femoral periprosthetic bone loss.

In Figure 4 of their paper, the authors graphed the change in BMD in Gruen zone 7 at 2 years post-THA expressed as a percentage of baseline BMD at that site (y-axis) against the lowest preoperative T score from lumbar spine, proximal femur or non-dominant distal forearm (x-axis). From the significant positive correlation they obtained between these graphed variables, they concluded that “low preoperative systemic BMD was associated with higher bone loss in Gruen zone 7”.

We would like to introduce a note of caution here because we will show that it is possible to demonstrate a significant correlation between the variables as defined by Alm et al when there is absolutely no influence of systemic BMD on postoperative BMD change at Gruen zone 7.

In order to do this we need to mimic their experimental data with “mock data” for both x and y variables as per Alm’s Figure 4. From inspection of that figure, the x-axis T-scores appear to have a mean of around -1.5 and a standard deviation (SD) of 1. From this information, it is possible to produce a set of 39 random numbers normally distributed with this mean and SD using, for example, the “Data Analysis” toolkit in Microsoft Excel. This gives us our x variable. The y variable is comprised of a numerator showing the BMD difference between Gruen zone 7 BMD at 2 years and that at a preoperative baseline. From Alm et al’s data in Table 2, there is an average Gruen zone 7 BMD loss of -0.25 g/cm² over 2 years. We make the assumption that this is fixed at that value for all patients, i.e. no possibility of variation with systemic bone mineral status. The denominator of the y variable is the baseline Gruen zone 7 BMD expressed in g/cm². From our own data on 26 adult females scheduled for THA, we obtain a regression equation for the excellent positive correlation of baseline Gruen zone 7 BMD against baseline Total Hip T-score as expressed below:

$$\text{Baseline Gruen zone 7 BMD} = 1.51 + (0.1728 \times \text{Baseline Total Hip T-score}) \quad (n = 26, r^2 = 0.735, p < 0.0001)$$

It is reasonable to accept this equation to an estimator for baseline Gruen zone 7 BMD given that Total Hip T-score is accepted as the most relevant indicator of systemic bone loss.

Therefore, we have constructed mock data for both the numerator and denominator of the y variable and can therefore combine these to provide “mock data” for the y variable. We now have a y variable incorporating a constant BMD change in Gruen zone 7. If we proceed to look for a “relation” between these “mock” x and y variables, we find that there is a strong positive correlation with the following regression equation:

$$\text{Change in BMD (\%)} \text{ at Gruen zone 7} = -14 + (3.95 \times \text{Total Hip T-score}) \quad (n = 39, r^2 = 0.990, p < 0.0001)$$

From inspection of Alm et al’s Figure 4, the y-intercept is approximately -14 and the gradient is approximately 5.4. Therefore, our mock data gives similar results to the experimental data of Alm et al. This occurs despite our choosing a constant BMD change in Gruen zone 7 at 2 years postoperatively, i.e. a change that was independent of systemic bone mineral status. The reason we get a “false” positive correlation with our data is that the y variable denominator and the x variable, both of which are preoperative assessments, are highly correlated, i.e. a low Total Hip T-score corresponds to a low Gruen Zone 7 baseline BMD and because this appears on the denominator of the y variable, it increases the apparent % bone loss represented by that variable.

This analysis suggests that the conclusions made by Alm et al on the basis of their Figure 4 must be viewed with some caution. However, perhaps the most clinically important message from their study is that if you start with poor quality bone at the femoral prosthesis, any further postoperative loss whether related to systemic bone mineral status or not will not improve the situation.

W S Watson, K Periasamy and R M D Meek

*Nuclear medicine department,
Dept of Orthopaedics & Trauma,
Southern General Hospital, Glasgow, U.K.*

Sir—We appreciate the concern posted by Drs. Watson, Periasamy and Meek considering the regression curve presented in our paper (Alm et al. 2009). We agree that it is important to be cautious when making conclusions from regression analyses because of the risk of false positive results. Here we present some points that verify our results and conclusions.

The main result and also the clinical message from our study was that systemic BMD predicts periprosthetic bone loss in Gruen zone 7, while other parameters (such as the local BMD of the hip) do not. This conclusion was made from the results presented in the line graph of Figure 3, where the patients were divided into three groups based on the systemic BMD (not the local BMD). We concluded from the results of this figure that “low preoperative systemic BMD was associated with higher bone loss in Gruen zone 7” since patients with systemic osteopenia or osteoporosis showed greater bone loss in this zone compared to patients with normal systemic BMD. We did not draw the conclusion based on the regression analysis presented in Figure 4. In fact, this figure was added as a request of a reviewer during the review process of the journal.

As posted by Watson and coauthors, it is possible to get a false positive correlation if the y variable denominator and the x variable are correlated. We would like to emphasize that the x variable used in Figure 4 was not the preoperative total hip T-score, but the lowest T-score recorded from all 10 areas measured for each patient, and in none of the 39 patients the lowest T-score was from the OA affected hip. Therefore, we did not have the problem caused by an expected high correlation between the total hip T-score and denominator of the y variable, i.e., the baseline BMD of Gruen zone 7.

What makes our results even more interesting is that when looking at the local BMD, i.e., the total hip T-scores, the values were high; only 6 of the osteopenic patients and one of the osteoporotic patients had osteopenic T-scores (between -1 and -2.5) at their affected hip. All other 32 patients had

normal total hip T-scores. Still we found a significant association between the percentage change in BMD of Gruen zone 7 and the lowest systemic (not total hip) T-score. We can also add that the total hip T-scores did not correlate with the BMD-changes in Gruen zone 7 at 24 months.

Based on these results and those presented in our previous paper (Mäkinen et al. 2007), we disagree with Watson and Periasamy regarding local total hip T-score as the most representative for systemic bone loss. On the contrary, one of our main observations was that DXA measurement of the local BMD of the affected hip is not representative for systemic bone loss or a predictor for periprosthetic bone loss. Osteoarthritis of the hip joint seems to increase “artificially” the local BMD. A recent study (Lingard et al. 2010) also confirmed that the diagnosis of osteoporosis may be missed in patients awaiting total joint replacement unless BMD measurements are performed at sites distant from the joint affected by OA (such as non-dominant forearm).

Jessica Alm and Hannu T Aro

Orthopaedic Research Unit, University of Turku,

Turku, Finland,

E-mail: hannu.aro@utu.fi

Alm J J, Mäkinen T J, Lankinen P, Moritz N, Vahlberg T, Aro H T. Female patients with low systemic BMD are prone to bone loss in Gruen zone 7 after cementless total hip arthroplasty. *Acta Orthop* 2009; 80 (5): 531-7.

Lingard E A, Mitchell S Y, Francis R M, Rawlings D, Peaston R, Birrell F N, McCaskie A W. The prevalence of osteoporosis in patients with severe hip and knee osteoarthritis awaiting joint arthroplasty. *Age Ageing* 2010; 39 (2): 234-9.

Mäkinen T J, Alm J J, Laine H, Svedström E, Aro H T. The incidence of osteopenia and osteoporosis in women with hip osteoarthritis scheduled for cementless total joint replacement. *Bone* 2007; 40 (4): 1041-7.