



Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology

An International Journal

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: informahealthcare.com/journals/ianb20

Pre-exposure prophylaxis of HIV: A right way to go or a long way to go?

Wenya Yu, Lu Wang, Na Han, Xiayan Zhang, Tanmay Mahapatra, Sanchita Mahapatra, Giridhar R. Babu, Weiming Tang, Roger Detels & Jinkou Zhao

To cite this article: Wenya Yu, Lu Wang, Na Han, Xiayan Zhang, Tanmay Mahapatra, Sanchita Mahapatra, Giridhar R. Babu, Weiming Tang, Roger Detels & Jinkou Zhao (2016) Pre-exposure prophylaxis of HIV: A right way to go or a long way to go?, *Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology*, 44:1, 201-208, DOI: [10.3109/21691401.2014.934458](https://doi.org/10.3109/21691401.2014.934458)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.3109/21691401.2014.934458>



Published online: 31 Jul 2014.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 1038



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

Pre-exposure prophylaxis of HIV: A right way to go or a long way to go?

Wenya Yu¹, Lu Wang², Na Han³, Xiayan Zhang², Tanmay Mahapatra⁴, Sanchita Mahapatra⁴, Giridhar R. Babu⁵, Weiming Tang⁶, Roger Detels⁷ & Jinkou Zhao⁸

¹Shijiazhuang Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shijia Zhuang, China, ²National Center for AIDS/STD Control and Prevention, Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China, ³Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing China, ⁴Mission Arogya Health and Information Technology Research Foundation, Kolkata, India, ⁵Public Health Foundation of India, Indian Institutes of Public Health, Hyderabad, Bengaluru Campus, Bengaluru, India, ⁶University of North Carolina, Project-China, Guangzhou, China, ⁷Department of Epidemiology, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ⁸Impact Results and Evaluation Department, The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, Geneva, Switzerland

Abstract

Antiretroviral drugs are being tried as candidates for the pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) against HIV for a considerable period, due to their potential for immediate inhibition of viral replication. Discrepancies in the findings called for a critical review of the relevant efforts and their outcomes. A systematic literature search identified 143 eligible articles of which only 5 reported complete findings while another 11 were still ongoing. Observed moderate efficacy and good safety profile seemed to identify PrEP as a promising step for minimizing the spread of HIV to relatively unaffected population and controlling the epidemic among high risk population groups. But the duration of this efficacy was found to depend heavily on the availability, adherence and other related issues like cost, political commitment, ethical consideration etc. To prevent potential cultural and behavioral modifications, proper pre-administration counseling also seemed critical for the success of PrEP as a cost-effective intervention with adequate coverage.

Keywords: antiretroviral therapy, controlling the HIV epidemic, HIV prevention, pre-exposure prophylaxis, prevention of HIV spread

Introduction

Despite promising global efforts to address HIV epidemic like condom promotion (Pinkerton and Abramson 1997), circumcision (Gray et al. 2007, Templeton et al. 2010), voluntary counseling and testing (VCT) (Fonner et al. 2012), prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission (PMTCT) (Azcoaga-Lorenzo et al. 2011), increased access to Anti-Retroviral Therapy (ART) (Hammond et al. 2005, Wu et al. 2006) and harm reduction strategies [needle-exchange

(Na and Detels 2005) and opiate-substitution for injecting drug users (Mattick and Hall 1997)], HIV is still a catastrophe worldwide.

During past two decades, efforts to develop a vaccine have faced difficulties due to enormous genetic diversity of HIV (Baden and Dolin 2012). Only three candidate HIV vaccines (VAX 003/VAX004, STEP, RV144) have completed clinical efficacy trials so far. The failure of STEP trial in North America added further uncertainty to this comprehensive strategy to curb HIV epidemic (Pitisuttithum et al. 2006, Flynn et al. 2005). Discovery of microbicides against HIV seemed promising for controlling the epidemic. However, further research is warranted to resolve issues of discrepancies in the protective efficacy of these microbicides (Karim et al. 2010, Ramjee et al. 2007, Trial and failure 2007). Following the failure of preventive behavioral approaches for controlling HIV, the concept of HIV prevention using ART regimens, pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) gained popularity for being cost-effective, simple, safe and having biological plausibility for its use in HIV prevention (Campbell et al. 2013).

PrEP and its development

Advent of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in 1996 is a landmark in the battle against HIV (Montaner et al. 2010). Prior studies have shown that ART can inhibit replication of HIV-1 (Ray et al. 2010), increase the CD4:CD8 ratio (Lafeuillade et al. 1997) and thus can prolong survival of HIV-infected persons (Michaels et al. 1998). Montaner reported a population-level association of better HAART coverage with lower viral load and reduced incidence of HIV (Montaner et al. 2010). Timely initiation of HAART was

Correspondence: Dr Weiming Tang, University of North Carolina, Project-China, Guangzhou, China. E-mail: 2985786008@qq.com; Dr Tanmay Mahapatra, 8 Dr. Ashutosh Sastri Road, Flat No. 3-G, Ganguly Apartments, Kolkata - 700010, West Bengal, India. Tel: +91-8017206285. Email: drtanmaymahapatra@yahoo.com

(Received 22 May 2014; accepted 10 June 2014)

also found to reduce the amount of HIV-1 shedding in genital secretions (Graham et al. 2007) leading to lower sexual transmission (Attia et al. 2009). Mother to child HIV transmission during pregnancy, delivery, or breastfeeding was intervened with HAART and till date PMTCT remained one of the most successful HIV preventive strategies globally (Rutenberg et al. 2003, Horvath et al. 2009, Doherty et al. 2003). The success of ART inspired the idea that PrEP with HAART could be effective for HIV prevention (Baird et al. 2003). Success of trials dealing with post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) (van der Straten et al. 2000, Cardo et al. 1997, Smith et al. 2005) helped in further evolution of the concept of PrEP (Hendrix et al. 2013, Smith 2004, Youle and Wainberg 2003, Grant et al. 2005). The underlying hypothesis for PrEP was that prophylactic use of anti-retroviral drug(s) (Doherty et al. 2003) were considered to be able to inhibit viral replication right from the entry of HIV in human body (Kim et al. 2010).

Several animal trials established the efficacy and safety of PrEP. Denton demonstrated reduced intra-vaginal transmission of HIV in humanized BLT mice after pre-treatment with a combination (TDF-FTC) of tenofovir disoproxil-fumarate (TDF) and emtricitabine (FTC) (Denton et al. 2008, Garcia-Lerma et al. 2008). In some other nonhuman primate studies, PrEP with oral and topical tenofovir-based gel, prior to systemic or mucosal simian human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV) challenge, could provide substantial protection (70–100%) (Garcia-Lerma et al. 2008, Tsai et al. 2000, Garcia-Lerma et al. 2010, Parikh et al. 2009, Subbarao et al. 2006).

Evaluations of the results from studies involving human subjects thus seem to be the need of the hour to infer about the implementation of PrEP. In this systematic review of literature, we explored the concept of PrEP, its development and its protective role in HIV acquisition, summarized the results of the completed trials on PrEP and discussed socio-cultural and ethical aspects, cost-effectiveness and public health implications of PrEP.

Methods

A systematic literature search was conducted in PubMed and EMBASE databases for accessing articles relevant to PrEP. Articles published in English between January 2003 and September 2013, were included using the following key-terms in various combinations: 'Pre-exposure Prophylaxis' or 'PrEP', 'HIV', and 'trial'. Reference list

of each selected article was used to identify additional literatures. After reviewing 143 eligible articles, it was revealed that globally, among several PrEP trials, complete results from only 5 were published, while another 11 were yet to be completed. Most of them assigned Truvada [a combination of TDF and FTC, licensed by U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2004] to treatment group.

Results

Concluded trials on PrEP included: iPrEX (Grant et al. 2010), conducted among men or transgender who had sex with men and were assigned either TDF-FTC or placebo; FEM-PrEP (Van Damme et al. 2012), conducted among women using either oral TDF-FTC or placebo; PIP (Baeten et al. 2012), which involved HIV-1 serodiscordant heterosexual couples assigned to take oral TDF-FTC daily; TDF2 (Thigpen et al. 2012, Underhill et al. 2010), conducted among heterosexual men and women assigned either to TDF2 or placebo and BTS (Choopanya et al. 2013), conducted among injecting drug users taking TDF or placebo daily (Table I). We also summarized some undergoing/not released studies in Table II.

Efficacy

In the iPrEX trial, 100 out of 2441 participants became HIV-infected during follow-up (36 among TDF-FTC and 64 among placebo group, a relative reduction of 44% in HIV incidence, $p = 0.005$). In the FEM-PrEP trial, 68 participants had sero-conversion (33 in TDF-FTC, 35 in placebo group, estimated hazard ratio in the TDF-FTC group was 0.94, $p = 0.81$). In PIP study, HIV occurred among 82 participants (17 in TDF, 13 in TDF-FTC and 52 in placebo group, 67% relative reductions in HIV-1 acquisition among TDF group, $p < 0.001$, and 75% among TDF-FTC group, $p < 0.001$). In TDF2 trial, 33 were HIV-infected during the study (9 in TDF-FTC, 24 in placebo group, relative reduction of 61.7%, $p = 0.03$). In the BTS, 50 participants became infected during follow-up (17 in the TDF group and 33 in the placebo group, indicating a 48.9% reduction in HIV incidence). Except FEM-PrEP, all other trials reported some reductions in HIV-risk among treatment groups.

Safety

Overall, occurrence of adverse events were found to be highest in TDF2 trial (91.2% among treated vs. 88.2% among

Table I. Salient features of prior studies on pre-exposure prophylaxis of HIV.

Trial name	Study site	Intervention	Study population	Protection
iPrEX	North and South America, Thailand, South Africa	Daily oral TDF-FTC ^a	2499 HIV sero-negative men or transgender women who have sex with men	44% (15–63%)
FEM-PrEP	Kenya, South Africa, Tanzania	Daily oral TDF-FTC	2120 HIV negative women	Halted early for lack of efficacy
PIP	Kenya and Uganda	Daily oral TDF-FTC or TDF ^b	4747 HIV-1 serodiscordant heterosexual couples	67% (44–81%) TDF 75% (55–87%) TDF-FTC
TDF2	Botswana	Daily oral TDF-FTC	1219 HIV sero-negative men and women	62.2% (21.5–83.4%)

^aTDF-FTC: Tenofovir and Emtricitabine combination.

^bTDF: Tenofovir disoproxilfumarate.

Table II. Salient features of ongoing PrEP trials (from <http://data.avac.org/OngoingPrEP Trials.aspx>).

Trial name	Phase	Start date	Locations	Sponsor/funder	Population	Intervention arms	Results expected
iPrEX 01E	Open Label	June 2012	Brazil, Ecuador, Peru, South Africa, Thailand, United States	NIAID	1500 men	Daily oral TDF-FTC	November 2013
CDC 494 (TDF2 Follow-Up Open Label Extension)	Open Label	November 2012	Botswana	Botswana Ministry of Health, CDC, Gilead	1200 women, men	Daily oral TDF-FTC	November 2013
CDC 4370 (Bangkok Tenofvir Study)	III, II	June 2005	Thailand	CDC, Bangkok Metropolitan Administration (BMA)	2400 injecting drug users	Daily oral TDF-FTC	December 2012
Partners PrEP	III	July 2008	Kenya, Uganda	BMGF	4700 women, men, heterosexual, serodiscordant	Daily oral TDF-FTC, oral TDF	January 2013
ANRS IPERGAY	III	January 2012	Canada, France	ANRS	1900 MSM	Intermittent oral TDF-FTC	December 2016
HPTN 069/ACTG 5305 (NEXT-PrEP)	II	February 2012	United States	ACTG, HPTN, NIAID	400 gay men and other men who have sex with men, men	MVC 300 mg, MVC 300 mg + TDF 300 mg, MVC 300 mg + FTC 200 mg, TDF 300 mg + FTC 200 mg	January 2014
HPTN 067 (ADAPT)	II	January 2011	South Africa, Thailand	DIADS, Gilead, HPTN, NIMH	360 heterosexual women, MSM	Intermittent oral TDF-FTC	September 2012
HPTN 066	I	January 2011		HPTN	32 women, men	Different dosing schedules of TDF-FTC	October 2011
SSAT 040 (TMC278 LA)	I	January 2011	United Kingdom	St Stephen's AIDS Trust	66 men, women	TMC278 LA	February 2012
MTN 003B	Other	November 2009	Uganda, Zimbabwe	Gilead, MTN, NIAID	518 women	Daily oral TDF-FTC, oral TDF	January 2013

placebo group, and the difference was significant) and BTS (91% in TDF group vs. 90% in placebo group and difference was not statistically significant) followed by FEM-PrEP trial (74.1 in treated vs. 72.3% in placebo group and difference was not statistically significant), iPrEX trial (both groups reported 8%) and lowest in TDF2 trial (6.3% in both).

In iPrEX trial, serum creatinine were elevated among TDF-FTC compared to placebo group (2% vs. 1%, $p = 0.08$). Self-reported nausea and unintentional weight loss were more in the TDF-FTC group. FEM-PrEP trial showed similar results. Gastrointestinal adverse effects were observed more among treated groups in PIP, BTS, and TDF2 trials.

Adherence

Adherence was measured by pill-counts, bottles returned, and self-reported pill use. However, due to social desirability bias, these measurements might not reflect the true adherence as patients might over-report their adherence (Wagner and Miller 2004). On estimating the concentration of assigned drugs in blood, we observed lower adherence compared to adherence measured by pill-counts (in iPrEX 51%, in FEM-PrEP < 40%, in PIP 82%, and in TDF2 80%) (Anderson et al. 2012). It is worth mentioning that in BTS adherence was assessed daily at daily directly observed therapy (DOT) and monthly at non-DOT visits using a study drug diary. According to the diaries, participants took the study drug an average of 83.8% days and two groups showed no significant statistical difference.

Behavioral disinhibition

Behavioral disinhibition, also known as risk compensation, addresses the possibility that certain HIV-risk reduction measures may increase risky behaviors due to decreased self-perceived risk (Cassell et al. 2006, Wilde 1994). Thus, while applying the innovative "risk-homeostasis model" to HIV prevention, the main concern is that assuming protective benefits of promising interventions may be offset by increased risky behaviors. Risk compensation has been observed in several interventions including HIV vaccines (Crosby and Holtgrave 2006, Chesney et al. 1997), anti-retroviral drugs (Hart and Williamson 2005, Kalichman 1998, Stolte et al. 2004, Dukers et al. 2001, Ostrow et al. 2002, Martin et al. 2004, Schechter et al. 2004) and male circumcision (Bailey et al. 2007). Also, it was reported that people tend to escalate high-risk behaviors with the belief that HIV is no longer an incurable disease due to the easy availability of HAART (Crepaz et al. 2004).

Drug resistance

Near about 50% of HIV patients on HAART in United States developed resistance to at least one of the components. Rapid mutation of viral proteins has led to emergence of drug-resistance and transmission of drug-resistant strains (Bartlett and Anderson 2000, Nettles et al. 2005, Clavel and Hance 2004) progressing to cross-resistance and slow response to available ART (Wheeler et al. 2010).

Five concluded PrEP trials reported moderate risk of induced mutation. Six of the total seven reported drug-

resistant cases were infected at enrollment in iPrEX, PIP, and TDF2 trials. Of the six, four showed resistance to FTC, one to TDF, and another one had K65R, M184V, and A62V mutations. In the FEM-PrEP study, five persons developed mutations (four in TDF-FTC group, and one in placebo group).

Cost-effectiveness

Based on two mathematical prediction models of cost-effectiveness of daily ART (Paltiel et al. 2009, Abbas et al. 2007), it was observed that:

1. Efficacy of PrEP if increased from 50% to 90%, the cost of per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gain would decrease from US \$298,000 to US \$107,000 per QALY (Paltiel et al. 2009).
2. PrEP may diminish HIV infection with substantial cost-effectiveness in high-risk populations.
3. 50% reduction of the cost of PrEP would result in a cost of per QALY gain of US\$114,000 instead of US\$298,000

It was estimated that PrEP among high-risk behavior groups, may avert approximately 3 million new HIV-infections in southern sub-Saharan Africa by 2017 (Abbas et al. 2007), while depending on the extent of ART coverage and baseline HIV incidence, prevention of one HIV infection was found to save \$12,500–\$20,000 (Pretorius et al. 2010).

Discussion

This paper described the concept and development of PrEP, summarized major findings of five published PrEP trials and further discussed some important factors required to be considered for successful implementation.

Observed differences in safety between trials might be due to the application of different measures of occurrence of adverse events. Prior studies indicated that TDF and FTC could affect renal and bone mineral density (McComsey et al. 2011, Tarantal et al. 2002). Researchers found a decline in scores for mineral density of bones in the forearm, hip, and lumbar region among 221 participants in TDF2 study. There were no significant differences across study groups relating to mortality or serious clinical adverse events. Although most of these trials reported short-term effects, evaluation of the long-term effects is needed before declaring the safety of PrEP. WHO guidelines also define the clinical contraindications of PrEP with caution (Graham et al. 2007).

Another major side-effect was hepatic flare (Lim et al. 2006, van Bommel et al. 2004). Prior research indicated that 23% patients might have post-treatment exacerbation of hepatitis-B infection (Mondou et al. 2005). In all five studies, an eligible participant required to have a negative test result for hepatitis-B virus surface antigen. Only in the PIP trial and the BTS hepatitis B vaccination was done. No tests for hepatitis-B were performed in any of these trials.

Five completed trials did focus on different risk-groups having risk-behaviors reflecting different routes of HIV-transmission. Early research indicated that the concentration of drug varied according the types of mucosal tissue

(Patterson et al. 2011). Compared to rectal tissue, higher concentrations of assigned drugs in the vaginal and cervical tissues might partly explain the higher risk reduction showed in PIP and TDF2 trials over iPrEX trial. Secondly, difference in adherence also might contribute to this inconsistency. In the five trials, participants were reported to have high rates of adherence (> 90%).

In the absence of HIV preventive vaccine or cure, initiation of PrEP may be helpful in controlling HIV epidemic among high-risk population. PrEP is one of the most innovative and promising HIV prevention approaches discovered till date but its implementation is limited. Overall, it is evident from the published trials that PrEP is effective and safe (Grant et al. 2010, Van Damme et al. 2012, Baeten et al. 2012, Thigpen et al. 2012). However, the duration of this efficacy is strongly related to the coverage of ART, adherence of the participants, use of other harm reduction interventions (condom use etc.), toxicity and other related issues like cost, political commitment, ethical consideration etc.

Adherence is essential for assessing optimal treatment and is influenced by many factors. Previous studies indicated that individuals suspecting the efficacy of ART showed poor compliance (Jones et al. 2005). A survey on adherence to both PEP and PrEP suggested that approximately 67% would take daily pills to prevent HIV given the evidence of efficacy and safety of the prescribed drug. Among all trials, iPrEX study indicated excellent safety and moderate efficacy, while FEM-PrEP trial reported lack of efficacy due to low adherence determined by drug levels in blood. The inter-relationship between self-reported adherence and adverse effects is very complex and further research is needed to apprehend this.

These trials clearly indicated that for enhancing adherence, accurate identification of the correlates of noncompliance and continuous monitoring of adverse events are required. Experiences from ART proved that adherence was strongly associated with safety and drug-resistance (García et al. 2002, Sethi et al. 2003). However, improvement of adherence is a challenge and identified correlates include education (Chesney 2000), social support (Rueda et al. 2006), socio-demographic and psychological factors (Gordillo et al. 1999), misconceptions, cultural beliefs, switching to combination therapies, history of addiction, inconvenient dosing frequency, dietary restrictions, pill-burden, and side effects (Chesney 2000).

With regard to PrEP, mathematical model predicted that behavioral disinhibition could invalidate the PrEP strategies if not reversed (Vissers et al. 2008). Another concern is the promotion of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) by behavioral disinhibition as ARTs have no effect on them. No such risk compensation was observed in these five PrEP trials. iPrEX trial demonstrated that proportion of participants practicing receptive anal intercourse declined and there was an increase in condom use. In the PIP study, the proportion of HIV-negative partners reporting unprotected sex decreased from 27% at enrollment to 9% during follow-up. In both FEM-PrEP and TDF2 trials, participants reported having fewer partners during follow-up. In BTS, reports of injecting drugs during the previous 3 months, sharing nee-

dles, and sex with more than one partner decreased during follow-up.

Standardization of the first-line and second-line treatment regimen is required to prevent the emergence of drug-resistance based on potency, duration of efficacy, toxicity, cost, and availability. Acute retrovirus syndrome (ARS) often associated with acute HIV infection is a diagnostic challenge due to the nonspecific symptoms and negative or inconclusive HIV serological test results. Thus, many HIV sero-converters may be missed as observed in iPrEX trial, where among ten participants who had been HIV-infected at enrollment, five presented ARS. According to some researchers HIV clinical case definition should include ARS (Hofer et al. 2000) to address HIV-induced immunodeficiency better. Viral RNA-testing before PrEP initiation, just as PEP, could be used to detect acute infections if possible.

Majority of resistance occurs due to irregular intake of medicines or treatment interruptions (Heneine and Kashuba 2012) which may be prevented by simpler dosing schedules containing fewer pills, use of effective first-line drugs having less toxicity and assurance of social support. WHO has established a global program for monitoring and surveillance of HIV drug-resistance with support from a network of accredited laboratories for detecting resistance at its early stage (Gilks et al. 2006).

Although PrEP may be relatively cost-effective based on the designed models (Abbas et al. 2007, Pretorius et al. 2010), the budget for its successful implementation is still a big challenge. Even if the lower bound of the expected cost for preventing one new infection is considered, it will amount to \$12,500 (Pretorius et al. 2010), thus to prevent 3 million new infections in southern sub-Saharan by 2017, it would cost \$37.5 billion, which is about 3% of the GDP of southern sub-Saharan in 2011 (www.worldbank.org/afr/stats). PrEP promotion requires raised awareness regarding HIV through health education, minimizing risk behaviors, improvement of ART coverage, commitment of resources from donors, coordination and cooperation of government/funding agencies, substantial allocation of budget, and a well-designed guideline.

Valid estimation of effectiveness of PrEP and its efficient implementation requires identification of appropriate target population. It has been observed that PrEP can avert considerable amount of new HIV infections provided the intervention targets appropriate population with high risk of acquisition of HIV (Pretorius et al. 2010). The impact of PrEP may vary substantially across populations with different risk behaviors (Vissers et al. 2008). Populations with higher risk of HIV seem to be the appropriate target for PrEP while appropriateness of implementation of PrEP in general population is largely questionable (Paltiel et al. 2009, Pretorius et al. 2010, Vissers et al. 2008).

A study among MSM in New York City during 2006 demonstrated that none were aware of PrEP and they had limited knowledge regarding microbicides and PEP. Participants showed willingness of using other non-condom biomedical HIV prevention products like microbicides, vaccine, PEP except PrEP (Nodin et al. 2008). Another survey of HIV sero-

negative gay/bisexual men in California reported that nearly half were aware of PEP and <16% had knowledge regarding PrEP (Liu et al. 2008). For optimum utilization of PrEP in any healthcare setting, it was pertinent to take care of the components like choosing PrEP drugs, screening for safety, repeated HIV testing, sustaining other ongoing behavioral interventions, maximizing adherence, minimizing risk compensation, and establishment of a strict monitoring and surveillance at population level (Underhill et al. 2010). Community education was an important tool to raise willingness among volunteers (Bartholow et al. 1997).

As we mentioned earlier, the cost-effectiveness of PrEP depends on the coverage of the intervention program, HIV testing and accessibility to ART (Pretorius et al. 2010) which in turn require strong coordination from social support systems involving Government, NGOs, institutions, communities, families, and individuals (Stirratt and Gordon 2008). However, getting the intended social support from different sectors for treating HIV is a threat to public health globally (Boberg et al. 1995).

HIV-related discrimination and stigma are major barriers to access HIV/AIDS care (Maman et al. 2009) and treatment opportunities (Valdiserri 2002, Campbell et al. 2005, Peretti-Watel et al. 2006), which thus affect adherence and success of PrEP (Underhill et al. 2010). A qualitative study among female sex workers (FSW), men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgenders identified stigma/social discrimination and negative attitudes of healthcare providers as important determinants of acceptability (Cao et al. 2006, Li et al. 2009) and efficacy of PrEP (Galea et al. 2011).

Over past 30 years, researchers and policy-makers tried to reduce the discrimination and stigma, by implementing programs like "Four Free and One Care" program in China (Shao 2006) and governors around the world have been praised for addressing stigma surrounding HIV/AIDS, but there still remains a long way to go on this issue (Burki 2011).

Interrelationship of social structure, cultural beliefs and gender discrimination are critical for success of PrEP [100 101](Blanchard et al. 2005, Halperin 1999). Premature closure of PrEP trials by governments in Cameroon and Cambodia following emergence of negative advocacy highlighted the importance of following issues like appropriate conduct of research, selection of target population, involvement of community leaders, provision of treatment during and after trial, assuring access to PrEP if it is useful, sustenance of PrEP in poor countries, influence of cultural beliefs, and political commitment (Grant et al. 2005). For designing an effective preventive trial, implementation of a decentralized program and avoiding conflict of interest need to be addressed (Coughlin 2006).

PrEP raises many ethical concerns. Main concerns include role of Government and industry in providing PrEP, actual distribution of resources, standard of care in trials and monitoring the basis of prioritization. Many of these issues were raised by the closure of PrEP trials in Cameroon and Cambodia following emergence of dispute and opposition (Singh and Mills 2005). Another important ethical concern is the possibility that PrEP may promote risk

behaviors especially among FSWs, drug users, MSM, and other high-risk groups.

Evidence from the published trials suggested that PrEP is safe, efficacious, and cost-effective. To deliver a culturally appropriate and cost-effective program for providing PrEP in a successful manner, uninterrupted drug delivery with good infra-structural support is critical. Identification of the appropriate target population and specific implementation seem to be of paramount importance for the success of PrEP. Adequate care should be taken for monitoring adverse effects of individuals on PrEP so adherence is ensured. Individual should be counseled by trained health-workers regarding risk-behaviors, informed about drug-availability and its use to maximize compliance. PrEP should not be regarded as a separate preventative approach but should be integrated with existing HIV prevention programs.

Declaration of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

References

- Abbas UL, Anderson RM, Mellors JW. 2007. Potential impact of antiretroviral chemoprophylaxis on HIV-1 transmission in resource-limited settings. *PloS One*. 2:e875.
- Anderson PL, Glidden DV, Liu A, Buchbinder S, Lama JR, Guanira JV, et al. 2012. Emtricitabine-tenofovir concentrations and pre-exposure prophylaxis efficacy in men who have sex with men. *Sci Transl Med*. 4:151ra25.
- Attia S, Egger M, Muller M, Zwahlen M, Low N. 2009. Sexual transmission of HIV according to viral load and antiretroviral therapy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 23:1397-1404.
- Azcoaga-Lorenzo A, Ferreyra C, Alvarez A, Palma PP, Velilla E, del Amo J. 2011. Effectiveness of a PMTCT programme in rural Western Kenya. *AIDS Care*. 23:274-280.
- Baden LR, Dolin R. 2012. The road to an effective HIV vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. 366:1343-1344.
- Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, Mugo NR, Campbell JD, Wangisi J, et al.; Partners PrEP Study Team. 2012. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. *N Engl J Med*. 367:399-410.
- Bailey RC, Moses S, Parker CB, Agot K, Maclean I, Krieger JN, et al. 2007. Male circumcision for HIV prevention in young men in Kisumu, Kenya: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 369:643-656.
- Baird JK, Fryauff DJ, Hoffman SL. 2003. Primaquine for prevention of malaria in travelers. *Clin Infect Dis*. 37:1659-1667.
- Bartholow BN, MacQueen KM, Douglas JM Jr, Buchbinder S, McKirnan D, Judson FN. 1997. Assessment of the changing willingness to participate in phase III HIV vaccine trials among men who have sex with men. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 16:108-115.
- Bartlett JG, Anderson JR. 2000. Public health service task force updates recommendations for use of antiretroviral drugs in pregnant women. *Hopkins HIV Rep*. 12:8-9, 11-2.
- Blanchard JF, O'Neil J, Ramesh B, Bhattacharjee P, Orchard T, Moses S. 2005. Understanding the social and cultural contexts of female sex workers in Karnataka, India: implications for prevention of HIV infection. *J Infect Dis*. 191:S139-S146.
- Boberg EW, Gustafson DH, Hawkins RP, Chan CL, Bricker E, Pingree S, Berhe H. 1995. Development, acceptance, and use patterns of a computer-based education and social support system for people living with AIDS/HIV infection. *Comput Human Behav*. 11:289-311.
- Burki TK. 2011. Discrimination against people with HIV persists in China. *Lancet*. 377:286-287.
- Campbell C, Foulis CA, Maimane S, Sibiyi Z. 2005. "I have an evil child at my house": stigma and HIV/AIDS management in a South African community. *Am J Public Health*. 95:808-815.
- Campbell JD, Herbst JH, Kopenhagen RT, Smith DK. 2013. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for sexual and injection drug use acquisition of HIV. *Am J Prev Med*. 44:S63-S69.
- Cao X, Sullivan SG, Xu J, Wu Z. 2006. Understanding HIV-related stigma and discrimination in a "blameless" population. *AIDS Educ Prev*. 18:518-528.
- Cardo DM, Culver DH, Ciesielski CA, Srivastava PU, Marcus R, Abiteboul D, et al. 1997. A case-control study of HIV seroconversion in health care workers after percutaneous exposure. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Needlestick Surveillance Group. *N Engl J Med*. 337:1485-1490.
- Cassell MM, Halperin DT, Shelton JD, Stanton D. 2006. Risk compensation: the Achilles' heel of innovations in HIV prevention? *BMJ*. 332:605-607.
- Chesney MA, Chambers DB, Kahn JO. 1997. Risk behavior for HIV infection in participants in preventive HIV vaccine trials: a cautionary note. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 16:266-271.
- Chesney MA. 2000. Factors affecting adherence to antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 30:S171-S176.
- Choopanya K, Martin M, Suntharasamai P, Sangkum U, Mock PA, Leethochawalit M, et al. 2013. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV infection in injecting drug users in Bangkok, Thailand (the Bangkok Tenofovir Study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 381:2083-2090.
- Clavel F, Hance AJ. 2004. HIV drug resistance. *N Engl J Med*. 350:1023-1035.
- Coughlin SS. 2006. Ethical issues in epidemiologic research and public health practice. *Emerg Themes Epidemiol*. 3:16.
- Crepaz N, Hart TA, Marks G. 2004. Highly active antiretroviral therapy and sexual risk behavior: a meta-analytic review. *JAMA*. 292:224-236.
- Crosby RA, Holtgrave DR. 2006. Will sexual risk behaviour increase after being vaccinated for AIDS? *Int J STD AIDS*. 17:180-184.
- Denton PW, Estes JD, Sun Z, Othieno FA, Wei BL, Wege AK, et al. 2008. Antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis prevents vaginal transmission of HIV-1 in humanized BLT mice. *PLoS Med*. 5:e16.
- Doherty T, Besser M, Donohue S, Kamoga N, Stoops N, Williamson L, Visser R. 2003. An evaluation of the Prevention of Mother-to-Child Transmission (PMTCT) of HIV Initiative in South Africa: Lessons and Key Recommendations. Durban: Health Systems Trust.
- Dukers NH, Goudsmit J, de Wit JB, Prins M, Weverling GJ, Coutinho RA. 2001. Sexual risk behaviour relates to the virological and immunological improvements during highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIV-1 infection. *AIDS*. 15:369-378.
- Flynn NM, Forthal DN, Harro CD, Judson FN, Mayer KH, Para MF; rgp120 HIV Vaccine Study Group. 2005. Placebo-controlled phase 3 trial of a recombinant glycoprotein 120 vaccine to prevent HIV-1 infection. *J Infect Dis*. 191:654-665.
- Fonner VA, Denison J, Kennedy CE, O'Reilly K, Sweat M. 2012. Voluntary counseling and testing (VCT) for changing HIV-related risk behavior in developing countries. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 9:CD001224.
- Galea J, Kinsler J, Salazar X, Lee SJ, Giron M, Sayles JN, et al. 2011. Acceptability of pre-exposure prophylaxis as an HIV prevention strategy: barriers and facilitators to pre-exposure prophylaxis uptake among at-risk Peruvian populations. *Int J STD AIDS*. 22:256-262.
- García OP, Knobel H, Carmona A, Guelar A, López-Colomé JL, Caylà JA. 2002. Impact of adherence and highly active antiretroviral therapy on survival in HIV-infected patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 30:105-110.
- Garcia-Lerma JG, Cong ME, Mitchell J, Youngpairoj AS, Zheng Q, Masciotra S, et al. 2010. Intermittent prophylaxis with oral truvada protects macaques from rectal SHIV infection. *Sci Transl Med*. 2:14ra4.
- Garcia-Lerma JG, Otten RA, Qari SH, Jackson E, Cong ME, Masciotra S, et al. 2008. Prevention of rectal SHIV transmission in macaques by daily or intermittent prophylaxis with emtricitabine and tenofovir. *PLoS Med*. 5:e28.
- Gilks CF, Crowley S, Ekpini R, Gove S, Perriens J, Souteyrand Y. 2006. The WHO public-health approach to antiretroviral treatment against HIV in resource-limited settings. *Lancet*. 368:505-510.
- Gordillo V, del Amo J, Soriano V, González-Lahoz J. 1999. Sociodemographic and psychological variables influencing adherence to antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 13:1763-1769.
- Graham SM, Holte SE, Peshu NM, Richardson BA, Panteleeff DD, Jaoko WG, et al. 2007. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy leads to a rapid decline in cervical and vaginal HIV-1 shedding. *AIDS*. 21:501-507.

- Grant RM, Buchbinder S, Cates W Jr, Clarke E, Coates T, Cohen MS, et al. 2005. AIDS. Promote HIV chemoprophylaxis research, don't prevent it. *Science*. 309:2170-2171.
- Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, McMahan V, Liu AY, Vargas L, et al.; iPrEx Study Team. 2010. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. *N Engl J Med*. 363:2587-2599.
- Gray RH, Kigozi G, Serwadda D, Makumbi F, Watya S, Nalugoda F, et al. 2007. Male circumcision for HIV prevention in men in Rakai, Uganda: a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 369:657-666.
- Halperin DT. 1999. Heterosexual anal intercourse: prevalence, cultural factors, and HIV infection and other health risks, Part I. *AIDS Patient Care STDs*. 13:717-730.
- Hammond E, Nolan D, McKinnon E, Pace C, Matcalf C, Mallal S. 2005. Assessing the contribution of ART, HIV and host factors to adipose tissue changes occurring in HIV-infected individuals: risk profile for lipoatrophy. *Antivir Ther*. 10:14.
- Hart GJ, Williamson LM. 2005. Increase in HIV sexual risk behaviour in homosexual men in Scotland, 1996-2002: prevention failure? *Sex Transm Infect*. 81:367-372.
- Hendrix CW, Chen BA, Guddera V, Hoesley C, Justman J, Nakabiito C, et al. 2013. MTN-001: randomized pharmacokinetic cross-over study comparing tenofovir vaginal gel and oral tablets in vaginal tissue and other compartments. *PLoS One*. 8:e55013.
- Heneine W, Kashuba A. 2012. HIV prevention by oral preexposure prophylaxis. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2:a007419.
- Hofer CB, Harrison LH, Struchiner CJ, Moreira RI, do Lago RF, de Melo MF, Schechter M. 2000. Acute retrovirus syndrome among prospectively identified homosexual men with incident HIV infection in Brazil. Projecto Praca Onze Study Group. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 25:188-191.
- Horvath T, Madi BC, Iuppa IM, Kennedy GE, Rutherford G, Read JS. 2009. Interventions for preventing late postnatal mother-to-child transmission of HIV. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. CD006734. doi: 10.1002/14651858.
- Jones F, Harris P, Waller H, Coggins A. 2005. Adherence to an exercise prescription scheme: The role of expectations, self-efficacy, stage of change and psychological well-being. *Br J Health Psychol*. 10:359-378.
- Kalichman SC. 1998. Post-exposure prophylaxis for HIV infection in gay and bisexual men. Implications for the future of HIV prevention. *Am J Prev Med*. 15:120-127.
- Karim QA, Karim SSA, Frohlich JA, Grobler AC, Baxter C, Mansoor LE, et al.; CAPRISA 004 Trial Group. 2010. Effectiveness and safety of tenofovir gel, an antiretroviral microbicide, for the prevention of HIV infection in women. *Science*. 329:1168-1174.
- Kim SC, Becker S, Dieffenbach C, Hanewall BS, Hankins C, Lo YR, et al. 2010. Planning for pre-exposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV transmission: challenges and opportunities. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 13:24.
- Lafeuillade A, Poggi C, Tamalet C, Profizi N, Tourres C, Costes O. 1997. Effects of a combination of zidovudine, didanosine, and lamivudine on primary human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *J Infect Dis*. 175:1051-1055.
- Li L, Lee SJ, Thammawijaya P, Jiraphongsa C, Rotheram-Borus MJ. 2009. Stigma, social support, and depression among people living with HIV in Thailand. *AIDS Care*. 21:1007-1013.
- Lim SG, Ng TM, Kung N, Krastev Z, Volfova M, Husa P, et al. 2006. A double-blind placebo-controlled study of emtricitabine in chronic hepatitis B. *Arch Intern Med*. 166:49-56.
- Liu AY, Kittredge PV, Vittinghoff E, Raymond HF, Ahrens K, Matheson T, et al. 2008. Limited knowledge and use of HIV post-and pre-exposure prophylaxis among gay and bisexual men. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 47:241-247.
- Maman S, Abler L, Parker L, Lane T, Chirowodza A, Ntogwisangu J, et al. 2009. A comparison of HIV stigma and discrimination in five international sites: the influence of care and treatment resources in high prevalence settings *Soc Sci Med*. 68:2271-2278.
- Martin JN, Roland ME, Neilands TB, Krone MR, Bamberger JD, Kohn RP, et al. 2004. Use of postexposure prophylaxis against HIV infection following sexual exposure does not lead to increases in high-risk behavior. *AIDS*. 18:787-792.
- Mattick RP, Hall W. 1997. *Methadone Maintenance Treatment and Other Opioid Replacement Therapies*. London: Martin Dunitz.
- McComsey GA, Kitch D, Daar ES, Tierney C, Jahed NC, Tebas P, et al. 2011. Bone mineral density and fractures in antiretroviral-naïve persons randomized to receive abacavir-lamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine along with efavirenz or atazanavir-ritonavir: Aids Clinical Trials Group A5224s, a substudy of ACTG A5202. *J Infect Dis*. 203:1791-1801.
- Michaels SH, Clark R, Kissinger P. 1998. Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. *N Engl J Med*. 339:405-406.
- Mondou E, Sorbel J, Anderson J, Mommeja-Marin H, Rigney A, Rousseau F. 2005. Posttreatment exacerbation of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection in long-term HBV trials of emtricitabine. *Clin Infect Dis*. 41:e45-e47.
- Montaner JS, Lima VD, Barrios R, Yip B, Wood E, Kerr T, et al. 2010. Association of highly active antiretroviral therapy coverage, population viral load, and yearly new HIV diagnoses in British Columbia, Canada: a population-based study. *Lancet*. 376:532-539.
- Na H, Detels R. 2005. The HIV epidemic in China: history, response, and challenge. *Cell Res*. 15:825-832.
- Nettles RE, Kieffer TL, Kwon P, Monie D, Han Y, Parsons T, et al. 2005. Intermittent HIV-1 viremia (Blips) and drug resistance in patients receiving HAART. *JAMA*. 293:817-829.
- Nodin DN, Carballo-Diequez A, Ventuneac AM, Balan IC, Remien R. 2008. Knowledge and acceptability of alternative HIV prevention bio-medical products among MSM who bareback. *AIDS Care*. 20:106-115.
- Ostrow DE, Fox KJ, Chmiel JS, Silvestre A, Visscher BR, Vanable PA, et al. 2002. Attitudes towards highly active antiretroviral therapy are associated with sexual risk taking among HIV-infected and uninfected homosexual men. *AIDS*. 16:775-780.
- Paltiel AD, Freedberg KA, Scott CA, Schackman BR, Losina E, Wang B, et al. 2009. HIV preexposure prophylaxis in the United States: impact on lifetime infection risk, clinical outcomes, and cost-effectiveness. *Clin Infect Dis*. 48:806-815.
- Parikh UM, Dobard C, Sharma S, Cong ME, Jia H, Martin A, et al. 2009. Complete protection from repeated vaginal simian-human immunodeficiency virus exposures in macaques by a topical gel containing tenofovir alone or with emtricitabine. *J Virol*. 83.
- Patterson KB, Prince HA, Kraft E, Jenkins AJ, Shaheen NJ, Rooney JF, et al. 2011. Penetration of tenofovir and emtricitabine in mucosal tissues: implications for prevention of HIV-1 transmission. *Sci Transl Med*. 3:112re4.
- Peretti-Watel P, Spire B, Pierret J, Lert F, Obadia Y, Group TV. 2006. Management of HIV-related stigma and adherence to HAART: evidence from a large representative sample of outpatients attending French hospitals (ANRS-EN12-VESPA 2003). *AIDS Care*. 18:254-261.
- Pinkerton SD, Abramson PR. 1997. Effectiveness of condoms in preventing HIV transmission. *Soc Sci Med*. 44:1303-1312.
- Pitisuttithum P, Gilbert P, Gurwith M, Heyward W, Martin M, van Griensven F, et al. 2006. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled efficacy trial of a bivalent recombinant glycoprotein 120 HIV-1 vaccine among injection drug users in Bangkok, Thailand. *J Infect Dis*. 194:1661-1671.
- Pretorius C, Stover J, Bollinger L, Bacaër N, Williams B. 2010. Evaluating the cost-effectiveness of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and its impact on HIV-1 transmission in South Africa. *PLoS One*. 5:e13646.
- Ramjee G, Govinden R, Morar NS, Mbewu A. 2007. South Africa's experience of the closure of the cellulose sulphate microbicide trial. *PLoS Med*. 4:e235.
- Ray M, Logan R, Sterne J, Hernández-Díaz S, Robins JM, et al.; HIV-CAUSAL Collaboration. 2010. The effect of combined antiretroviral therapy on the overall mortality of HIV-infected individuals. *AIDS*. 24:123-137.
- Rueda S, Park-Wyllie LY, Bayoumi A, Tynan AM, Antoniou TA, Rourke SB, Glazier RH. 2006. Patient support and education for promoting adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy for HIV/AIDS. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. CD001442.
- Rutenberg N, Kalibala S, Baek C, Rosen J. 2003. Evaluation of United Nations-Supported Pilot Projects for the Prevention of Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV: Overview of Findings. New York: UNICEF.
- Schechter M, do Lago RF, Mendelsohn AB, Moreira RI, Moulton LH, Harrison LH. 2004. Behavioral impact, acceptability, and HIV incidence among homosexual men with access to postexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 35:519-525.
- Sethi AK, Celentano DD, Gange SJ, Moore RD, Gallant JE. 2003. Association between adherence to antiretroviral therapy and human immunodeficiency virus drug resistance. *Clin Infect Dis*. 37:1112-1118.

- Shao Y. 2006. AIDS epidemic at age 25 and control efforts in China. *Retrovirology*. 3:87.
- Singh JA, Mills EJ. 2005. The abandoned trials of pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV: what went wrong? *PLoS Med*. 2:e234.
- Smith DK, Grohskopf LA, Black RJ, Auerbach JD, Veronese F, Struble KA, et al. 2005. Antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis after sexual, injection-drug use, or other nonoccupational exposure to HIV in the United States: recommendations from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 54:1–20.
- Smith SM. 2004. Pre-exposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV: it is time. *Retrovirology*. 1:16.
- Stirratt MJ, Gordon CM. 2008. Adherence to biomedical HIV prevention methods: considerations drawn from HIV treatment adherence research. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 5:186–192.
- Stolte IG, de Wit JB, van Eeden A, Coutinho RA, Dukers NH. 2004. Perceived viral load, but not actual HIV-1-RNA load, is associated with sexual risk behaviour among HIV-infected homosexual men. *AIDS*. 18:1943–1949.
- Subbarao S, Otten RA, Ramos A, Kim C, Jackson E, Monsour M, et al. 2006. Chemoprophylaxis with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate provided partial protection against infection with simian human immunodeficiency virus in macaques given multiple virus challenges. *J Infect Dis*. 194:904–911.
- Tarantal AF, Castillo A, Ekert JE, Bischofberger N, Martin RB. 2002. Fetal and maternal outcome after administration of tenofovir to gravid rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 29:207–220.
- Templeton DJ, Millett GA, Grulich AE. 2010. Male circumcision to reduce the risk of HIV and sexually transmitted infections among men who have sex with men. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 23:45–52.
- Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, Smith DK, Rose CE, Segolodi TM, et al. 2012. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 367:423–434.
- Trial and failure. Only the most promising AIDS gels should reach large-scale trials. 2007. *Nature*. 446:1. doi: 10.1038/446001a [published Online First: Epub Date]
- Tsai CC, Emau P, Sun JC, Beck TW, Tran CA, Follis KE, et al. 2000. Post-exposure chemoprophylaxis (PECP) against SIV infection of macaques as a model for protection from HIV infection. *J Med Primatol*. 29:248–258.
- Underhill K, Operario D, Mimiaga MJ, Skeer MR, Mayer KH. 2010. Implementation science of pre-exposure prophylaxis: preparing for public use. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 7:210–219.
- Underhill K, Operario D, Skeer M, Mimiaga M, Mayer K. 2010. Packaging PrEP to Prevent HIV: An Integrated Framework to Plan for Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Implementation in Clinical Practice. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 55:8–13.
- Valdiserri RO. 2002. HIV/AIDS stigma: an impediment to public health. *Am J Public Health*. 92:341–342.
- van Bommel F, Wunsche T, Mauss S, Reinke P, Bergk A, Schürmann D, et al. 2004. Comparison of adefovir and tenofovir in the treatment of lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B virus infection. *Hepatology*. 40:1421–1425.
- Van Damme L, Corneli A, Ahmed K, Agot K, Lombaard J, Kapiga S, et al. 2012. Preexposure prophylaxis for HIV infection among African women. *N Engl J Med*. 367:411–422.
- van der Straten A, Gómez CA, Saul J, Quan J, Padian N. 2000. Sexual risk behaviors among heterosexual HIV serodiscordant couples in the era of post-exposure prevention and viral suppressive therapy. *AIDS*. 14:F47–F54.
- Visser DC, Voeten HA, Nagelkerke NJ, Habbema JD, de Vlas SJ. 2008. The impact of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) on HIV epidemics in Africa and India: a simulation study. *PLoS One*. 3:e2077.
- Wagner G, Miller LG. 2004. Is the influence of social desirability on patients' self-reported adherence overrated? *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 35:203–204.
- Wheeler WH, Ziebell RA, Zabina H, Pieniazek D, Prejean J, Bodnar UR, et al. 2010. Prevalence of transmitted drug resistance associated mutations and HIV-1 subtypes in new HIV-1 diagnoses, U.S.- 2006. *AIDS*. 24:1203–1212.
- Wilde GJS. 1994. *Target Risk: Dealing with the Danger of Death, Disease and Damage in Everyday Decisions*. Toronto: PDE publications.
- Wu Z, Sun X, Sullivan SG, Detels R. 2006. HIV testing in China. *Science*. 312:1475–1476.
- Youle M, Wainberg MA. 2003. Could chemoprophylaxis be used as an HIV prevention strategy while we wait for an effective vaccine? *AIDS*. 17:937–938.