

Bioengineered



ISSN: 2165-5979 (Print) 2165-5987 (Online) Journal homepage: informahealthcare.com/journals/kbie20

# Further advances in the production of membrane proteins in *Pichia pastoris*

#### **Kristina Hedfalk**

**To cite this article:** Kristina Hedfalk (2013) Further advances in the production of membrane proteins in *Pichia pastoris*, Bioengineered, 4:6, 363-367, DOI: <u>10.4161/bioe.23886</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.4161/bioe.23886

_	
(•)	
<b>_</b>	

Copyright © 2013 Landes Bioscience



Published online: 18 Mar 2013.

Ø,

Submit your article to this journal 🕝

Article views:	1130
----------------	------



View related articles  $\square$ 



Citing articles: 2 View citing articles 🗹

## Further advances in the production of membrane proteins in *Pichia pastoris*

Kristina Hedfalk

Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology; University of Gothenburg; Göteborg, Sweden

Keywords: *Pichia pastoris*, membrane proteins, protein production, high-resolution structures, aquaporin, GPCR

Abbreviations: GPCR, G proteincoupled receptor; GFP, green fluorescent protein; AQP, aquaporin

Submitted: 11/28/12

Revised: 02/04/13

Accepted: 02/04/13

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/bioe.23886

Correspondence to: Kristina Hedfalk; Email: kristina.hedfalk@chem.gu.se

embrane proteins have essential cellular functions and are therefore of high interest in both academia and industry. Many efforts have been made on producing those targets in yields allowing crystallization experiments aiming for high resolution structures and mechanistic understanding. The first step of production provides a crucial barrier to overcome, but what we now see, is great progress in membrane protein structural determination in a relatively short time. Achievements on recombinant protein production have been essential for this development and the yeast Pichia pastoris is the most commonly used host for eukaryotic membrane proteins. High-resolution structures nicely illustrate the successes in protein production, and this is the measure used by Ramón and Marin in their review "Advances in the production of membrane proteins in Pichia pastoris" from 2011. Here, additional advances on production and crystallization of eukaryotic membrane proteins are described and reflected on.

#### High-Resolution Structures: A Measure of Successful Overproduction

In June 2011, the review "Advances in the production of membrane proteins in *Pichia pastoris*" by Ana Ramón and Mónica Marin was published in the *Journal of Biotechnology*.<sup>1</sup> This article nicely describes the use of the *P. pastoris* host system for production of membrane proteins where the number of high resolution structures achieved (11 structures representing four protein families) is used as a measure of success. Membrane proteins provide important cellular functions like transport, signaling, sensing, and energy generation. They constitute about 30% of any proteome and their crucial functions mirror their importance as drug targets.<sup>2</sup> Highresolution structures provide extremely valuable insight into the molecular mechanisms of proteins but take a lot of material to pursue. Since overproduction of membrane proteins is non-trivial, the first hurdle to overcome is achieving enough material for subsequent studies. In addition, membrane proteins are hydrophobic in their nature and therefore more difficult to handle as compared with soluble proteins. These facts taken together, explain why membrane protein structures constitute a minor fraction of the protein structures reported in PDB. Despite clear difficulties, great progress in membrane protein structure determination has been achieved in recent years which have been driven by a pronounced and determined interest in their structure and function. One key stone in this success is optimization of the recombinant production of eukaryotic membrane proteins where the host P. pastoris plays an important role.<sup>1,3</sup>

#### Eukaryotic Membrane Proteins Can be Produced in *P. pastoris*

By following the number of membrane protein structures reported per year, it is evident that large progress has been achieved in a short time. From this survey, we can also conclude that the

Commentary to: Ramón A, Marín M. Advances in the production of membrane proteins in Pichia pastoris. Biotechnol J 2011; 6:700-6; PMID:21567964; http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ biot.201100146.

majority of the new structures are results of recombinant protein production. Hence, we are no longer limited to study membrane proteins that are endogenously produced to high levels in their native membranes. In this context, yeast has shown to be a promising host system for eukaryotic membrane proteins providing the most successful recombinant system for these targets.3 Notably, P. pastoris is the most common yeast host among the 383 eukaryotic membrane proteins structures reported at 4 Å or lower (http://blanco.biomol.uci.edu/mpstruc/ listAll/list). The collected knowledge on the production optimization in this yeast, clearly put together by Ramón and Marin in their review, can be divided into three approaches: (1) optimization of the nucleotide sequence of the gene to be expressed, (2) co-production of assisting proteins, and (3) optimization of the growth conditions.<sup>1</sup>

#### Generic Strategies to Improve Membrane Protein Production

The production of stable membrane proteins is often referred to as the main bottleneck for characterization of their structure and function.4 Thus, it is worth reflecting on generic strategies for production optimization as learned from P. pastoris. To begin with, the correlation between gene dosage and final membrane protein yield is worth some consideration, an aspect that was not reflected on in the review by Ramón and Marin. When integrated in the P. pastoris genome, the expression cassette can end up as multimers giving increased template levels for the gene of interest. Intuitively, one could argue that more template would give more protein. For a membrane protein, this is not necessarily true since a high gene dosage could cause an overload of the cellular machinery with consequences for the protein maturation processes.5 From production studies of the human aquaporins, however, it is clear that the presence of multimers and the concomitant improved growth on high Zeocin concentration is also beneficial for integral membrane proteins.<sup>6</sup> This would indicate that a high gene dosage is not in conflict with proper folding and

translocation to the membrane. Similar effects have been reported for more challenging targets like GPCRs where 15 to 25 copies of the gene had no negative effect on the expression level of the human mu-opioid receptor.7 Moreover, for the  $HT_{5A}$  5-hydroxytryptamin and the human  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor, the yield of functional protein was increased up to 2-fold when the number of gene copies was increased from one to two or six.8 Taken together, a higher gene dosage is worth aiming for when planning a production experiment of a novel membrane protein target in P. pastoris. Two additional characteristics of the nucleotide sequence were pointed out in the review from 2011; the consensus sequence surrounding the start ATG and the optimization of codon usage for the selected production system. Both of these can have large impact on the final protein vield and should therefore be taken into consideration, also in those cases when the codon usage is apparently similar for the host and the origin of the gene.9 In addition, Ramón and Marin highlighted gene fusions, using for example the  $\alpha$ -factor from Saccharomyces cerevisiae and the FLAG-tag, as successful strategies. Worth adding to this notion, however, is that the fusion partner should be selected among those verified for the particular system of choice.6 Moreover, a very efficient generic way to increase the protein production level, which was totally left out by Ramón and Marin, is the introduction of specific mutants that increase the stability of the protein and/ or influences the folding pathway. This approach is substantially facilitated in cases where detailed knowledge is available for the target of interest, as exemplified by human AQP4; by introduction of two to three specific mutations, this protein went from no production to enough levels of functional target for subsequent purification and crystallization trials.9 Another related example is the C116L mutant of the  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor which gives a considerable increase in yield when produced in Sf9 cells without affecting the phenotype.<sup>10</sup>

An interesting feature brought up in the review from 2011 is the opposite effects observed for the co-production with proteins that activates the Unfolded Protein Response (URP). Activation of UPR can have a positive influence on the functionality of the recombinant GPCR adenosine A2A when co-produced with the transcription factor Haclp from S. cerevisie. On the contrary, UPR activation can also have a negative influence in those cases when growth and production induce signals triggering cell death. As a consequence, one has to consider the fact that optimal growth conditions are not always ideal for protein production, thus the optimal conditions for a certain target has to be specifically addressed.<sup>5</sup> In this context, growth scale, temperature, pH and optimal time point for harvest should be considered in the optimization of a specific target where reduced temperature is a generic strategy applied to difficult targets like membrane proteins. Another crucial factor with respect to the growth of P. pastoris is the optimal methanol induction time. This is individual for each target and should therefore be carefully evaluated.<sup>11,12</sup> Finally, Ramón and Marin described how additives to the growth, like ligands, DMSO, or histidine, can lead to higher yields and/or a higher fraction of functional protein and could thus be tried in parallel with the actual growth parameters.

### Eight New Membrane Protein Structures at High Resolution

Noteworthy, since the review by Ramón and Marin in June 2011, eight additional membrane protein structures have been published as results from recombinant production of eurkaryotic proteins in P. pastoris (Table 1). Especially, two additional families of proteins, G-protein coupled receptors and ion-selective calcium channels are now represented among P. pastoris produced proteins. When comparing these new structures, there are some common themes for the design of the DNA constructs where codon optimization, elimination of N-linked glycosylation, removal of disulfide bridges, truncations of the hydrophilic termini, and fusion with GFP are commonly applied (Table 2). Several of these protein engineering 
 Table 1. The 19 high resolution structures of eukaryotic integral membrane proteins produced recombinantly in *Pichia pastoris* (http://blanco.biomol.uci.edu/mpstruc/listAll/list)

Target	Origin	Ref
G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs)		
A <sub>2A</sub> adenosine receptor in complex inverse-agonist antibody	Homo sapiens	18
Histamine H, receptor, complexed with doxepin	Homo sapiens	27
Channels: potassium and sodium ion-selective		
<u>Two-pore domain potassium channel <math>K_{2p}</math> 1.1 (TWIK-1)</u>	Homo sapiens	26
Two-pore domain potassium channel K <sub>2P</sub> 4.1 (TRAAK)	Homo sapiens	14
Kv1.2 voltage-gated potassium channel (full length)	Rattus norvegicus	15
Kv1.2 voltage-gated potassium channel	Rattus norvegicus	23
Kv1.2/Kv2.1 voltage-gated potassium channel chimera	Rattus norvegicus	24
Kv1.2/Kv2.1 voltage-gated potassium channel chimera	Rattus norvegicus	29
Kir2.2 Inward-Rectifier Potassium Channel (Complete)	Gallus gallus	28
Kir2.2 Inward-rectifier potassium channel in complex with PtdIns(4,5)P <sub>2</sub>	Gallus gallus	17
GIRK2 (Kir3.2) G-protein-gated K <sup>+</sup> channel	Mus musculus	31
Channels: calcium ion-selective		
Orai calcium release-activated calcium (CRAC) channel	Drosophila melanogaster	21
Channels: aquaporins and glyceroporins		
AQP4 aquaporin water channel	Human	19
AQP5 aquaporin water channel (HsAQP5)	Human	20
SoPIP2;1 plant aquaporin (closed conformation)	Spinacia oleracea	30
Aqy1 yeast aquaporin	Pichia pastoris	16
Membrane-associated proteins in eicosanoid and glutathione metabolism (MAPEG)		
Leukotriene LTC $_4$ Synthase in complex with glutathione	Human	25
ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters		
P-glycoprotein	Mus musculus	13
P-glycoprotein	Caenorhabditis elegans	22

Eight new structures (underlined) have been published since the review by Ramón and Marin published in June 2011.<sup>1</sup> Among those, two new protein families are included; GPCRs and ion-selective calcium channels.

Table 2. Common themes in the construct design listed for the eight structures that have been published since the review by Ramón and Marin

Target	со	ge	sbr	trunc	GFP	T4L	Ref
Human A <sub>2A</sub> adenosine receptor	yes	yes	-	-	-	-	18
Human histamine H <sub>1</sub> receptor	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	27
Human potassium channel K <sub>2P</sub> 1.1 (TWIK-1)	-	yes	yes	yes	yes	-	26
Human potassium channel K <sub>2P</sub> 4.1 (TRAAK)	yes	yes	-	yes	yes	-	14
Chicken Kir2.2 potassium channel	-	-	-	-	yes	-	17
Mouse GIRK2 (Kir3.2) G-protein-gated K <sup>+</sup> channel	-	-	-	yes	yes	-	31
Worm P-glycoprotein	yes	-	-	-	yes	-	22
Drosophila Orai calcium channel (CRAC)	-	-	yes	yes	yes	-	21

The following shortenings are used; co (codon optimization), ge (N-glycosylation elimination by introducing asn to gln mutations), sbr (disulfide bridge removal), trunc (truncation of hydrophilic termini), GFP (fusion with GFP), and T4L (chimera with T4 lysozyme).

approaches were discussed by Ramón and Marin but some, worth noting, is extracted knowledge from production in other systems and successfully applied to *P. pastoris*, like the chimera with the 4 lysozyme (**Table 2**). All together, the main benefits with these modifications are to improve translation of the heterologous gene<sup>6</sup> and reduce flexible regions, as well as to increase the crystal contacts and hydrophilic surfaces.<sup>3</sup> Thus, all changes are done in order to improve the stability of the target of interest since this has a major impact on both the chance that the protein is produced as well as the likely hood that the protein will form well-ordered crystals.

Bioengineered

#### **Future Perspectives**

Strikingly, all structurally determined membrane proteins recombinantly produced in *P. pastoris* are  $\alpha$ -helical.<sup>13-31</sup> To date there is no example of a  $\beta$ -barrel protein, which should be added to the challenges for the future. The lack of eukaryotic *B*-barrel structures from proteins produced in P. pastoris could possibly relate to the localization of these targets to the mitochondrial membrane, rather than the plasma membrane, resulting in a lower production yield. Nevertheless, GPCRs constitute the largest family of drug targets,32 and many efforts on production optimization are found in the literature. Hence, it is worth reflecting on what we can learn from the total experience in producing these targets. To date, there are high resolution structures for 15 members of this protein family whereof all but one is a result of recombinant production (http://blanco.biomol.uci.edu/mpstruc/ listAll/list). The vast majority is produced in Spodoptera frugiperda and many of the receptors are engineered by T4 lysozyme increasing the hydrophilic surface to improve crystal formation. Another interesting strategy is to make the target more amendable for crystallization in short chain detergents which form micelles that expose larger area available for crystal contacts. However, to avoid denaturation of the protein in these detergents,

#### References

- Ramón A, Marín M. Advances in the production of membrane proteins in *Pichia pastoris*. Biotechnol J 2011; 6:700-6; PMID:21567964; http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/biot.201100146.
- Lundstrom K. Structural genomics and drug discovery. J Cell Mol Med 2007; 11:224-38; PMID:17488474; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2007.00028.x.
- Bill RM, Henderson PJ, Iwata S, Kunji ER, Michel H, Neutze R, et al. Overcoming barriers to membrane protein structure determination. Nat Biotechnol 2011; 29:335-40; PMID:21478852; http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/nbt.1833.
- Tate CG. Overexpression of mammalian integral membrane proteins for structural studies. FEBS Lett 2001; 504:94-8; PMID:11532439; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S0014-5793(01)02711-9.
- Bonander N, Hedfalk K, Larsson C, Mostad P, Chang C, Gustafsson L, et al. Design of improved membrane protein production experiments: quantitation of the host response. Protein Sci 2005; 14:1729-40; PMID:15987902; http://dx.doi.org/10.1110/ ps.051435705.

the target can be engineered to increase its stability by introduction of thermo stable mutants.<sup>3</sup> Intuitively, a more stable protein is also more likely to be produced to high levels, something that was indeed observed for human AQP4,9 making this approach even more interesting. Thermo stable mutants have been introduced in the  $A_{2A}$  adenosine receptor and the  $\beta_1$ adrenergic receptor, respectively,33 using alanine scanning<sup>34,35</sup> resulting in high-resolution structures.<sup>36,37</sup> A related approach, using error prone PCR combined with directed evolution, has been applied to the rat neurotensin receptor which resulted in a substantial increase in functional production in *E. coli*, higher production levels in P. pastoris, and HEK293 cells, as well as enhanced stability during solubilization and purification.38 Independent of the method used for creating the library of stable mutants, efficient screening protocols are necessary to assess the useful protein products. Such protocols have been presented for S. cerevisiae<sup>39</sup> as well as for *P. pastoris*,<sup>40,41</sup> which provides platforms for screening of membrane localized, and most likely also properly folded, proteins. If the efficient production screen can also be combined with assays on the protein function in the same system, the concept would be even more attractive. For the GPCR targets, the binding characteristics are helpful, but transport processes can also be assayed directly in yeast cells,<sup>16</sup>

- Öberg F, Sjöhamn J, Conner MT, Bill RM, Hedfalk K. Improving recombinant eukaryotic membrane protein yields in Pichia pastoris: the importance of codon optimization and clone selection. Mol Membr Biol 2011; 28:398-411; PMID:21770695; http:// dx.doi.org/10.3109/09687688.2011.602219.
- Sarramegna V, Demange P, Milon A, Talmont F. Optimizing functional versus total expression of the human mu-opioid receptor in *Pichia pastoris*. Protein Expr Purif 2002; 24:212-20; PMID:11858715; http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/prep.2001.1564.
- Weiss HM, Haase W, Michel H, Reiländer H. Comparative biochemical and pharmacological characterization of the mouse 5HT5A 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor and the human beta2-adrenergic receptor produced in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris*. Biochem J 1998; 330:1137-47; PMID:9494078.
- Oberg F, Ekvall M, Nyblom M, Backmark A, Neutze R, Hedfalk K. Insight into factors directing high production of eukaryotic membrane proteins; production of 13 human AQPs in *Pichia pastoris*. Mol Membr Biol 2009; 26:215-27; PMID:19384754; http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09687680902862085.
- Parker EM, Kameyama K, Higashijima T, Ross EM. Reconstitutively active G protein-coupled receptors purified from baculovirus-infected insect cells. J Biol Chem 1991; 266:519-27; PMID:1845979.

making *P. pastoris* a useful system for both production and functional screening. However, as compared with *S. cerevisiae*, *P. pastoris* cannot be used for functional studies by complementation since libraries of deletion strains are not available for this yeast.<sup>42</sup> However, the genome of *P. pastoris* is known<sup>43</sup> providing the opportunity to create a similar library suitable for functional studies. Having such genetic tools at hand would create even more opportunities and wider use of the *P. pastoris* host system.

#### Conclusion

Importantly, *P. pastoris* has shown the ability to host high levels of demanding membrane protein targets in their functional form in its membrane. Tools for gene design, production screening and functional assessment are available for this host system. Thus, *P. pastoris* provides a complete system for high-through screening of all various steps from production to function. In conclusion, this yeast provides an attractive production host since it has an extraordinarily strong promoter that can be fine-tuned, it grows to high cell densities and it is robust to work with.

#### Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

- Issaly N, Solsona O, Joudrier P, Gautier MF, Moulin G, Boze H. Optimization of the wheat puroindolinea production in *Pichia pastoris*. J Appl Microbiol 2001; 90:397-406; PMID:11298235; http://dx.doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01259.x.
- Su M, Xu T, Wang D, Zhou Y, Niu C, Yan W. High yield and purification of recombinant human apolipoprotein E3 in *Pichia pastoris*. Protein Expr Purif 2009; 68:7-11; PMID:19545633; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.pep.2009.06.013.
- Aller SG, Yu J, Ward A, Weng Y, Chittaboina S, Zhuo R, et al. Structure of P-glycoprotein reveals a molecular basis for poly-specific drug binding. Science 2009; 323:1718-22; PMID:19325113; http://dx.doi. org/10.1126/science.1168750.
- Brohawn SG, del Mármol J, MacKinnon R. Crystal structure of the human K2P TRAAK, a lipid- and mechano-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> ion channel. Science 2012; 335:436-41; PMID:22282805; http://dx.doi. org/10.1126/science.1213808.
- Chen X, Wang Q, Ni F, Ma J. Structure of the full-length Shaker potassium channel Kv1.2 by normal-mode-based X-ray crystallographic refinement. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010; 107:11352-7; PMID:20534430; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1000142107.

- Fischer G, Kosinska-Eriksson U, Aponte-Santamaría C, Palmgren M, Geijer C, Hedfalk K, et al. Crystal structure of a yeast aquaporin at 1.15 angstrom reveals a novel gating mechanism. PLoS Biol 2009; 7:e1000130; PMID:19529756; http://dx.doi. org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000130.
- Hansen SB, Tao X, MacKinnon R. Structural basis of PIP2 activation of the classical inward rectifier K+ channel Kir2.2. Nature 2011; 477:495-8; PMID:21874019; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nature10370.
- Hino T, Arakawa T, Iwanari H, Yurugi-Kobayashi T, Ikeda-Suno C, Nakada-Nakura Y, et al. G-proteincoupled receptor inactivation by an allosteric inverse-agonist antibody. Nature 2012; 482:237-40; PMID:22286059.
- Ho JD, Yeh R, Sandstrom A, Chorny I, Harries WE, Robbins RA, et al. Crystal structure of human aquaporin 4 at 1.8 A and its mechanism of conductance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009; 106:7437-42; PMID:19383790; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0902725106.
- Horsefield R, Nordén K, Fellert M, Backmark A, Törnroth-Horsefield S, Terwisscha van Scheltinga AC, et al. High-resolution x-ray structure of human aquaporin 5. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008; 105:13327-32; PMID:18768791; http://dx.doi. org/10.1073/pnas.0801466105.
- Hou X, Pedi L, Diver MM, Long SB. Crystal structure of the calcium release-activated calcium channel Orai. Science 2012; 338:1308-13; PMID:23180775; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1228757.
- Jin MS, Oldham ML, Zhang Q, Chen J. Crystal structure of the multidrug transporter P-glycoprotein from Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 2012; 490:566-9; PMID:23000902; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nature11448.
- Long SB, Campbell EB, Mackinnon R. Crystal structure of a mammalian voltage-dependent Shaker family K<sup>\*</sup> channel. Science 2005; 309:897-903; PMID:16002581; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1116269.
- Long SB, Tao X, Campbell EB, MacKinnon R. Atomic structure of a voltage-dependent K<sup>+</sup> channel in a lipid membrane-like environment. Nature 2007; 450:376-82; PMID:18004376; http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/nature06265.
- Martinez Molina D, Wetterholm A, Kohl A, McCarthy AA, Niegowski D, Ohlson E, et al. Structural basis for synthesis of inflammatory mediators by human leukotriene C4 synthase. Nature 2007; 448:613-6; PMID:17632546; http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/nature06009.

- Miller AN, Long SB. Crystal structure of the human two-pore domain potassium channel K2P1. Science 2012; 335:432-6; PMID:22282804; http://dx.doi. org/10.1126/science.1213274.
- Shimamura T, Shiroishi M, Weyand S, Tsujimoto H, Winter G, Katritch V, et al. Structure of the human histamine H1 receptor complex with doxepin. Nature 2011; 475:65-70; PMID:21697825; http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/nature10236.
- Tao X, Avalos JL, Chen J, MacKinnon R. Crystal structure of the eukaryotic strong inward-rectifier K<sup>+</sup> channel Kir2.2 at 3.1 A resolution. Science 2009; 326:1668-74; PMID:20019282; http://dx.doi. org/10.1126/science.1180310.
- Tao X, Lee A, Limapichat W, Dougherty DA, MacKinnon R. A gating charge transfer center in voltage sensors. Science 2010; 328:67-73; PMID:20360102; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1185954.
- Törnroth-Horsefield S, Wang Y, Hedfalk K, Johanson U, Karlsson M, Tajkhorshid E, et al. Structural mechanism of plant aquaporin gating. Nature 2006; 439:688-94; PMID:16340961; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature04316.
- Whorton MR, MacKinnon R. Crystal structure of the mammalian GIRK2 K<sup>+</sup> channel and gating regulation by G proteins, PIP2, and sodium. Cell 2011; 147:199-208; PMID:21962516; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.046.
- Overington JP, Al-Lazikani B, Hopkins AL. How many drug targets are there? Nat Rev Drug Discov 2006; 5:993-6; PMID:17139284; http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/nrd2199.
- Lebon G, Tate CG. G protein-coupled receptors in the spotlight. Med Sci (Paris) 2012; 28:876-82; PMID:23067420; http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/medsci/20122810017.
- 34. Lebon G, Bennett K, Jazayeri A, Tate CG. Thermostabilisation of an agonist-bound conformation of the human adenosine A(2A) receptor. J Mol Biol 2011; 409:298-310; PMID:21501622; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2011.03.075.
- Serrano-Vega MJ, Magnani F, Shibata Y, Tate CG. Conformational thermostabilization of the betaladrenergic receptor in a detergent-resistant form. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008; 105:877-82; PMID:18192400; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0711253105.

- Lebon G, Warne T, Edwards PC, Bennett K, Langmead CJ, Leslie AG, et al. Agonist-bound adenosine A2A receptor structures reveal common features of GPCR activation. Nature 2011; 474:521-5; PMID:21593763; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nature10136.
- Warne T, Moukhametzianov R, Baker JG, Nehmé R, Edwards PC, Leslie AG, et al. The structural basis for agonist and partial agonist action on a β(1)-adrenergic receptor. Nature 2011; 469:241-4; PMID:21228877; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nature09746.
- Sarkar CA, Dodevski I, Kenig M, Dudli S, Mohr A, Hermans E, et al. Directed evolution of a G protein-coupled receptor for expression, stability, and binding selectivity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008; 105:14808-13; PMID:18812512; http://dx.doi. org/10.1073/pnas.0803103105.
- Drew D, Newstead S, Sonoda Y, Kim H, von Heijne G, Iwata S. GFP-based optimization scheme for the overexpression and purification of eukaryotic membrane proteins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nat Protoc 2008; 3:784-98; PMID:18451787; http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/nprot.2008.44.
- Oberg F, Hedfalk K. Recombinant production of the human aquaporins in the yeast Pichia pastoris (Invited Review). Mol Membr Biol 2013; 30:15-31; PMID:22908994; http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09687 688.2012.665503.
- Singh S, Gras A, Fiez-Vandal C, Martinez M, Wagner R, Byrne B. Screening for high-yielding *Pichia pastoris* clones: the production of G proteincoupled receptors as a case study. Methods Mol Biol 2012; 866:65-73; PMID:22454115; http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/978-1-61779-770-5\_7.
- Vidan S, Snyder M. Large-scale mutagenesis: yeast genetics in the genome era. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2001; 12:28-34; PMID:11167069; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S0958-1669(00)00171-3.
- De Schutter K, Lin YC, Tiels P, Van Hecke A, Glinka S, Weber-Lehmann J, et al. Genome sequence of the recombinant protein production host *Pichia pastoris*. Nat Biotechnol 2009; 27:561-6; PMID:19465926; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1544.